Porcine deltacoronavirus accessory protein NS6 antagonizes IFN-β production by interfering with the binding of RIG-I/MDA5 to double-stranded RNA
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ABSTRACT

Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) has recently emerged as an enteric pathogen that can cause serious vomiting and diarrhea in suckling piglets. The first outbreak of PDCoV occurred in the United States in 2014 and was followed by reports of PDCoV in South Korea, China, Thailand, Lao people’s Democratic Republic, and Vietnam, leading to economic losses for pig farms and posing considerable threat to the swine industry worldwide. Our previous studies have shown that PDCoV encodes three accessory proteins, NS6, NS7, and NS7a, but the functions of these proteins in viral replication, pathogenesis, and immune regulation remain unclear. Here, we found that ectopic expression of accessory protein NS6 significantly inhibits Sendai virus-induced interferon-β (IFN-β) production, as well as the activation of transcription factors IRF3 and NF-κB. Interestingly, NS6 does not impede the IFN-β promoter activation mediated via key molecules in the RIG-I-like receptor (RLR) signaling pathway, specifically RIG-I, MDA5, and their downstream molecules MAVS, TBK1, IKKe, and IRF3. Further analyses revealed that NS6 is not a RNA-binding protein; however, it interacts with RIG-I/MDA5. This interaction attenuates the binding of double-stranded RNA by RIG-I/MDA5, resulting in the reduction of RLR-mediated IFN-β production. Taken together, our results demonstrate that ectopic expression of NS6 antagonizes IFN-β production by interfering with the binding of RIG-I/MDA5 to double-stranded RNA, revealing a new strategy employed by PDCoV accessory proteins to counteract the host innate antiviral immune response.
IMPORTANCE

Coronavirus accessory proteins are species-specific, and they perform multiple functions in viral pathogenicity and immunity, such as acting as interferon (IFN) antagonists and cell death inducers. Our previous studies have shown that porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) encodes three accessory proteins. Here, we demonstrated for the first time that PDCoV accessory protein NS6 antagonizes IFN-β production by interacting with RIG-I and MDA5 to impede their association with double-stranded RNA. This is an efficient strategy of antagonizing type I IFN production by disrupting the binding of host pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). These findings deepen our understanding of the function of accessory protein NS6 and may direct us toward novel therapeutic targets and lead to the development of more effective vaccines against PDCoV infection.
Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) is a swine enteropathogenic coronavirus that can lead to acute diarrhea and vomiting in infected nursing piglets (1-3). PDCoV was first detected in Hong Kong in 2012 (4). However, the first outbreak of PDCoV occurred in Ohio in 2014, after which it rapidly spread to other states of the United States (5-9). Subsequently, other countries, including South Korea (10), China (11-13), Thailand (14), Lao people’s Democratic Republic (15), and Vietnam (16) have reported a prevalence of PDCoV. Furthermore, a recent report indicated that calves are also susceptible to PDCoV, highlighting the significant threat to animal health posed by this virus and gaining tremendous attention (17, 18).

PDCoV is an enveloped, single-stranded, positive-sense RNA virus belonging to the genus *Deltacoronavirus* of the family Coronaviridae (4). The full-length genome of PDCoV is approximately 25.4 kb in length, with the essential genes occurring in the order 5′ UTR-ORF1a/1b-S-E-M-NS6-N-NS7-NS7a-3′ UTR and encoding a total of 15 mature nonstructural proteins, four structural proteins, and three accessory proteins (13, 19-21). Coronavirus accessory proteins are species-specific, and each coronavirus encodes various amounts of accessory proteins interspaced between viral structural protein genes. For example, feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV), which is an alphacoronavirus, and infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), which is a gammacoronavirus, each have four accessory proteins, while another alphacoronavirus, porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), has only one accessory protein and the betacoronavirus severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
(SARS-CoV) has eight (22). Though coronavirus accessory proteins have been widely considered to be dispensable for viral replication in vitro (23-25), extensive reports have indicated that many accessory proteins are involved in immune regulation, such as SARS-CoV ORF3b, ORF6, and ORF9b (26-28), the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) ORF4a and ORF4b (29-31), and mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) ns2 (32, 33). To our knowledge, there is no report on the functions of PDCoV accessory proteins.

In virus-infected cells, certain viral RNA replication intermediates, leader RNAs, or defective interfering RNAs with 5′ triphosphates are generated, and these substances act as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) that are recognized by host pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs), such as retinoic acid-induced gene I (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation gene 5 (MDA5) in the cytoplasm (34-36). Upon PAMP recognition, RIG-I and MDA5 are activated, resulting in the recruitment of mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS) (also known as IPS-1, VIAS, or Cardif) to the RIG-I-like receptor (RLR) signalosome; this leads to IFN-β production via activation of the complex formed by transcription factor IRF3 and NF-κB-activator TBK1/IKKe followed by the subsequent activation of IRF3 and NF-κB (37, 38). However, many viruses, including CoVs, have evolved various mechanisms to antagonize IFN via targeting multiple steps in the IFN signaling pathway (39-44). Previous studies have demonstrated that PDCoV infection suppresses the RIG-I-mediated production of type I IFN (45). However, the details of the molecular mechanism by which PDCoV regulates IFN activity are still largely
unknown. Accessory protein NS6 is encoded between the M and N genes in the PDCoV genome; it is expressed in virus-infected cytoplasm and has been detected in purified virions (19). Interestingly, SARS-CoV accessory proteins ORF6 and ORF9b have also been identified as virion-associated proteins, as well as IFN antagonists (46-48). Therefore, we are aimed to investigate whether or not PDCoV NS6 participates in the regulation of the RLR-mediated IFN signaling pathway.

