Coronaviruses and arteriviruses display striking differences in their cyclophilin A-dependence during replication in cell culture
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ABSTRACT

Cyclophilin A (CypA) is an important host factor in the replication of a variety of RNA viruses. Also the replication of several nidoviruses was reported to depend on CypA, although possibly not to the same extent. These prior studies are difficult to compare, since different nidoviruses, cell lines and experimental set-ups were used. Here, we investigated the CypA dependence of three distantly related nidoviruses that can all replicate in Huh7 cells: the arterivirus equine arteritis virus (EAV), the alphacoronavirus human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E), and the betacoronavirus Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). The replication of these viruses was compared in the same parental Huh7 cells and in CypA-knockout Huh7 cells generated using CRISPR/Cas9-technology. CypA depletion reduced EAV yields by ~ 3-log, whereas MERS-CoV progeny titers were modestly reduced (3-fold) and HCoV-229E replication was unchanged. This study reveals that the replication of nidoviruses can differ strikingly in its dependence on cellular CypA.

1. Introduction

The order Nidovirales is currently comprised of the arterivirus, coronavirus, ronivirus, and mesonivirus families (https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/) and includes agents that have a major economic and societal impact. This was exemplified by the 2002–2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) epidemic and the ongoing outbreaks of the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Both these coronaviruses were introduced into the human population following zoonotic transmission, revealing the potentially lethal consequences of nidovirus-induced disease in humans. Within a few months, the emergence of SARS-CoV led to more than 8000 laboratory-confirmed cases (mortality rate of ~ 10%). The MERS-CoV outbreaks thus far resulted in over 2000 confirmed human cases and a ~ 35% mortality rate within that group (http://www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/en/). In addition, the porcine epidemic diarrhea coronavirus and the arterivirus porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) are among the leading veterinary pathogens, having caused high economic losses in the swine industry (Holtkamp et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2016). The economic and societal impact of nidovirus infections, and the lack of effective strategies to control them, highlight the importance of advancing our knowledge of the replication of these viruses and their interactions with the host cell.

Nidoviruses are positive-stranded RNA (+ RNA) viruses with large to very large genomes, ranging from 13 to 16 kb for arteriviruses to 26–34 kb for coronaviruses (Gorbalenya et al., 2006; Nga et al., 2011). Their complex genome expression strategy involves genome translation to produce the polyprotein precursors of the nonstructural proteins (nsps) as well as the synthesis of a nested set of subgenomic (sg) mRNAs to express the structural proteins (reviewed in de Wit et al., 2016; Snijder et al., 2013). Nidoviral nsps, presumably together with various host factors, assemble into replication and transcription complexes (RTCs) that drive viral RNA synthesis (Gosert et al., 2002; Hagemeijer et al., 2012; Pedersen et al., 1999, 2008a, 2008b). These RTCs are thought to be associated with a virus-induced network of endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-derived membrane structures, including large numbers of double-membrane vesicles (Gosert et al., 2002; Knoops et al., 2012, 2008; Maier et al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 1999; Ulasli et al., 2010).

Nidovirus replication thus depends on a variety of host cell factors and processes, including cellular proteins and membranes, membrane trafficking, and host signaling pathways (reviewed in de Wilde et al., 2017b; van der Hoeven et al., 2016; Zhong et al., 2012). Among these, members of the cyclophilin (Cyp) protein family previously have been...
implicated in nidovirus replication. Cyclophilins are a family of peptidyl-prolyl isomerases (PPIases) that act as chaperones to facilitate protein folding, as well as protein trafficking and immune cell activation (reviewed in Naoumov, 2014; Nigro et al., 2013). Cyclophilins, and in particular the ubiquitously expressed CypA, have also been implicated in the replication of various other groups of RNA viruses. The role of CypA in hepatitis C virus (HCV) and human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) infection has been studied in most detail. For example, CypA assists HCV polyprotein processing, interacts with HCV NS5A to ensure remodelling of cellular membranes into HCV replication organelles, and stabilizes HIV-1 capsids to promote nuclear import of the HIV-1 genome (reviewed in Hopkins and Gallay, 2015).

