Receptor usage of a novel bat lineage C betacoronavirus reveals evolution of MERS-related coronavirus spike proteins for human DPP4 binding
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Running title: A novel MERS-related CoV

Summary: The discovery of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 bridges the evolutionary gap between MERS-CoV and existing bat viruses, and suggests that bat viruses may have evolved to generate MERS-CoV through modulation of the spike protein for binding to hDPP4.
Abstract

Although bats are known to harbor MERS-CoV-related viruses, the role of bats in the evolutionary origin and pathway remains obscure. We identified a novel MERS-CoV-related betacoronavirus, Hp-BatCoV HKU25, from Chinese pipistrelle bats. While being closely related to MERS-CoV in most genome regions, its spike protein occupies a phylogenetic position between that of Ty-BatCoV HKU4 and Pi-BatCoV HKU5. Since Ty-BatCoV HKU4 but not Pi-BatCoV HKU5 can utilize MERS-CoV receptor, hDPP4, for cell entry, we tested the ability of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 to bind and utilize hDPP4. HKU25-RBD can bind to hDPP4 protein and hDPP4-expressing cells, but with lower efficiency than that of MERS-RBD. Pseudovirus assays showed that HKU25-spike can utilize hDPP4 for entry to hDPP4-expressing cells, though with lower efficiency than that of MERS-spike and HKU4-spike. Our findings support a bat origin of MERS-CoV and suggest that bat coronavirus spike proteins may have evolved in a stepwise manner for binding to hDPP4.
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Introduction

The Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) has affected 27 countries in four continents with 2090 cases and a fatality rate of 34.9% since its emergence in 2012. The etiological agent, MERS coronavirus (MERS-CoV), belongs to Betacoronavirus lineage C [1, 2] and utilizes human dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (hDPP4) as receptor for cell entry [3]. While dromedaries are likely the immediate animal source of the epidemic [4-6], bats also harbor MERS-CoV-related viruses which may suggest a possible bat origin [7-13]. However, the evolutionary pathway and direct ancestor of MERS-CoV remains obscure. In particular, there is an evolutionary gap between MERS-CoV and related bat viruses.

Since the SARS epidemic, numerous novel CoVs have been discovered [14-16], with bats uncovered as an important reservoir for alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses [17-21]. When MERS-CoV was first discovered, it was most closely related to *Tylonycteris* bat CoV HKU4 (Ty-BatCoV HKU4) and *Pipistrellus* bat CoV HKU5 (Pi-BatCoV HKU5) previously discovered in Lesser bamboo bat (*Tylonycteris pachypus*) and Japanese pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus abramus*) respectively in Hong Kong [1, 7-10, 22]. The spike of Ty-BatCoV HKU4, but not that of Pi-BatCoV HKU5, was able to utilize the MERS-CoV receptor, hDPP4 or CD26, for cell entry [3, 23]. Subsequently, three other lineage C betacoronaviruses, Coronavirus Neoromicia/PML-PHE1/RSA/2011 (NeoCoV), BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013 and BatCoV PREDICT/PDF-2180 were also detected in vesper bats from China or Africa [11-13, 24]. A lineage C betacoronavirus, Erinaceus CoV VMC/DEU, has also been found in European hedgehogs [25]. This is interesting because hedgehogs are phylogenetically closely related to bats. MERS-CoV can infect bat cell lines and Jamaican fruit bats [25, 26], further suggesting that bats may be the primary host of MERS-CoV ancestors.
Although NeoCoV represents the closest bat counterpart of MERS-CoV in most genome regions, its spike (S) protein is genetically divergent from that of MERS-CoV [11], suggesting an evolutionary gap between existing MERS-CoV and bat viruses and an immediate ancestor of MERS-CoV yet to be discovered. To identify the potential bat origin and understand the evolutionary path of MERS-CoV, we collected bat samples from various regions in China. Diverse CoVs were detected, including a novel lineage C betacoronavirus from Chinese pipistrelle (Hypsugo pulveratus), which can utilize hDPP4 for cell entry. The results support a bat origin of MERS-CoV and suggested stepwise evolution of spike protein in hDPP4 binding.
Materials and methods

**Ethics statement.** Bat samples were collected by Guangdong Institute of Applied Biological Resources, Guangzhou, China, in accordance with guidelines of Regulations for Administration of Laboratory Animals under a license from Guangdong Entomological Institute Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care.