In this study, our findings clearly reveal that overexpression of PDCoV NS6 inhibits IFN-β production via interacting with RIG-I and MDA5 to disturb their association with PAMP double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), a known initial step of IFN signaling pathway.

RESULTS

PDCoV NS6 inhibits Sendai virus (SeV)-induced IFN-β production

To investigate whether or not PDCoV NS6 is an IFN antagonist, human embryonic kidney (HEK-293T) cells or porcine kidney (LLC-PK1) cells were co-transfected for 24 h with increasing amounts of NS6 expression plasmid (pCAGGS-HA-NS6) or empty vector, together with the firefly luciferase reporter plasmid IFN-β-Luc and Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid pRL-TK (as internal control), and then infected with SeV for 12 h. The cells were lysed, and the resultant lysates were subjected to dual-luciferase reporter assays. The results showed that the SeV-induced IFN-β-Luc promoter activation was significantly inhibited by NS6 overexpression in both cell lines (Fig. 1A and 1B). To further confirm the results from these IFN-β-Luc reporter
assays, we performed IFN bioassays by using an IFN-sensitive vesicular stomatitis virus expressing green fluorescent protein (VSV-GFP). The level of VSV-GFP replication is inversely linked to the levels of secreted IFN-α/β from the transfected HEK-293T cells. As seen in Fig. 1C, cellular supernatants from SeV-infected cells significantly inhibited the replication of VSV-GFP in HEK-293T cells. However, the natural replication of VSV-GFP was, to a large extent, restored by the presence of supernatants from cells expressing NS6 compared with that of supernatants from empty vector-transfected cells. To rule out the possibility that the NS6 protein itself affects the replication of SeV, relatively quantitative real-time RT-PCR was performed to detect SeV HN gene expression in pCAGGS-HA–NS6-transfected HEK-293T cells. As shown in Fig. 1D, there was no significant difference in the amount of SeV HN mRNA in pCAGGS-HA-NS6-transfected cells compared with that in empty vector-transfected cells, indicating that the observed NS6-mediated inhibition of IFN expression was not due to a general restriction of SeV replication. These results strongly indicate that PDCoV NS6 antagonizes IFN-β production.

**PDCoV NS6 impairs activation of IRF3 and NF-κB**

The transcription factors IRF3 and NF-κB are required for the induction of IFN-β production. Since our above results indicate that PDCoV NS6 antagonizes IFN-β production, we next explored the effect of NS6 on the activation of IRF3 and NF-κB. To this end, HEK-293T cells were transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 and the luciferase reporter plasmid IRF3-Luc or NF-κB-Luc (each contains four copies of the
IRF- or NF-κB-binding motif of the IFN-β promoter upstream of the firefly luciferase reporter gene), along with the internal control plasmid pRL-TK, followed 24 h later by stimulation with SeV for 12 h. As seen in Fig. 2, the SeV-induced activation of both IRF3-dependent (Fig. 2A) and NF-κB-dependent (Fig. 2B) promoters was dose-dependently impaired by overexpressing NS6.

IRF3 and NF-κB are regarded as critical regulatory factors in the initiation of the innate antiviral response. They are activated via phosphorylation and nuclear translocation upon viral infection, followed by the assembly of coordinately activated transcription factors and the induction of transcription of specific defense genes, such as IFN-β (49, 50). Therefore, we further investigated the impact of NS6 protein on the phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of IRF3 and NF-κB by performing western blotting and indirect immunofluorescent assays (IFAs). As shown in Fig. 2, the levels of phosphorylated IRF3 and p65 were markedly enhanced in SeV-infected cells compared with those in mock-infected cells. However, the SeV-mediated IRF3 and p65 phosphorylation levels were notably lower in NS6-expressing cells (Fig. 2C and 2D). In agreement with the western blot results, the nuclear translocations of IRF3 and p65 were also impeded by NS6 protein (Fig. 2E and 2F). These results strongly support the idea that PDCoV NS6 acts as an IFN antagonistic protein by blocking the activation of IRF3 and p65.

PDCoV NS6 fails to disrupt IFN-β promoter activation driven by RIG-I, MDA5, MAVS, TBK1, IKKe, or IRF3
SeV is a strong inducer of the RLR-mediated IFN-β signaling pathway (51). The finding that NS6 protein inhibits the SeV-mediated activation of IRF3 and p65 indicates that NS6 protein may block the RLR-mediated type I IFN signaling pathway. To investigate this possibility and to determine at which step the NS6 protein displays its activity, we measured the effect of NS6 on the IFN-β production induced by a series of key signaling molecules in the RLR signaling pathway, specifically RIG-I, RIG-IN (a constitutively activated RIG-I mutant), MDA5, MAVS, TBK1, IKKε, and IRF3. Based on a comparison with the corresponding empty vector-transfected cells, NS6 failed to block the activation of the IFN-β promoter in cells overexpressing any of the above signaling molecules (Fig. 3). These results suggest that the inhibition of IFN-β production by NS6 may occur via targeting the RLR signaling pathway at the level of RIG-I/MDA5 or the upstream signaling components.