Cyclophilins were initially implicated as host factors in nidovirus replication during studies with general Cyp inhibitors such as cyclosporine A (CsA). In cell culture, the replication of a variety of coronaviruses and arteriviruses was found to be strongly inhibited by low-micromolar concentrations of CsA and the non-immunosuppressive CsA analog Alsisporivir (ALV) and NIM-811 (Carbayo-Lozoya et al., 2014, 2012; de Wilde et al., 2017a, 2013b, 2011; Kim and Lee, 2014; Tanaka et al., 2012; von Brunn et al., 2015; von Brunn et al., 2015). Subsequently, it was established that nidovirus replication can depend specifically on CypA and/or CypB. The replication in cell culture of the arterivirus equine arteritis virus (EAV; de Wilde et al., 2013a) and the alphacoronaviruses feline coronavirus (FCoV; Tanaka et al., 2017), human coronavirus (HCoV) NL63 (Carbayo-Lozoya et al., 2014), and HCoV-229E (von Brunn et al., 2015) was reported to be affected by CypA knockdown (KD) or knockout (KO), although the level of CypA dependence of these viruses, which was not compared directly, appeared to be quite different. Finally, upon ultracentrifugation, the normally cytosolic CypA was found to co-sediment with membrane structures containing EAV RTCs, suggesting a direct association with the arteriviral RNA-synthesizing machinery (de Wilde et al., 2013a).

The abovementioned studies differed in terms of the nidoviruses and cell lines tested, CypA expression levels, and readouts used to measure viral replication efficiency, which hampered a direct comparison of the CypA dependence of different nidoviruses. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the CypA-dependence of the replication of three distantly related nidoviruses in the same cell line (Huh7), in which CypA expression was knocked-out using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology (Huh7-CypA KO cells). Using different cell lines, the replication of two of these viruses, the arterivirus EAV (de Wilde et al., 2013a) and the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E (von Brunn et al., 2015), was previously concluded to depend on CypA. The CypA dependence of betacoronaviruses like MERS-CoV has not been documented before. Infection of Huh7-CypA KO cells with MERS-CoV revealed that its replication was only modestly affected by the absence of CypA, as opposed to EAV which was strongly inhibited. Strikingly, and in contrast to a previous report, HCoV-229E replication was not affected at all in the Huh7-CypA KO cells. Our study thus reveals major differences in the magnitude of CypA dependence of the arterivirus EAV when compared to two different coronaviruses, and calls for a more extensive evaluation of the role of CypA in the replication of members of the latter virus family.

### 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Cell culture, infection, and virus titration

293T (van Kasteren et al., 2012), BHK-21 (Nedialkova et al., 2010), Huh7, and Vero cells (de Wilde et al., 2013b) were cultured as described previously. A cell culture-adapted derivative of the EAV Bu-cyran isolate (Bryans et al., 1957; den Boon et al., 1991), HCoV-229E (ATCC VR-740; Hamre and Procknow, 1966), or MERS-CoV (strain EMC/2012; van Boheemen et al., 2012; Zaki et al., 2012) were used to infect monolayers of Huh7 cells (and derived cell clones) as described previously (Cervantes-Barragan et al., 2010; de Wilde et al., 2013b; Oudshoorn et al., 2016). EAV, HCoV-229E, and MERS-CoV titers in cell culture supernatants were determined by plaque assays on BHK-21, Huh7, or Vero cells, respectively (de Wilde et al., 2013b; Nedialkova et al., 2010; Zuck et al., 2011).