**Detection of CoVs from bats.** Samples were collected from bats captured from various locations in seven provinces of China (Figure 1) during 2013–2015 using procedures described previously [27, 28]. Viral RNA extraction was performed using QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGen, Hilden, Germany). CoV detection was performed by Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) targeting a 440-bp fragment of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) gene using conserved primers (5’-GGTTGGGACTATCCTAAGTGTGA-3’ and 5’-ACCATCATCNGANARDATCATNA-3’) as described previously [16]. A phylogenetic tree was constructed with maximum likelihood method using GTR+G+I substitution model by MEGA 6.0.

**Viral culture.** The two Hp-BatCoV HKU25 samples were subject to virus isolation in Vero E6 (ATCC CRL-1586), Huh-7 (JCRB0403), PK15 (ATCC CCL-33) and *Rousettus lechenaultii* primary kidney cells (in-house) as described previously [29].

**Complete genome sequencing and analysis of Hp-BatCoV HKU25.** Two Hp-BatCoV HKU25 complete genomes were sequenced according to our published strategy [27]. A total of 75 sets of primers, available on request, were used for PCR. The assembled genome sequences were compared to those of other CoVs using the comprehensive coronavirus database CoVDB (http://covdb.microbiology.hku.hk) [30]. The time of the most recent common ancestor
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(tMRCA) was estimated based on ORF1ab sequences, using uncorrelated exponential distributed relaxed clock (UCED) model in BEAST version 1.8 (http://evolve.zoo.ox.ac.uk/beast/) [31].

**Cloning of recombinant S1-receptor-binding-domain (RBD) proteins.** The S1-RBD sequences of of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 (residues 374-604) and MERS-CoV (residues 367-606) were cloned into mammalian expression vector pCAGGS containing signal peptide (CD5) and C-terminal Fc tag from mouse IgG2a (mFc) [32, 33]. The expression plasmids were transiently transfected into human embryonic kidney HEK293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216). The recombinant HKU25-RBD-mFc and MERS-RBD-mFc proteins were purified by protein A-based affinity chromatography.

**Protein binding with flow cytometry and fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis.** Huh7 (normal or DPP4 knockdown using small interfering RNA (siRNA)) or 293T (normal or transfected with DPP4-expressing plasmid) cells were incubated with 10 µg/ml MERS-RBD-mFc or 40 µg/ml HKU25-RBD-mFc at 4°C for 1 h. Cells were then stained with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG on ice for 30 min. Protein-to-cell binding was analyzed using BD FACS LSRII instrument (BD Bioscience, East Rutherford, New Jersey, USA).

**Immunostaining and confocal microscopy.** Huh7 cells were fixed on glass coverslips and incubated with 50 µg/ml HKU25-RBD-mFc or 20 µg/ml MERS-RBD-mFc in PBS at 4°C for 1 h, followed by staining with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG. Cell nuclei were stained using 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in mounting medium. Images were acquired with 63× oil objectives using a Zeiss LSM510 Meta laser scanning confocal microscope.

**Knockdown of hDPP4 expression using siRNAs.** siRNA duplexes against hDPP4 (5’-UGACAUGCCUCAGUUGUAU-3’) were synthesized by Nucleic Acids Center at National Institute of Health.
of Biological Sciences, Beijing, China, with non-targeting siRNA as negative control (Ctrl-si). Ten picomoles of siRNA were transfected into Huh7 cells with Lipofectamine RNAiMax (Invitrogen). Knockdown efficiency was determined by quantitative qRT-PCR analysis using primers specific for hDPP4 (5’-CCTGCTTCTATGTTGATA-3’; 5’-CGAATAGTTCTGAATCCT-3’) and western blot analysis using anti-hDPP4 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). The mRNA levels of target genes were normalized to that of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gapdh) gene [34].