NS6 protein blocks the IFN-β promoter activation induced by the combination of RIG-I/MDA5 and SeV/poly(I:C)

Although NS6 does not inhibit RIG-I/MDA5-mediated IFN-β promoter activation (Fig. 3A and 3B), the ectopic expression of NS6 significantly inhibits SeV-mediated IFN-β production (Fig. 1A and 1B). To further investigate the role of NS6, we next examined the effect of NS6 on the SeV-induced IFN-β promoter activation in RIG-I- or MDA5-expressing cells. HEK-293T cell were transfected with an expression construct encoding full-length RIG-I or MDA5 or with an empty vector, along with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 or its corresponding empty vector. After 24 h, these cells were
stimulated with SeV or poly(I:C) (a synthetic mimic of dsRNA) for 12 h, after which dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed. As shown in Fig. 4, SeV/poly(I:C) stimulation notably induced the activation of the IFN-β promoter, but the increased activation was significantly lower in the presence of NS6 protein. Overexpression of either RIG-I or MDA5 resulted in a significant activation of IFN-β promoter, and this activation did not appear to be inhibited by NS6 protein. These results are consistent with those shown in Fig. 1A and Fig. 3A and 3B. RIG-I/MDA5-mediated activation of the IFN-β promoter increased dramatically following stimulation with SeV or poly(I:C). However, the synergistic activation of IFN-β promoter induced by RIG-I (Fig. 4A and 4B) or MDA5 (Fig. 4C and 4D) coupled with SeV/poly(I:C) was significantly inhibited by NS6 protein. Based on these findings, we speculate that the inhibition of IFN-β production by NS6 may occur at the RIG-I/MDA5-dsRNA-recognition step.

NS6 protein interacts with both RIG-I and MDA5

To further investigate the hypothesis that NS6 targets the initial RIG-I/MDA5-dsRNA-recognition step, we tested if NS6 is able to interact with RIG-I or MDA5, leading to the blockage of their functions. HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with expression plasmids encoding HA-tagged NS6 protein and Flag-tagged RIG-I or MDA5, followed by co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) and western blot analyses with anti-HA and anti-Flag monoclonal antibodies (MAbs), respectively. Both RIG-I and MDA5 were efficiently co-immunoprecipitated with
HA-NS6 by anti-HA MAb (Fig. 5A and 5B). In a reverse Co-IP experiment, NS6 proteins were also efficiently co-immunoprecipitated with RIG-I or MDA5 by anti-Flag MAb (Fig. 5C and 5D). Furthermore, IFAs also demonstrated that HA-NS6 and Flag-RIG-I or MDA5 were co-localized and were both distributed predominately in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5E and 5F).

Previous studies have identified RIG-I and MDA5 as dsRNA-binding proteins (29, 52). Based on this feature, we hypothesized that the interaction between NS6 and RIG-I or MDA5 may be mediated by RNA with a tertiary complex form. To test this possibility, HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with NS6 and RIG-I or MDA5 expression plasmids for 24 h, followed by the transfection with poly(I:C). To exclude the non-specific binding of NS6 with RIG-I or MDA5, cells co-transfected with plasmid encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) were used as control. The lysates from transfected cells were treated with RNase A (50 μg/ml, TaKaRa) and then subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-HA (IP: HA). As shown in Fig. 5G and 5H, both RIG-I and MDA5, but not GFP, could be co-immunoprecipitated with NS6 protein under RNase A treatment, and the Co-IP efficiency did not change by the addition of RNase A. These results indicate that the specific interaction between RIG-I or MDA5 and NS6 is RNA-independent.

NS6 interacts with the carboxyl terminus domain of RIG-I and the helicase and carboxyl terminus domains of MDA5

Both RIG-I and MDA5 are RIG-I-like receptors, and they harbor similar functional
domains for the activation of type I IFN, including two N-terminal caspase-recruitment (CARDs) domains, a central DExD/H-box helicase domain (Hel), and a C-terminal domain (CTD) (53). To explore which domain of RIG-I/MDA5 binds to NS6, various expression plasmids encoding the 2CARD, Hel, or CTD of RIG-I/MDA5, were constructed. HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with HA-NS6 and a Flag-tagged 2CARD, Hel, or CTD expression plasmid of RIG-I/MDA5. At 28 h post-transfection, the cells were harvested and subjected to Co-IP analyses with anti-HA or anti-Flag MAb. When the immunoprecipitation was performed with anti-HA MAb, NS6 co-immunoprecipitated with the CTD of RIG-I, or the Hel and CTD of MDA5, but not with other mutants of RIG-I/MDA5 (Fig. 6A and B). In the reverse Co-IP experiments with anti-Flag MAb, both RIG-I CTD and MDA5 Hel and CTD were able to co-immunoprecipitate with NS6 (Fig. 6C and D). Together, these results indicate that NS6 specifically interacts with the CTD of RIG-I and the Hel and CTD of MDA5.