#### 2.2. Generation of cyclophilin knockout Huh7 cells

To obtain Huh7 cells that lack the expression of CypA, CypB, CypC or CypD, we used clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/Cas9 gene editing (Cong et al., 2013). The pLenti-CRISPR v2 plasmid (a kind gift from Feng Zhang; Addgene plasmid #52961; Sanjana et al., 2014) was used to construct plasmids with guide RNAs (gRNAs) specific for PPIA, PPIB, PPIC, or PPID, or non-targeting gRNA (Origen; for sequences, see Table 1), according to the protocol provided by Addgene (https://www.addgene.org/52961/). gRNA sequences were selected using the ATUM CRISPR gRNA design tool (https://www.atum.bio/ecommerce/cas9/input/). Pseudo-infectious, third-generation lentivirus particles expressing gene-specific gRNAs were produced by transfection of 293T cells with the plenti-CRISPR v2 plasmid and three “helper” plasmids (encoding HIV-1 gag-pol, HIV-1 rev, and VSV-G; Carlotti et al., 2004). After overnight transfection of 293T cells using the polyethylenimine DNA co-precipitation method, the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium, which was harvested at 72 h post-transfection (h.p.t.), passed through a filter with 0.45 µm pore-size, and stored at −80 °C. Huh7 cells were transfected in 10-cm² dishes containing 1 ml of lentivirus harvest and 1 ml of fresh Huh7 culture medium supplemented with 8 µg/ml polybrene (Sigma). From 3 days post transduction onwards, lentivirus-transduced cells were selected by culturing in the presence of 3 µg/ml puromycin. Loss of cyclophilin expression was verified by Western blot analysis (see below).

To clone Huh7-CypA KO cells, lentivirus-transduced cells were trypano- sized and seeded in 96-well culture plates at a density of one cell per well, which was verified by microscopy. Cell proliferation was stimulated by supplementing the culture medium with 1% FCS. Following their expansion, CypA KO clones were tested for lack of CypA expression by Western blot analysis.

The Huh7-CypA KO clones constitutively expressed the Cas9 nuclease as the gene is incorporated in the pLentiCRISPR v2 vector, and integrated into the genome upon lentivirus transduction. Using an alternative approach, we also generated of Huh7-CypA KO clones lacking

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>GeneID</th>
<th>Forward gRNA</th>
<th>Reverse gRNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-targeting</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>CACGCGCTACATGAGACTAACCTCA</td>
<td>AACTGAGTTTCCTGTAAGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPIA</td>
<td>5478</td>
<td>CACGCGCTACAGGGTATCTTTT</td>
<td>AAACAAAGACATACGCGTCCGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPIB</td>
<td>5479</td>
<td>CACGCTTGCGGCCCCTTACCGG</td>
<td>AACCCGCGTAGGGGGCGGCAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPIC</td>
<td>5480</td>
<td>CACGCTTGAGACCCGCTTGTG</td>
<td>AACCGACAAAGCCAGGAAGTCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPID</td>
<td>5481</td>
<td>CACGCTTGAGACCCGCTTGTG</td>
<td>AACCGACAAAGCCAGGAAGTCCG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* gRNA, guide RNA.
2.4. Sequence analysis of Huh7-CypAKO clones

Genomic DNA was isolated from five different Huh7 CypA KO clones to verify the generation of Huh7 PPIA knockout cells. Approximately 3 × 10^6 cells were lysed in 3 ml of 75 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1% SDS, and 100 µg/ml proteinase K, and incubated at 37 °C for 16 h. One ml of 6 M (saturated) NaCl was added and after mixing for 30 s, the lysate was centrifuged for 15 min at 4000×g to remove remaining cellular debris, the supernatant was transferred to a new tube and centrifugation was repeated. DNA was precipitated in 70% EtOH, pelleted by centrifugation and washed in 70% EtOH. The dried pellet was dissolved in 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA pH 7.5. For sequence analysis of the PPIA-gene, intron-specific primers (5′-ACCTTGAGATTTGGCCAGACAC-3′ and 5′-AGTTTGTGGTCGTCTCCCC-3′) were used to amplify exon 4 of the PPIA gene that is targeted by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated cleavage (Fig. 2D). PCR products were cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and individual clones were analyzed by Sanger sequencing to identify CRISPR/Cas9-directed out-of-frame insertions or deletions (‘indels’). In this manner, two Huh7 CypA KO clones (clones #1 and #2) were obtained, which were derived from lentiviral transduction and direct transfection of Huh7 cells, respectively.