**Immunoprecipitation.** To identify the direct interaction between MERS-RBD-mFc or HKU25-RBD-mFc and hDPP4, HEK 293T cells were transfected with hDPP4-expressing plasmids and lysed with RIPA buffer containing 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) 48 h after transfection. Cell lysates were incubated with purified MERS-RBD-mFc or HKU25-RBD-mFc and Dynal protein A Sepharose beads at 4°C overnight. The bound fractions of immunoprecipitates (IP) and total cell lysate (as input) were analyzed by western blot with anti-mFc, anti-hDPP4 or anti-GAPDH antibodies.

**Pseudovirus production.** Retroviruses pseudotyped with MERS-CoV, Ty-BatCoV HKU4, Pi-BatCoV HKU5 and Hy-BatCoV HKU25 S proteins were packaged by HEK293FT cells (R70007, Invitrogen). Briefly, plasmid containing the respective CoV S gene was co-transfected with a plasmid containing luciferase gene but env-defective HIV-1 (pNL 4-3.Luc.RE) into 293FT cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Culture supernatant was concentrated with 5× PEG-it virus precipitation solution (SBI). For mock pseudoviruses (∆env) bearing no S protein, empty plasmid was co-transfected with pNL 4-3.Luc.RE.

**Pseudovirus cell entry assay.** HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmid containing hDPP4 gene and empty plasmid (as mock-transfected control) by Lipofectamine 2000.
Pseudoviruses bearing CoV S proteins were treated by 100μg/ml Tosyl phenylalanyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin at 37°C for 30 min prior to infection. After trypsin inactivation, pseudovirus infections were performed by spinning at 1200 g at 4°C for 2 h and incubation at 37°C for 5 h. Cells were then incubated for 72 h and lysed for luciferase activity determination using Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Fitchburg, USA). To test for inhibition of pseudovirus-mediated cell entry by anti-hDPP4 antibodies, HEK293T cells transfected with hDPP4 were pre-incubated with 10 μg/ml anti-hDPP4 polyclonal antibodies (R&D systems) at 37°C for 1 h before pseudovirus infection.

**Structural modelling of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 RBD.** The model of HKU25-RBD and HKU5-RBD was built with the crystal structure of MERS-RBD/hDPP4 using SWISS-MODEL with default parameters and analyzed using Discovery Studio visualizer (Accelrys, San Diego, USA), and the Ramachandran plot were examined to ensure that the structure of the models were not in any unfavorable region. The models of HKU4-RBD and HKU5-RBD were also built as positive and negative controls respectively with the same parameters, and were superimposed for comparison.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The nt and genome sequences of CoVs detected in this study have been lodged within GenBank under accession no. KX442564, KX442565, and KX447541 to KX447565.
Results

Detection of CoVs in bats and discovery of a novel lineage C betacoronavirus from Chinese pipistrelle.

A total of 1964 alimentary samples from bats belonging to 19 different genera and 44 species were obtained from seven provinces of China. RT-PCR for a 440-bp fragment of RdRp gene of CoVs was positive in samples from 29 bats of five species belonging to four genera (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Sequence analysis showed that four samples contained alphacoronaviruses, five contained lineage B betacoronaviruses and 20 contained lineage C betacoronaviruses (Supplementary Figure 1).

Of the 20 lineage C betacoronavirus sequences, 18 sequences from Tylonycteris pachypus possessed 96% nt identities to Ty-BatCoV HKU4. The other two lineage C betacoronavirus sequences (YD131305 and NL140462) showed ≤86% nt identities to MERS-CoV or other lineage C betacoronaviruses, suggesting a potentially novel lineage C betacoronavirus closely related to MERS-CoV (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). Both samples were collected from Chinese pipistrelle (Hypsugo pulveratus) bats, belongs to the family Vespertilionidae, captured in Guangdong Province (Figure 1). We proposed this novel CoV to be named Hypsugo pulveratus bat coronavirus HKU25 (Hp-BatCoV HKU25). Attempts to passage Hp-BatCoV HKU25 YD131305 and NL140462 in cell cultures were not successful.

Genome features of Hp-BatCoV HKU25.