NS6 is not a dsRNA-binding protein

To investigate whether or not NS6 protein is able to bind RNA molecules, we performed a pulldown experiment with poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads or poly(C)-coated agarose beads (Sigma) (as negative control). This method has been extensively used to identify viral RNA-binding proteins, such as MERS-CoV 4a and Ebola VP35 protein (29, 54). RIG-I served as a positive control because it has been proven to interact directly with poly(I:C) (52). Previous work demonstrated that the
binding of N protein to RNA is a widespread feature for coronaviruses (55), so we investigated whether or not PDCoV N protein has a similar characteristic. As shown in Fig. 7, RIG-I could be detected bound to poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads but not bound to poly(C)-coated agarose beads, further confirming that RIG-I binds dsRNA; PDCoV N protein was found bound to both poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads and poly(C)-coated agarose beads, indicating that PDCoV N protein can bind both double- and single-stranded RNA. However, NS6 protein was not detected bound to either poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads or poly(C)-coated agarose beads, verifying that NS6 is not a RNA-binding protein.

NS6 attenuates the interaction of dsRNA with RIG-I/MDA5

Given our above finding that NS6 is not a RNA-binding protein, the possibility that NS6 inhibits SeV/poly(I:C)-induced IFN-β production by competing with RIG-I/MDA5 for dsRNA binding can be excluded. Thus, we speculated that NS6 protein disrupts or attenuates the binding of dsRNA with RIG-I/MDA5. A competition assay was performed by using a poly(I:C) pulldown assay. HEK-293T cells were transfected with Flag-RIG-I or -MDA5 and increasing amounts of HA-NS6. The clarified lysates from cells transfected with RIG-I or MDA5 expression constructs were incubated with those from cells transfected with increasing concentrations of NS6 expression plasmid, followed by supplementation with prepared poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads for 4 h at 4 °C. Bound RIG-I or MDA5 was then detected by western blotting. As seen in Fig. 8, the expressions of RIG-I, MDA5, and NS6 proteins were
clearly detected in whole cell lysates; however, significantly lower amounts of RIG-I (Fig. 8A) and MDA5 (Fig. 8B) co-immunoprecipitated with poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads were detected with increasing concentrations of NS6 protein. These results indicate that NS6 protein at least partially functions to block the recognition or binding of dsRNA by RIG-I or MDA5, leading to the antagonism of IFN-β production.

DISCUSSION

As species-specific proteins of coronaviruses, accessory proteins have received increasingly more attention over the past decade, and novel accessory proteins encoded by coronaviruses have been continually identified in virus-infected cells, such as the ORFX of bat SARS-like coronavirus (56) and the NS7a protein of PDCoV (20). In this study, we investigated the function of PDCoV NS6. Our results reveal that NS6 possesses the property of antagonizing IFN-β production, and it does so by interacting with the CTD of RIG-I and the Hel and CTD of MDA5, which attenuates the binding of RIG-I/MDA5-dsRNA.

RIG-I and MDA5 belong to the RIG-I-like helicase group of the SF2 family, and they are important cytoplasmic PRRs, which function as viral dsRNA receptors to initiate the type I IFN response against infection with an RNA virus (57). For CoV, MDA5 appears to be more important than RIG-I to recognize CoV replicative intermediates (58, 59). In an effort to evade host immune surveillance, many viral proteins target these two molecules to disrupt IFN signaling. For example, both
human respiratory syncytial virus NS2 protein and New World Arenavirus Z protein
antagonize the activation of IFN-β production via interacting with RIG-I to disturb its
association with the downstream signaling molecule MAVS (60, 61). Additionally, the
X protein encoded by Hepatitis B virus suppresses virus-triggered IFN-β induction via
interacting with MDA5 and MAVS to disrupt the formation of the MDA5–MAVS
complex (62). Furthermore, influenza A virus nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) interacts
with RIG-I and inhibits RIG-I ubiquitination to antagonize RIG-I-mediated IFN-β
production (51, 63). In this study, we found that PDCoV NS6 also interacts with
RIG-I/MDA5; however, differently from the mechanisms used by the viral proteins
mentioned above, PDCoV NS6 does not inhibit IFN-β production by overexpressing
RIG-I or MDA5. Moreover, NS6 also does not interact with MAVS and does not
disrupt the complex formation of RIG-I and MAVS, TBK1, or IKKε (data not shown),
which is not surprising given that NS6 does not inhibit the IFN-β promoter activity
induced by RIG-I, MDA5, MAVS, or their downstream molecules (Fig. 3).