2.5. Huh7 cell karyotyping

Chromosome spreads of metaphase cells were prepared essentially as described previously (de Graaff et al., 2015) and used for karyotyping by combined binary ratio labeling of nucleic-acid probes for multi-color fluorescence in situ hybridization (COBRA-FISH) staining. The analysis used whole chromosome paint probe sets to discriminate individual chromosomes and specific staining to identify short and long arms of chromosomes, as described previously (Suzhai and Tanke, 2006). After hybridization, image capture and image analysis was performed using in-house written software and chromosomes were identified based on their specific coloring (Suzhai and Tanke, 2006). Based on the chromosome count, ploidy (numerical aberrations) was established and structural chromosomal aberrations including breaks, deletions, translocations and insertions, were identified based on chromosomal structure.

2.6. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis

Parental Huh7 cells and CypA KO Huh7 cells were cultured overnight in triplicate wells at a density of 5 × 10^4 cells in a 24-well cluster. Intracellular RNA was isolated using the Nucleospin RNA II kit (Machery-Nagel) according to the manufacturer's instructions and used as a template for cDNA synthesis using RevertAid H Minus Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and oligo(dt)20 primer. Finally, samples were assayed by quantitative real-time PCR analysis (qRT-PCR) using primers for PPIA (forward primer: TTTCATGTGACTGACAGAG; reverse primer: CAGGAAAGGTCCCCAACAGAC), and the housekeeping genes RPL13 (forward primer: AAGGTGGTGGTGTAGGTTG; reverse primer: CCGAAAGGTGGTGTGTCCTCAGCC) and GAPDH (forward primer: GCAAATTCTCAGGCCCGT; reverse primer: GCCCCACTTGATTTTGGAGG). PCR was performed using the IQ SYBR Green Super Mix (Bio-Rad) and the CFX384 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System. Data was analyzed with CFX manager 3.1 software (Bio-Rad).

3. Results

3.1. Nidovirus replication in cyclophilin-knockout cell pools

Previously, CypA and/or CypB were reported to play a role in the replication of a number of arteri- and coronaviruses (Carbajo-Lozoya et al., 2014; de Wilde et al., 2013a; Tanaka et al., 2017; von Brunn et al., 2015). The degree of sensitivity to Cyp depletion appeared to differ between these viruses, but was not compared directly. As we set out to assess the Cyp dependence of the recently emerged MERS-CoV, which had not been evaluated thus far, the fact that this betacoronavirus replicates in Huh7 cells provided an excellent opportunity for a direct comparison with the arterivirus EAV and the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E, which can also replicate in this cell line. Therefore, using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology, we first generated CypA KO, CypB KO, CypA/C, and CypB/D KO Huh7 cell pools. To this end, Huh7 cells were transduced with lentiviruses co-expressing the nuclease Cas9 as well as a gRNA that binds a specific sequence in the PPIA, PPIB, PPIC, or PPID gene. CypC and CypD were included given that both these enzymes are also sensitive to CsA treatment (Davis et al., 2010). The fact that it previously was implicated as a host factor in the replication of the betacoronavirus HCoV-OC43 (Favreau et al., 2012) was an additional reason to include CypD.