The complete genome sequences of the two Hp-BatCoV HKU25 strains, YD131305 and NL140462, were determined, with genome features similar to MERS-CoV including conserved ORF4a and ORF4b (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Table 4, Supplementary Figure 2 and
Supplementary Figure 3). They shared 95.9% overall nt identities, while possessing 82.0%, 73.2-73.9%, 73.5% and 69.3% nt identities to the genomes of BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013, human/camel MERS-CoVs, NeoCoV and Ty-BatCoV HKU4 respectively. Comparison of the seven conserved replicase domains for CoV species demarcation showed that Hp-BatCoV HKU25 represents a novel species under *Betacoronavirus* lineage C (Supplementary Table 5), with the concatenated sequence being most closely related to that of BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013 with 88.5% amino acid (aa) identities.

**Phylogenetic and molecular clock analysis.**

Phylogenetic trees constructed using RdRp, ORF1, S1 and N sequences of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 are shown in Figure 2. Hp-BatCoV HKU25 was most closely related to BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013, forming a distinct branch among lineage C betacoronaviruses. In RdRp, ORF1 and N genes, MERS-CoVs were most closely related to NeoCoV followed by the branch formed by Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013. In contrast, in S1 region, MERS-CoVs were most closely related to Ty-BatCoV HKU4, followed by the branch formed by Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013, but was only distantly related to NeoCoV. Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013 thus represent close relatives of MERS-CoV, while they occupied a position in between Ty-BatCoV HKU4 and Pi-BatCoV HKU4 in relation to MERS-CoV in S1 region.

Using the uncorrelated relaxed clock model on ORF1ab, tMRCA of human and camel MERS-CoVs was dated to 2009.56 [Highest Posterior Density (HPD), 2006.8–2011.3], while that of MERS-CoV, NeoCoV, Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013 was dated to 1939.32 (HPDs, 1899.5–1969.0) (Supplementary Figure 4).
Sequence analysis of Hp-BatCoV spike protein.

MERS-CoV utilizes hDPP4, a type II transmembrane protein, as receptor for initiation of infection [3]. The S1 domain responsible for hDPP4 receptor binding is located in a C-terminal 240-residue RBD that contains the receptor binding motif (RBM) which engages the receptor [35]. Using binding and pseudovirus assays, it was shown that Ty-BatCoV HKU4 S, but not Pi-BatCoV HKU5 S, can bind to and utilize hDPP4 for cell entry [23, 36]. Since phylogenetic analysis placed Hp-BatCoV HKU25-S1 at a position between Ty-BatCoV HKU4 and Pi-BatCoV HKU5 in relation to MERS-CoV, it would be interesting to know if Hp-BatCoV HKU25 may bind to and utilize hDPP4 for cell entry. As in other CoVs, Hp-BatCoV HKU25-S is predicted to be a type I membrane glycoprotein with two heptad repeats. The predicted Hp-BatCoV HKU25-S1-RBD shared 53.5% aa identities to that of MERS-CoV, with two short deletions compared to MERS-CoV and Ty-BatCoV HKU4 (Figure 3).

Previous structural studies have identified 12 critical residues (Y499, L506, D510, E513, W535, E536, D537, D539, Y540, R542, W553 and V555) for hDPP4 binding in MERS-CoV [23, 37]. In Ty-BatCoV HKU4, five (Y503, L510, E518, E541 and D542 corresponding to Y499, L506, E513, E536 and D537 in MERS-RBD) residues were conserved, which may allow binding to hDPP4. In Pi-BatCoV HKU5, one of the 12 conserved residues (D543 corresponding to D537 in MERS-RBD) was found. In Hp-BatCoV HKU25, one residue (R546 in strain YD131305/R547 in strain NL140462 corresponding to R542 in MERS-RBD) was conserved in both strains and an additional residue (V554 corresponding to V555 in MERS-RBD) was conserved in strain NL140462 (Figure 3).