Our results also demonstrate that PDCoV NS6 specifically interacts with the
CTD of RIG-I, however, it can interacts with the Hel and CTD of MDA5. RIG-I and
MDA5 have similar domain structures, possessing N-terminal tandem CARDs, a
central DExD/H-box type RNA helicase containing two RecA domains (Hel-1 and
Hel-2) with a family-specific insertion named Hel-2i within Hel-2, and a CTD (53, 64,
65). Based on the different molecular mechanisms for dsRNA recognition by MDA5
and RIG-I, especially the structural mechanism for the divergent RNA recognition by
RIG-I and MDA5 (65-68), the different interaction domain between RIG-I and MDA5
with NS6 is reasonable and can be explained. Previous studies demonstrated that the RIG-I CTD caps the dsRNA end and plays a predominant role in high-affinity binding and selectivity for dsRNA (66), while the MDA5 CTD binds to the dsRNA stem (65). Differently from RIG-I helicase domain, MDA5 helicase domain also contributes to the dsRNA stem recognition, and its role is beyond simply providing additional RNA affinity but likely includes precise positioning of the CTD for efficient recognition of the dsRNA stem (65). Interactions with the CTD of RIG-I and the Hel and CTD of MDA5 make the NS6 to block the binding of RIG-I/MDA5 with dsRNA. Take RIG-I for example, the binding of its CTD for viral RNA PAMPs can serve as the first step in initiating the activation of downstream signaling pathways (64). In the ligand-free state, the binding of CARDs to Hel-2i results in the formation of an auto-repressed state for this protein by sterically hindering the access of ubiquitination enzymes and of polyubiquitin binding to CARDs. Upon viral infection, the initial binding of viral dsRNA to the CTD results in its functional transformation from an auto-repressed state into a signaling competent configuration and the release of CARDs, subsequently leading to the 2CARD oligomerization, followed by its interaction with MAVS as described in Fig. 8C. However, the interaction between NS6 and the CTD of RIG-I or the Hel and CTD of MDA5 appears to block the dsRNA binding sites (CTDs or Hel), resulting in RIG-I/MDA5 having a reduced dsRNA-binding ability and less subsequent type I IFN production. Because NS6 is not a RNA-binding protein, the possibility that NS6 inhibits SeV/poly(I:C)-induced IFN-β production by competing with RIG-I/MDA5 for dsRNA binding can be excluded (Fig. 7). Thus, it is
possible that NS6 competes with dsRNA for binding to RIG-I/MDA5. The competition binding experiment results (Fig. 8) support this hypothesis, which is illustrated in Fig. 8C using RIG-I as a representative receptor. Indeed, previous work has also shown that the overexpression of the CTD inhibits RIG-I-dependent signaling in response to SeV infection (69), and the possible mechanism for it is mediated through the sequestration of viral RNA produced during SeV infection (52). Overall, our experiments reveal that NS6 utilizes a mechanism that is different from those of other viral proteins previously reported to antagonize RLR-mediated IFN-β production.

The NS6 protein is unique to PDCoV with no significant homology to other viral proteins of known coronaviruses. Previous work has shown that PDCoV NS6 is expressed during early virus infection and is distributed in the cytoplasm (19). It seems likely that the early expression of NS6 proteins in virus-infected cells is important because their direct interaction with RIG-I or MDA5 functions to prevent the recognition viral dsRNA by these proteins. It should be noted that the identified function of NS6 to antagonize IFN production in the present study is derived from overexpression and should be further tested in the context of live virus infection. Regrettably, a PDCoV reverse genetics system was not available when this work was conducted, preventing further investigation of the NS6 IFN antagonist activity at the level of virus infection in vivo. We are currently working to establish a PDCoV reverse genetics system, which will allow the generation of mutant or deletion viruses that can be used to further explore the functions of NS6 protein, such as the effects of...
Interestingly, this study found that PDCoV NS6 appears to interact preferentially with RNA-binding proteins, even though it is not a RNA-binding protein itself. In addition to the well-known RNA-binding proteins RIG-I and MDA5, PDCoV N protein was also found to be a RNA-binding protein (Fig. 7), and NS6 interacts with N protein (data not shown). Our previous study showed that PDCoV NS6 is associated with the purified viral particle, and NS6 is mainly localized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and ER-Golgi intermediate compartments, which are the sites of coronavirus assembly and packaging (19). Whether or not the interaction between NS6 and N protein is associated with viral replication and assembly is currently under investigation in our laboratory, and the resulting findings will greatly improve our understanding of the role of NS6 protein in viral replication and pathogenicity.

In summary, we report that overexpression of accessory protein NS6 antagonizes IFN-β production via interacting with RIG-I/MDA5 to impede their association with dsRNA, leading to the blockage of the beginning PRRs-dsRNA-recognition step. To date, only PDCoV nsp5 (70, 71) and NS6 protein (this study) have been identified as IFN antagonists, while at least eight proteins encoded by SARS-CoV have been identified as IFN antagonists (26, 27, 39, 72-74). The further identification and characterization of PDCoV-encoded IFN antagonists will accelerate the elucidation of the association between PDCoV and the IFN signaling pathway, which may lead to the development of novel effective therapeutic strategies and vaccines.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses and cells. The PDCoV strain CHN-HN-2014 (GenBank accession number KT336560) used in this study was isolated in China in 2014 from a piglet with severe diarrhea (75). SeV was obtained from the Centre of Virus Resource and Information, Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. VSV-GFP was gifted by Dr. Zhigao Bu at the Harbin Veterinary Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. HEK-293T cells were obtained from the China Center for Type Culture Collection and maintained at 37 °C in 5% CO$_2$ in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). The LLC-PK1 cells used for PDCoV propagation were purchased from the ATCC (ATCC number CL-101) and grown under the same conditions described above.