Western blot analysis of the CypKO cell pools established that CypA and CypB were no longer detectable in Huh7-CypA/KO, Huh7-CypB/KO, and Huh7-CypA/C/KO cell pools, respectively. For the CypA KO or CypB KO cell pools, however, some residual expression of the target gene was detected (Fig. 1A). Next, the replication of EAV, HCoV-229E, and MERS-CoV in CypA/C/KO cells, respectively. For the CypA KO or CypB KO cell pools, however, some residual expression of the target gene was detected (Fig. 1A). Next, the replication of EAV, HCoV-229E, and MERS-CoV in each of the four CypKO cell pools was compared to their replication in Huh7 control cells expressing an unrelated (non-targeting) gRNA. To allow multiple cycles of replication before analyzing virus yields, cell pools were infected with a low infectious dose (MOI 0.01). The production of infectious MERS-CoV and HCoV-229E progeny was found to be unchanged in all four CypKO cell pools (Fig. 1B and C). In contrast, EAV virus titers were reduced by 2-logs after infection of the Huh7-CypA/KO cell pool, but not in any of the other knockout cell pools (Fig. 1D). This strengthened the case for an important role of specific CypA as a host factor in EAV replication. Previously, siRNA-mediated knockdown of CypA expression could only reduce EAV titers by about 4-fold (de Wilde et al., 2013a), suggesting that relatively small amounts of CypA may suffice to support normal levels of EAV replication.

3.2. Generation, characterizing, and karyotyping of Huh7-CypA KO clones

For HCoV-NL63, it was previously reported that virus production was inhibited only when the residual PPIA mRNA level was reduced to
3% of that in control cells (Carbajo-Lozoya et al., 2014). Also the results with EAV (see above) suggested that major effects on virus replication may only be observed when CypA knockdown is highly efficient. As the Huh7-CypAKO cell pools might exhibit low levels of residual CypA expression, which might still suffice to support normal levels of MERS-CoV and HCoV-229E replication, we generated clonal Huh7-CypAKO cell lines (as described in Material and Methods) for use in follow-up experiments.

Potential knockout clones were first tested for CypA expression by Western blot analysis. Subsequently, the presence of out-of-frame ‘indels’ was established by sequence analysis of exon 4 of the PPIA gene. In total, five individual CypA KO cell clones were analyzed in detail. To this end, the exon that was targeted by the PPIA-specific gRNA was PCR amplified using primers targeting the adjacent introns (Fig. 2D, white triangles) and the PCR product was cloned in a plasmid vector. Per CypA KO cell clone, over twenty plasmid clones were analyzed by Sanger sequencing. Remarkably, sequence analysis of these clones revealed six to eight different indel sequences (Fig. 2E; see also below), establishing that these Huh7 clones carried more than the anticipated two copies (alleles) of the PPIA gene. For three out of five cell clones, some of the indels consisted in-frame deletions, indicating (low amounts of) CypA variants lacking one or more amino acids might still be expressed (data not shown). Two of the Huh7-CypA KO cell clones were found to contain only out-of-frame indels (Fig. 2E, clones #1 and #2), which was supported by a lack of detectable CypA expression (Fig. 3A). Of note, one of these clones was obtained after lentivirus transduction (clone #1), whereas the other resulted from transfection of the pLentiCRISPR plasmid (clone #2).

To investigate the unexpectedly large number of different PPIA-gene specific sequences in more detail, we performed karyotyping of the parental Huh7 cells and Huh7-CypA KO clone #1. For both cell lines, COBRA-FISH established a 4n+ chromosome content, with more than 100 chromosomes per cell and exceedingly large numbers of translocations involving all chromosomes (results from the parental Huh7 cells are shown in Fig. 2A). The PPIA gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 7 (chr7p) and karyotyping using the short- and long arm-specific whole chromosome paint probe set (Szuhai and Tanke, 2006) revealed four copies of that chromosome, with one appearing normal (see chromosome 1; Fig. 2B), one carrying a translocation with a piece of chromosome 5 in the long arm (see chromosome 2; Fig. 2B), and two representing isochromosomes (i.e. containing two short arms of chromosome 7; see chromosomes 3 and 4; Fig. 2B). Staining of the short arm of chromosome 7 (chr7p) revealed six copies of this chromosome in the parental Huh7 cells (Fig. 2C, white arrows) and Huh7-CypA KO clone #1 (data not shown).