**Hp-BatCoV HKU25-S1-RBD binds hDPP4 but with lower efficiency than MERS-CoV S1-RBD.**

To examine the ability of Hp-BatCoV HKU25-S to bind hDPP4, we expressed and purified hDPP4 and the S1-RBD domains of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 (residues 374–604) and MERS-CoV
(residues 367–606) using procedures described previously [32, 33]. We first tested the binding efficiency of the S1-RBD domains to Huh7 cells (human hepatocellular carcinoma cells with endogenous hDPP4 expression) using flow cytometry. HKU25-RBD can bind to Huh7 cells, although the observed fluorescence shift was smaller than MERS-RBD (Figure 4, panel A). This indicates that HKU25-RBD can bind to hDPP4-expressing Huh7 cells with lower binding efficiency than that of MERS-RBD. To confirm that the binding is mediated by hDPP4, we obtained Huh7 cells with small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown of hDPP4 (confirmed by mRNA expression and western blot) (Figure 4, panel C). A significant reduction of fluorescence shift was observed in both HKU25-RBD- and MERS-RBD-mediated binding to hDPP4-knockdown Huh7 cells when compared to hDPP4-expressing Huh7 cells (Figure 4, panel A). Moreover, HKU25-RBD and MERS-RBD could only bind to HEK293T cells (lacking endogenous hDPP4 expression) after transfection with hDPP4-expressing plasmid, although the binding efficiency to hDPP4-expressing HEK293T cells was lower for HKU25-RBD than MERS-RBD (Figure 4, panel B). Second, we also confirmed the binding of HKU25-RBD to Huh7 cell surface by confocal microscopy (Figure 4, panel D). Third, immunoprecipitation assays showed that hDPP4 protein can be specifically pulled down by both MERS-RBD and HKU25-RBD (Figure 5). These results indicated that HKU25-RBD can bind to hDPP4 on cell surface, but with lower efficiency than MERS-CoV-RBD.

**HKU25 pseudovirus can utilize hDPP4 for cell entry but with lower infection efficiency than MERS and HKU4 pseudoviruses.**

To determine if Hp-BatCoV HKU25-S can mediate viral entry into hDPP4-expressing human cells, we performed HKU25-S-mediated pseudovirus entry assay. Since the S protein of Ty-BatCoV HKU4 but not that of Pi-BatCoV HKU5 can utilize hDPP4 for cell entry [36], we included HKU4-S, HKU5-S and MERS-S mediated pseudovirus entry assays for comparison.
Pseudovirus assays were used because isolation of live Hp-BatCoV HKU25 was not successful, as with most bat CoVs which are often difficult to culture. Retroviruses pseudotyped with luciferase and the respective S proteins were tested for entry to HEK293T cells with or without hDPP4 expression. MERS-S most robustly mediated pseudovirus entry into hDPP4-expressing HEK293T cells, followed by HKU4-S and HKU25-S, as shown by luciferase activities measured. All three pseudoviruses showed marked increase in luciferase activities in hDPP4-expressing HEK293T cells compared to cells without hDPP4 expression (Figure 6). Moreover, anti-hDPP4 polyclonal antibodies could competitively block HKU25-S, HKU4-S and MERS-S pseudovirus entry to hDPP4-expressing HEK293T cells, further confirming the binding specificity. In contrast, HKU5-S and control retroviruses not pseudotyped with S did not mediate pseudovirus entry into hDPP4-expressing HEK293T cells (Figure 6). These results showed that hDPP4 is a possible functional receptor for Hp-BatCoV HKU25, although cell entry may be less efficient than Ty-BatCoV HKU4 and MERS-CoV.

**Structural modelling of RBD-hDPP4 binding interphase**

To predict the RBD-hDPP4 binding-interface, the structures of HKU25-, MERS-, HKU4- and HKU5-RBDs were modelled with that of hDPP4 using homology modelling. The sequence identity between HKU25-RBD and MERS-RBD (template) was >50% and the RBD-hDPP4 interface for all RBDs was similar (Supplementary Figure 5), except that only MERS-RBD and HKU4-RBD possess the extended loop between β6 and β7 involved in interaction with hDPP4 [23]. A negative-charge residue, E536, located in MERS-RBD, corresponding to E541 in HKU4-RBD, can interact with the carbohydrate moiety of hDPP4, whereas HKU5-RBD contains a positive-charge residue, R542, and HKU25-RBD contains an uncharged residue, T540/A541 at the corresponding
position. These findings supported that the binding of HKU25-RBD to hDPP4 may be weaker than that of MERS-RBD and HKU4-RBD but stronger than that of HKU5-RBD.
Discussion