Plasmids and dual-luciferase reporter assay. The NS6 gene from PDCoV strain CHN-HN-2014 was amplified with the primers PDCoV-NS6-F and PDCoV-NS6-R (Table 1) and cloned into pCAGGS-HA-N with an N-terminal HA tag or pCAGGS-Flag-N with an N-terminal Flag tag, and named pCAGGS-HA-NS6 and pCAGGS-Flag-NS6, respectively. The PDCoV N gene was also cloned into pCAGGS-Flag-N with an N-terminal Flag tag using the primers PDCoV-N-F and PDCoV-N-R (Table 1), and the resulting plasmid was named pCAGGS-Flag-NP. The luciferase reporter plasmids IFN-β-Luc, NF-κB-Luc, and IRF3-Luc have been
described previously (76). The expression plasmids for Flag-tagged RIG-I and its
constitutively activated mutant (RIG-IN), MDA5, MAVS, TBK1, and IRF3 and its
constitutively activated mutant (IRF3-5D) have also been described previously (77).
The GFP expression plasmid (pEGFP-C1) was purchased from TaKaRa (Japan).
Three characteristic functional domains of RIG-I or MDA5, including the 2CARD
(RIG-I aa 1 to 228; MDA5 aa 1 to 295), the helicase domain (RIG-I aa 229 to 803;
MDA5 aa 296 to 827), and the CTD (RIG-I aa 804 to 925; MDA5 aa 828 to 1025 ),
were cloned into the pCAGGS-Flag-N vector using the primers listed in Table 1, and
the resulting expression constructs were named as pCAGGS-Flag-2CARD(RIG-I),
pCAGGS-Flag-2CARD(MDA5), pCAGGS-Flag-Hel(RIG-I),
pCAGGS-Flag-Hel(MDA5), pCAGGS-Flag-CTD(RIG-I), and
pCAGGS-Flag-CTD(MDA5), respectively. All plasmids were verified by sequencing.
For luciferase reporter assays, HEK-293T or LLC-PK1 cells grown in 24-well plates
were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) with a luciferase reporter
plasmid (IFN-β-Luc, NF-κB-Luc, or IRF3-Luc) and pRL-TK (Promega), together
with the indicated expression plasmid or an empty vector. At 24 h after transfection,
the cells were stimulated with SeV (10 hemagglutinating activity units/well) or
poly(I:C) (InvivoGen, USA) for 12 h. Subsequently, the firefly luciferase and Renilla
luciferase activities from lysed cells were evaluated through the Dual-Luciferase
reporter assay system according to the instructions from the manufacturer (Promega).
Representative data from three independently conducted experiments are expressed as
the relative firefly luciferase activities with normalization to the Renilla luciferase
activities.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time RT-PCR. To confirm the effects of NS6 protein on SeV replication, HEK-293T cells in 24-well plates were transfected with increasing amounts of NS6 expression plasmids. After 24 h, the cells were mock-infected or infected with SeV for 12 h. Total RNA was extracted from the treated cells with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), followed by first-strand cDNA synthesis by using avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa, Japan) with the indicated primers (Table 1). Each quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) experiment was performed at least three times and was conducted via the SYBR green PCR assay (Applied Biosystems) using the cDNA described above as template. The results are expressed as the relative gene expression level with normalization to the expression level of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH).

IFN bioassay. To measure the effect of NS6 on the amount of IFN production by HEK-293T cells following stimulation by SeV, IFN bioassays were performed as described previously (54).

Western blot analysis. HEK-293T cells grown in 60-mm dishes were transfected with the indicated plasmids for 24 h. The cells were mock-infected or infected with SeV for 8 h. The transfected cells were harvested with lysis buffer (4% SDS, 3% dithiothreitol [DTT], 0.065 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 30% glycerin) supplemented with
a protease inhibitor cocktail and a phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Equal amounts of proteins were subjected to separation by 12% SDS-PAGE and then transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, followed by blocking with 5% nonfat milk in PBST with 0.1% polysorbate-20 and subsequent treatment with the indicated primary antibodies, rabbit anti-p-IRF3, anti-p65 (ABclonal), anti-p-p65, and anti-IRF3 (Cell Signaling Technology), and mouse anti-Flag or -HA antibodies (MBL) at 37 °C for 4 h. After washing three times with PBST, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Beyotime, China) for 45 min at room temperature. After washing three times, the membrane was visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (ELC; BIO-RAD). The expression levels of β-actin were detected with a mouse anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody (MBL) and used as indicative of whether or not the protein sample loading was equal.

Co-IP and western blot analyses. Co-IP assays were performed as described previously (77). HEK-293T cells that had been cultured in 60-mm dishes were co-transfected with the indicated expression plasmids containing Flag or HA tags. After 28 h, the cells were harvested and lysed on ice with 0.5 ml of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 10% glycerin, 0.1% SDS, and 2 mM Na₂EDTA) for 30 min at 4 °C. A portion of each supernatant from the lysed cells was used in the whole-cell extract assays. The remaining portions of the supernatants from the lysed cells were immunoprecipitated with affinity antibodies overnight at
4 °C and then treated with protein A+G agarose beads (Beyotime) for 5 h at 4 °C. The beads containing immunoprecipitates were washed three times with 1 ml of lysis buffer. Whole-cell extracts and immunoprecipitates were resuspended in SDS-PAGE loading buffer, boiled at 95 °C for 5 min, and then subjected to 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, followed by western blot analyses with the indicated antibodies.

Poly(I:C) pulldown assay. HEK-293T cells grown in 60-mm plates were transfected with 4 μg of each of the indicated expression plasmids, including Flag-tagged RIG-I, N and NS6, or empty vector for 24 h. The cells were harvested and lysed on ice with 400 μl of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 10% glycerin, 0.1% SDS, and 2 mM Na₂EDTA) supplemented with a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Sigma). The clarified cell lysates were mixed with a prepared suspension of poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads and incubated for 4 h at 4 °C. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml of lysis buffer by multiple centrifugations and then subjected to western blotting analysis by using mouse anti-Flag antibody (MBL) as the primary antibody, followed by treatment with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG.

Indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA). Monolayers of HEK-293T cells seeded onto coverslips in 24-well plates were transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 or empty vector for 24 h. The cells were then mock-infected or infected with SeV for 8 h.
cells were subsequently fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and then permeated with methyl alcohol for 10 min at room temperature. After three washes with PBST, the cells were blocked with PBST containing 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h, followed by incubation separately with a rabbit polyclonal antibody against IRF3 (1:200) or against p65 (1:200) or a mouse anti-HA antibody (1:200) for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were then stained with secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 h at 37 °C, followed by treatment with 4′, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Beyotime) for 15 min at room temperature. Fluorescent images were visualized with the use of a confocal laser scanning microscope (Fluoviewver.3.1; Olympus, Japan).

Statistical analysis. Statistical differences were determined by one-way ANOVAs using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. For all experiments, differences were considered to be statistically significant when p values were <0.05.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. NS6 inhibits SeV-mediated IFN-β production. (A and B) HEK-293T cells (A) or LLC-PK1 cells (B) cultured in 24-well plates were transfected with IFN-β-Luc plasmid and pRL-TK plasmid, together with increasing amounts (0.2, 0.4, or 0.8 μg) of plasmid pCAGGS-HA-NS6. At 24 h after transfection, cells were left untreated or were infected with SeV (10 hemagglutination units/well). The cells were then subjected to dual-luciferase assays at 12 h post-infection. The expression of PDCoV NS6 protein was confirmed by western blot with an anti-HA antibody. β-actin served as a protein loading control. (C) HEK-293T cells were transfected with the indicated amounts of pCAGGS-HA-NS6 or empty vector. At 24 h after transfection, the cells were infected with SeV for 12 h, after which cell supernatants were harvested. Following UV irradiation, the harvested cell supernatants were overlaid onto fresh HEK-293T cells in 24-well plates. At 24 h after treatment, the cells were infected with VSV-GFP, and 12 h post-infection, virus replication was detected via fluorescence microscopy. (D) HEK-293T cells grown in 24-well plates were transfected with increasing quantities of pCAGGS-HA-NS6 or corresponding amounts of empty vector. At 24 h after transfection, cells were infected with SeV for 12 h. The total RNA was then extracted, and the SeV HN gene expression level was analyzed via quantitative real-time RT-PCR, with normalization to the GAPDH gene expression level. The results shown are representative of data from three independent experiments, **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Non-significant differences in data are indicated as “ns”.
Fig. 2. NS6 inhibits activation of IRF3 and NF-κB. (A and B) HEK-293T cells were transfected with the indicated amounts of pCAGGS-HA-NS6 or empty vector, together with IRF3-Luc (A) or NF-κB-Luc (B) and pRL-TK plasmids, followed by stimulation with SeV, and they were analyzed as described in Fig. 1A. Anti-HA antibody was used to detect the expression of PDCoV NS6, and anti-β-actin antibody was used to detect β-actin protein by western blot, which served as a protein loading control. (C and D) HEK-293T cells were transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 or empty vector. After 24 h, the cells were infected with SeV or left untreated for 8 h. Cell lysates were collected for western blot analysis with primary antibodies against phosphorylated IRF3 (p-IRF3 Ser386) and total IRF3 (C) or phosphorylated p65 (p-p65 Ser536) and total p65 (D), HA, and β-actin. (E and F) HEK-293T cells were transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 or empty vector, followed by mock infection or SeV infection for 8h as described for panels C and D. The cells were then fixed and subjected to an immunofluorescence assay with rabbit anti-IRF3 and anti-p65 and mouse anti-HA antibodies as primary antibodies, followed by staining with secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (green) or Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (red). DAPI staining (blue) indicates the locations of the cell nuclei. Fluorescent images were acquired with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Fluoview ver. 3.1; Olympus, Japan). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Fig. 3. NS6 fails to inhibit IFN-β production induced by RLR signaling pathway
molecules. HEK-293T cells were transfected with IFN-β–Luc, pRL-TK, and pCAGGS-HA-NS6 along with constructs expressing RIG-I/RIG-IN (A), MDA5 (B), MAVS (C), TBK1, IKKe (D), or IRF3 (E). Dual-luciferase assays were performed 28 h after transfection. The relative firefly luciferase activity was relative to that of an untreated empty vector control, with normalization to the *Renilla reniformis* luciferase activity. The presented results represent the means and standard deviations of data from three independent experiments. The expression of NS6 protein was verified by western blot with anti-HA antibody. β-actin served as a protein loading control.

**Fig. 4.** NS6 disrupts the IFN-β promoter activation induced by RIG-I or MDA5 coupled with SeV or poly(I:C). HEK-293T cells were transfected with IFN-β–Luc, pRL-TK, and the other indicated expression plasmids. At 24 h after transfection, cells were stimulated with SeV/poly(I:C) or were left untreated for 12 h. Dual-luciferase assays were then performed as described in Fig. 3. The presented results represent the means and standard deviations of data from three independent experiments. ***p < 0.001. Western blot analysis with anti-HA antibody shows the expression of NS6 protein, and western blot for β-actin served as a protein loading control.