Overall, eight (clone #1) and six (clone #2) different PPIA-specific indels were identified. Based on the karyotyping analysis, this could be explained by the presence of (at least) six copies of the short arm of
chromosome 7. As the resolution of this technique is limited to the detection of large (>1000 kb) translocations (Szuhai and Tanke, 2006), an even higher number of PPIA gene copies in the Huh7 genome cannot be excluded, and would in fact be in line with the results obtained for clone #1. Since the analysis of >20 cloned PPIA-specific PCR products from CypAKO clones #1 and #2 exclusively revealed out-of-frame indels, both clones were assumed to have lost all expression of functional CypA and were used for further infection experiments.

3.3. Differences in EAV, MERS-CoV and HCoV-229E sensitivity to cyclophilin A-knockout

Parental Huh7 cells and Huh7-CypAKO clones #1 and #2 were all infected with MERS-CoV, HCoV-229E, or EAV at an MOI of 0.01. The inactivation of CypA expression in these cells was found to significantly reduce MERS-CoV replication by ~ 3-fold in both CypAKO cell clones (Fig. 3B). However, virus yields released from control cells and CypA KO cell clone #2 were similar upon high MOI inoculation (MOI of 5; Fig. 3C). Interestingly, in both clones, no effect of a lack of CypA was seen for HCoV-229E (Fig. 3D). For EAV, however, a ~ 3-log reduction of virus yields was observed (Fig. 3E), representing a 10-fold stronger inhibition compared to the Huh7-CypAKO pool cells used previously (Fig. 1D).

4. Discussion

The importance of CypA in virus replication has been reported for a variety of viruses, including HCV and HIV-1. Also nidovirus replication has been shown to depend on CypA, but the role of this host factor is poorly understood thus far. By analogy with the role of CypA in HCV
replication (reviewed in Hopkins and Gallay, 2015), and supported by the reduced replication of EAV in siRNA-treated CypA<sup>KD</sup> cells, we hypothesized CypA to be an integral component of the membrane-associated replication machinery of arteriviruses (de Wilde et al., 2013a; De Wilde et al., in preparation).

Previously, the role of CypA in nidovirus replication has been studied by multiple laboratories, with different outcomes. However, the use of a range of nidoviruses and cell lines, and variable experimental set-ups and read-outs likely contributed to these differences. By infecting the same Huh7-CypA<sup>KD</sup> cell pools (Fig. 1) and Huh7-CypA<sup>KO</sup> clones (Fig. 3) with the three nidoviruses compared in this study, we could now eliminate a number of experimental variables. Our data revealed striking differences between the arterivirus EAV and the two coronaviruses in terms of their sensitivity to CypA depletion in Huh7 cells. Whereas EAV replication was strongly inhibited (Fig. 3), coronavirus replication was affected only modestly (MERS-CoV) or not at all (HCoV-229E). Interestingly, the relatively small difference in MERS-CoV progeny titers from CypA<sup>CO</sup> and control Huh7 cells was not observed when infections were carried out with a high MOI (Fig. 3C). A possible explanation for this difference could be the presence of CypA in MERS-CoV virions. Virion-associated CypA has been reported previously for HIV-1 and SARS-CoV (Braaten et al., 1996; Hatziioannou et al., 2005; Neuman et al., 2008), and although its role and importance are unclear, the virion-mediated transfer of CypA to CypA-deficient cells may initially suffice to support efficient replication in these cells. Like SARS-CoV particles, MERS-CoV virions may contain CypA, which could explain complementation of the lack of CypA in knockout cells during a high-MOI, one-cycle replication assay. During a multi-cycle infection experiment, however, the virus produced during the first round of replication may lack CypA, which may negatively influence virus yields during subsequent rounds of replication.