The novel lineage C betacoronavirus, Hp-BatCoV HKU25, helps to fill the evolutionary gap between existing bat viruses and MERS-CoV, and offers new insights into the evolutionary origin of MERS-CoV. Hp-BatCoV HKU25 shared similar genome features with MERS-CoV, including the conserved ORF4a and ORF4b with predicted domains for dsRNA binding and antagonizing interferon signals respectively [38, 39]. Phylogenetically, Hp-BatCoV HKU25, together with BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013, was closely related to MERS-CoV and NeoCoV in most genome regions, suggesting that these viruses share a common ancestral origin. While the S1 of NeoCoV is only distantly related to MERS-CoV, the S1 of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 was at a phylogenetic position closely related to MERS-CoV, only second to Ty-BatCoV HKU4. On the other hand, the S1 of NeoCoV is most closely related to Erinaceus CoV from European hedgehogs. Since NeoCoV was detected in an African bat, it is more likely a recombinant virus between bat and hedgehog CoVs in Africa. Moreover, it was shown that the S of BatCoV PREDICT/PDF-2180, which is closely related to NeoCoV in all genome regions, cannot mediate entry to hDPP4-expressing cells [13]. This further supported that NeoCoV and PREDICT/PDF-2180 are unlikely the immediate ancestors of MERS-CoV. On the other hand, Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and related viruses may represent close relatives to the immediate ancestor of MERS-CoV, based on its phylogenetic position in all genome regions including S protein.

The ability of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 to utilize hDPP4 for cell entry suggests that the S protein of related bat viruses may have evolved to cross the species barrier during the emergence of MERS-CoV. Using binding and pseudovirus assays, we demonstrated the ability of HKU25-S to bind to and utilize hDPP4 for cell entry, though with infection efficiency lower than that of MERS-S and HKU4-S. This is not only in line with the phylogenetic position of HKU25-S1
between HKU4-S1 (which can bind to hDPP4) and HKU5-S1 (which cannot), but is also consistent with findings from structural modelling. Our results suggested MERS-CoV may have originated from bat viruses having acquired a stepwise increasing ability to bind hDPP4 as they evolved. Previous molecular dating studies estimated that the time of divergence of MERS-CoVs was approximately 2010/2011 [40-43]. The present dating results are in line with such estimation, with the tMRCA of MERS-CoVs dated to approximately 2009, and that of MERS-CoV, NeoCoV, Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013 dated to approximately 1939. Therefore, the immediate ancestor of MERS-CoV could well have emerged from bats in the last century through evolution in its S protein before jumping to camels and humans.

The evolutionary path of MERS-CoV may be different from that of SARS-CoV. For SARS-CoV, the overlapping habitat and geographical distribution of different horseshoe bats in China is believed to have fostered viral recombination leading to the epidemic. SARS-CoV is most likely a recombinant virus arising from ancestral viruses in horseshoe bats before it jumped to civet and then humans [44-47]. In contrast, there is currently no evidence to suggest that MERS-CoV is a recombinant virus. A previous study suggested that the genetically divergent S1 in NeoCoV may indicate intraspike recombination events involved in the emergence of MERS-CoV [11]. As explained above, NeoCoV, rather than MERS-CoV, is more likely a recombinant virus. On the other hand, a stepwise evolution of the S protein in gaining the ability to utilize camel and human DPP4 may be an important mechanism for interspecies transmission during the emergence of MERS-CoV.

Our results further support a possible bat origin of MERS-CoV and suggest that continuous surveillance of bats in the Middle East, Africa and other regions may reveal the immediate animal origin of MERS-CoV. The application of similar state-of-the-art molecular
studies on naturally evolving ancestral and intermediate viruses along the evolutionary path may provide further clues in understanding the mechanism of interspecies transmission of emerging viruses, while obviating the risks of generating dangerous mutants using the controversial, gain-of-function studies.
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LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Figure 1 Map showing various sampling locations in seven provinces of China (Guangxi, Guangdong, Shanxi, Zhejiang, Yunnan, Hainan and Guizhou). Sampling locations with Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and other CoVs detected are in blue and red respectively.