**Fig. 5.** NS6 interacts with both RIG-I and MDA5. (A–D) HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 and Flag-tagged RIG-I (A and C), or Flag-tagged MDA5 (B and D), respectively. At 28 h after transfection, cells were lysed and subjected to immunoprecipitation analysis with anti-HA (IP: HA) or
anti-Flag (IP: Flag) antibody. The whole-cell lysates (WCL) and immunoprecipitation (IP) complexes were analyzed via western blotting using anti-Flag, anti-HA, or anti-β-actin antibodies. (E and F) HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 and Flag-tagged RIG-I (E) or MDA5 (F). At 28 h after transfection, the cells were fixed for immunofluorescence assays to detect NS6 protein (red) and RIG-I or MDA5 (green) with anti-HA and anti-Flag antibodies, respectively. (G and H) HEK-293T cells were transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 and pEGFP-C1, Flag-tagged RIG-I (G) or MDA5 (H) expression plasmids for 24 h, followed by the transfection of poly(I:C). Cells were lysed 36 h after transfection, and the clarified supernatants were left untreated or were treated with RNase A (50 μg/ml). The samples were then subjected to immunoprecipitation assays using anti-HA MAb (IP: HA). Cell lysates and immunoprecipitated complexes were subjected to western blot assays as described in panels A and B.

Fig. 6. NS6 interacts with the carboxyl terminus domain of RIG-I or the helicase and carboxyl terminus domains of MDA5. HEK293T cells were co-transfected with pCAGGS-HA-NS6 and the expression plasmids encoding the 2CARD, Hel or CTD of RIG-I/MDA5. Immunoprecipitation assays with anti-HA (A and B) or anti-Flag (C and D) antibody (IP: HA or IP: Flag, respectively), and western blot analysis were performed as described for Fig. 5A and 5C.

Fig. 7. NS6 is not a RNA binding protein. HEK-293T cells were transfected with
plasmids encoding Flag-tagged RIG-I protein, PDCoV NS6 and N protein, or empty vector, respectively. Cells were lysed 28 h after transfection, and the resulting supernatants were incubated with poly(C)- or poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads for 4 h at 4 °C. The beads were then washed three times with lysis buffer by centrifugation, followed by western blotting analysis with mouse anti-Flag antibody. The poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads (pIC-beads) were prepared from poly(C)-coated beads (pC-beads; Sigma) by incubating them with an equal volume of 2 mg of poly(I) (Sigma) per ml for 1 h at 56 °C.

Fig. 8. NS6 hinders the combination of dsRNA with RIG-I/MDA5. (A and B) HEK-293T cells were individually transfected with plasmids encoding Flag-tagged RIG-I (A), MDA5 (B), and increasing quantities of NS6 expression plasmids for 28 h. Lysates from the cells overexpressing NS6 were incubated with an equal volume of lysates from cells overexpressing RIG-I or MDA5, followed by treatment with poly(I:C)-coated agarose beads for 4 h at 4 °C. The beads were then washed three times with lysis buffer by centrifugation and subjected to western blotting analysis as described in Fig. 7. The number below pictures represents the relative level of RIG-I/MDA5 compared to control group via Image J software analysis. (C) A schematic diagram, using RIG-I acts as a representative protein, of the mechanism for NS6 protein inhibition of the RLR signaling pathway. In the inactivated state of RIG-I, the CARDs are bound to Hel-2i, which is unavailable for downstream signaling in this auto-inhibited state. The CTD, which is tethered to the red bridging helix by a flexible
linker, is able to sense RNA PAMPs. Upon virus infection, the CTD-bound dsRNA is pre-oriented to form a network of interactions with the helicase domains Hel-1 and Hel-2i, but not Hel-2, leading to the segregation of interaction of CARDs with Hel-2i and the subsequent availability for interaction with downstream signaling molecules (64).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Nucleotide Sequence  (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEV-HN-F</td>
<td>AAAATTACATGGCTAGGAGGGAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEV-HN-R</td>
<td>GTGATTGGAAATGGTTGACTCTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h-GAPDH-F</td>
<td>TCATGACCACAGTCCATGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h-GAPDH-R</td>
<td>GGATGACCTTGCCCCACAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDCoV-N-F</td>
<td>ACTGAATTCATGGCTGACCAGTAGTCCCTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDCoV-N-R</td>
<td>CTAATCGATCTACGCTGATTCTCTGTTTAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDCoV-NS6-F</td>
<td>ACTGAATTCTATGGCAACTGCCATCTGCAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDCoV-NS6-R</td>
<td>CTGCTCGAGTTATTTAATTCCAATCTTCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I-2CARD-F</td>
<td>TAAATCGATATGACCACCCGACAGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I-2CARD-R</td>
<td>CAGCTCGAGCTATGGCAGCATGAATTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I-Hel-F</td>
<td>TAAATCGATATGACCACCCGACAGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I-Hel-R</td>
<td>CAGCTCGAGCTATGGCAGCATGAATTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I-CTD-F</td>
<td>AAAATCGATGAAAATAAAAAAAACGCTGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I-CTD-R</td>
<td>CAGCTCGAGCTATGGCAGCATGAATTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA5-2CARD-F</td>
<td>GCCATCGATATGTCGAATGGGTATCCACAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA5-2CARD-R</td>
<td>GCCATCGATATGTCGAATGGGTATCCACAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA5-Hel-F</td>
<td>TCTATCGATATGGTGGCCAGCAAGGACATCCCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA5-Hel-R</td>
<td>TCTATCGATATGGTGGCCAGCAAGGACATCCCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA5-CTD-F</td>
<td>TCTATCGATATGGCAGCACCTACGTCGTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA5-CTD-R</td>
<td>GCGCTCGAGCTATCCCTACGATAAATAAAAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>