In the case of HCoV-229E, the comparable replication efficiency in
Huh7-CypA\textsuperscript{K0} cells and control Huh7 cells appears to be at odds with published studies for three different alphacoronaviruses (including HCoV-229E itself) in which a modest to strong inhibition of virus replication was reported (see below). Likewise, the insensitivity (Fig. 1) of the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E and betacoronavirus MERS-CoV to depletion of CypB and CypD, contrasts with previous reports on the CypB and CypD dependence of FCoV (Tanaka et al., 2017) and HCoV-OC43 (Favreau et al., 2012), an alpha- and a betacoronavirus respectively.

Significant efforts were made to characterize two of the Huh7-CypA\textsuperscript{K0} clones that were generated using CRISPR/Cas9-technology: clone \#1 was derived from transduction with a PPIA gRNA-expressing lentivirus, whereas clone \#2 resulted from transfection of a plasmid co-expressing the PPIA-specific gRNA and the Cas9 nuclease. Consequently, clone \#1, in contrast to clone \#2, ubiquitously expressed Cas9 and the PPIA-gene directed gRNA. In theory, this could lead to off-target cleavage events in the genome, and thus to unwanted side-effects. However, using both clones, we observed very similar effects of CypA depletion on the replication of the three nidoviruses tested.

Previously documented differences in terms of sensitivity to CsA treatment appear to coincide with similar sensitivity differences to CypA knockdown as observed in this study. While EAV replication in cell culture is completely blocked at a dose of 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) CsA, concentrations of 9 and 16 \( \mu \text{M} \) are required to achieve a similar block of the replication of MERS-CoV (de Wilde et al., 2013b) and HCoV-229E (de Wilde et al., 2011; Pfefferle et al., 2011), respectively. An unexpected result was the lack of an effect of CypA depletion on HCoV-229E replication, since a previous study reported a 10-fold reduction of the HCoV-229E-driven expression of a luciferase reporter gene in Huh7.5-shRNA-CypA\textsuperscript{K0} cells (von Brunn et al., 2015). In our hands, however, HCoV-229E replication was unchanged when comparing parental Huh7 cells, Huh7-CypA\textsuperscript{K0,post} cells, and the two Huh7-CypA\textsuperscript{K0} clones (Figs. 1C and 3C). These contradictory results may (in part) be explained by the use of different viruses (wild-type versus recombinant HCoV-229E), the use of different readouts of virus replication (HCoV-229E progeny titers versus HCoV-229E-driven luciferase expression), or the use of Huh7 versus Huh7.5 cells. The latter is a sub-clone of Huh7 cells that supports HCV replication more efficiently, most likely because Huh7.5 cells express an inactive form of the retinoic acid-inducible gene-1 (RIG-1), resulting in a reduced antiviral response to virus infection (Blight et al., 2002). Even more striking, the difference with previous results obtained for two other alphacoronaviruses, FCoV and HCoV-NL63, for which progeny titer reductions of \( >5\text{-fold} \) and 5-log were reported upon CypA knockdown, respectively (Carbajo-Lozoya et al., 2014; Tanaka et al., 2017).

CypA is one of the most abundant cytosolic proteins constituting 0.1–0.4% of the total cellular protein content (Harding et al., 1986). Data from different labs suggest that relatively low levels of CypA may suffice to support efficient coronavirus replication. For example, we have previously shown that SARS-CoV replication was not affected when siRNA transfection was used to reduce the CypA expression level to 25% of that in parental cells (de Wilde et al., 2013a, 2011). HCoV-NL63 yields in Caco-2 cells were reduced only when a \( \sim97\% \) reduction in CypA mRNA expression was achieved (Carbajo-Lozoya et al., 2014). Subsequently, FCoV replication was found to be completely abolished in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated CypA\textsuperscript{K0} fcwf-4 (feline) cells, while only partial inhibition of FIPV replication was observed when CypA knockdown was mediated by short-hairpin (sh)RNAs (Tanaka et al., 2017).