Figure 2 Phylogenetic analyses of RdRp, ORF1, S1 and N nucleotide sequences of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and other lineage C betacoronaviruses (B). The trees were constructed by maximum likelihood method using GTR+G substitution models respectively and bootstrap values calculated from 1000 trees. Trees were rooted using corresponding sequences of HCoV HKU1 (GenBank accession number NC_006577). Only bootstrap values >70% are shown. (A) 2775 nt (B) 20694 nt (C) 3740 nt (D) 1167 nt positions respectively were included in the analyses. The scale bars represent (A) 20 (B) 20 (C) 10 (D) 10 substitutions per site respectively. Human and camel MERS-CoVs are in purple, Ty-BatCoV HKU4 and Pi-BatCoV HKU5 are in blue, NeoCoV is in green and BetaCoV/SC2013 is in pink. The two Hp-BatCoV HKU25 strains, YD131305 and NL140462, detected in this study are in red and bolded. Structural modeling of the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the spike protein of Hp-BatCoV HKU25 (B). The models of RBDs of HKU4-4S (green), HKU25-YD131305 (magenta), HKU25-NL140462 (gold) and HKU5-27S (gray) are shown with hDPP4 structure (violet) in ribbon diagram. The interface of different RBDs and hDPP4 are zoomed and the residues that highlighted in multiple sequence alignment from Fig. 2 are shown in ball-and-stick format colored by element (carbon, gray; nitrogen, blue; oxygen, red). Strands of β6 and β7 are labeled in the structure of HKU4-4S only. The figures were produced using Discovery Studio visualizer (Accelrys).

Figure 3 Multiple alignment of the amino acid sequences of the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the spike protein of MERS-CoV and corresponding sequences in Hp-BatCoV HKU25 and other lineage C betacoronaviruses. Asterisks indicate positions with fully conserved residues. The two amino acid
deletions in Hp-BatCoV HKU25 compared to MERS-CoV and Ty-BatCoV HKU4 are indicated with red boxes. The 12 critical residues for receptor binding in MERS-CoV are highlighted in different colors. The 10 residues marked below the alignment are based on (Wang, 2013)[37] and the other two residues marked above the alignment are based on (Wang, 2014)[23]. Y499, highlighted in blue, formed hydrogen bond with DPP4 residue. L506, W553 and V555, highlighted in green, formed a hydrophobic core surrounded by hydrophilic residues D510, E513 and Y540, which are highlighted in yellow. D510 and E513 also contributed to salt bridge interaction and hydrogen bonding with DPP4 residues. E536, D537 and D539, highlighted in pink, formed negative-charged surface. W535, highlighted in grey and R542, highlighted in purple, are residues that have strong polar contact with DPP4 residues.

**Figure 4** Binding of HKU25-RBD with human cells was mediated by interacting with hDPP4 receptor. (A) FACS analysis of MERS-RBD-mFc (10 µg/ml) and HKU25-RBD-mFc (40 µg/ml) binding to Huh7 cells and hDPP4-knockdown Huh7 cells. (B) FACS analysis of MERS-RBD-mFc and HKU25-RBD-mFc binding to 293T cells and 293T cells transfected with hDPP4-expressing plasmid. The shaded area represents the secondary antibody control. (C) Determination of siRNA efficiency by qRT-PCR and western blot analysis using primers and antibody specific for hDPP4. (D) MERS-RBD-mFc and HKU25-RBD-mFc binding to a molecule(s) located on the Huh7 cell surface. MERS-RBD-mFc and HKU25-RBD-mFc were detected by an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mFc antibody. Empty expressing plasmid was used as a negative control.

**Figure 5** MERS-RBD-mFc (A) and HKU25-RBD-mFc (B) proteins directly bind with hDPP4. HEK 293T cells were transfected with hDPP4-expressing plasmids, MERS-RBD-mFc (A) and HKU25-RBD-mFc (B) proteins were used for immunoprecipitation of lysates of HEK 293T cells transfected with hDPP4-expressing or
empty plasmids. Empty plasmid was mock-transfected as negative control. hDPP4 was coprecipitated from the lysates, as detected by antibody specific for hDPP4. GAPDH was used as a loading control.

**Figure 6** HEK293T cells transfected with empty plasmid or hDPP4 were infected by retroviruses pseudotyped with MERS-CoV, Ty-BatCoV HKU4, Pi-BatCoV HKU5 and Hp-BatCoV HKU25 S proteins with mock pseudovirus (∆env) as control. The cells were also preincubated with anti-hDPP4 antibodies to test for cell entry inhibition. Cell entry efficiencies were assayed by luciferase activity measurement after 72 h.
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