We observed a similar correlation between remaining CypA expression levels and EAV replication. This study was initiated using Huh7 cells in which CypA knockdown was mediated by constitutive expression of shRNAs (Fig. 3E; Chatterji et al., 2009). In these cells, CypA protein expression was undetectable and the CypA mRNA level was only 2% of that in control Huh7 cells expressing a non-targeting shRNA (data not shown). In these cells, EAV replication was reduced by about 0.5-log (Fig. 3F, left bar). Also in our Huh7-CypA\textsuperscript{K0} cell pools (Figs. 1D and 3F) residual CypA expression could not be detected by Western blot analysis, but now a \( \sim2\text{-log} \) reduction in EAV yield was observed. The stronger inhibition of EAV suggests that CypA levels in these cells were lower than those in shRNA-expressing CypA\textsuperscript{K0} cells, but due to the nature of the gene editing method used (targeting the PPIA gene instead of the mRNA) CypA depletion could not be readily compared by measuring mRNA levels. Finally, the largest reductions of EAV progeny yields, by about \( \sim3\)-logs, were observed using our Huh7-CypA\textsuperscript{K0} cell clones (Fig. 3B-F).

Interestingly, the characterization of our Huh7-CypA\textsuperscript{K0} clones and the parental Huh7 cell line revealed a severely disordered chromosome composition with multiple translocations and duplications involving all chromosomes, including chromosome 7 that contains the PPIA gene. Such structural rearrangements, leading to gene expression abnormalities, are common in tumor-derived cell lines (Lin et al., 2014; Mertens et al., 2015), but are not always taken into account during studies of virus-host interactions. Since Huh7 cells originated from a hepatocellular carcinoma (Nakabayashi et al., 1982), these abnormalities were to be expected, also on the basis of a previous study that established chromosomal imbalances in liver carcinoma-derived cell lines, including Huh7 (Wilkens et al., 2012). Interestingly, this study describes a gain of, among other regions, the part of short arm of chromosome 7 that includes the PPIA gene. Polyploidy and chromosome rearrangements may hamper the generation of bona fide knockout cell lines. Therefore, our observations underline the importance of an in-depth genomic characterization of knockout cell lines obtained by (CRISPR/Cas9-mediated) gene editing. This is further emphasized by the relatively low success rate of our efforts to obtain true CypA\textsuperscript{K0} cell clones, since more gene editing events are needed to achieve complete knockout. Several of our other clones were found to carry in-frame deletions in PPIA and (potentially) expressed CypA variants lacking one or multiple amino acid residues, with unpredictable consequences for the protein’s function.

The replication of MERS-CoV, HCoV-229E, and EAV in CypB\textsuperscript{K0}, CypC\textsuperscript{K0}, or CypD\textsuperscript{K0} cell pools was unaltered compared to control cells, suggesting that in the Huh7 setting these viruses do not depend on the presence of CypB, CypC, or CypD. However, as in the case of CypA, we cannot exclude the possibility that (very) low levels of these Cyps may still suffice to support efficient virus replication. This may be exemplified by the dependence of FCoV on CypB. Whereas FCoV replication in feline CypB\textsuperscript{K0} fcwf-4 cells was strongly reduced, replication in shRNA-mediated CypB\textsuperscript{K0} fcwf-4 cells was only marginally affected, suggesting that a very strong reduction of CypB levels is needed to block replication efficiently (Tanaka et al., 2017). Unfortunately, in the present study, we were unable to generate true Huh7-CypB\textsuperscript{K0}, Huh7-CypC\textsuperscript{K0}, and Huh7-CypD\textsuperscript{K0} cell clones, but the analysis of nidovirus replication in the context of cloned cells lacking these Cyps definitely warrants further efforts. Likewise the quantitative and functional aspects of the role of CypA in nidovirus replication needs to be followed up, particularly in the light of the quite different results that can apparently be obtained with the same coronavirus in different experimental settings.
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