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The Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) is a novel enzootic betacoronavirus that was first described in September 2012. The clinical spectrum of MERS-CoV infection in humans ranges from an asymptomatic or mild respiratory illness to severe pneumonia and multi-organ failure; overall mortality is around 35.7%. Bats harbour several betacoronaviruses that are closely related to MERS-CoV but more research is needed to establish the relationship between bats and MERS-CoV. The seroprevalence of MERS-CoV antibodies is very high in dromedary camels in Eastern Africa and the Arabian Peninsula. MERS-CoV RNA and viable virus have been isolated from dromedary camels, including some with respiratory symptoms. Furthermore, near-identical strains of MERS-CoV have been isolated from epidemiologically linked humans and camels, confirming inter-transmission, most probably from camels to humans. Though inter-human spread within health care settings is responsible for the majority of reported MERS-CoV cases, the virus is incapable at present of causing sustained human-to-human transmission. Clusters can be readily controlled with implementation of appropriate infection control procedures. Phylogenetic and sequencing data strongly suggest that MERS-CoV originated from bat ancestors after undergoing a recombination event in the spike protein, possibly in dromedary camels in Africa, before its exportation to the Arabian Peninsula along the camel trading routes. MERS-CoV serosurveys are needed to investigate possible unrecognized human infections in Africa. Amongst the important measures to control MERS-CoV spread are strict regulation of camel movement, regular herd screening and isolation of infected camels, use of personal protective equipment by camel handlers and enforcing rules banning all consumption of unpasteurized camel milk and urine.
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Introduction

The Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) was first isolated from a 60-year man who died in a hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, in June 2012 with severe pneumonia and multi-organ failure.1 Thus far, the majority of MERS-CoV cases have originated in countries in the Middle East, including Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Qatar, Oman, Kuwait and Iran.2 Clinical illness associated with MERS-CoV ranges from mild upper respiratory symptoms to fulminant pneumonia and multi-system failure.3-5 Human-to-human transmission of MERS-CoV is well documented in family clusters, community settings and more often in health care settings.3,6-8 Larger hospital outbreaks have been driven by a combination of late recognition, over-crowding and inadequate infection control precautions.3,9,10 However, MERS-CoV inter-human transmissibility is thought to be relatively limited.11-13 Up to 12 August 2015, a total of 1401 laboratory-confirmed MERS-CoV infections, including 500 associated deaths, have been reported to the World Health Organization.14 As with many emerging viral infections, a zoonotic source was suspected soon after the identification of MERS-CoV.15 We herein review the available evidence that associates MERS-CoV with animal sources and the probable directions of transmission.

Host Susceptibility

MERS-CoV entry into their host cells is mediated by binding of a receptor-binding domain on their spike (S) proteins to specific cellular receptors known as dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4).16,17 DPP4 is expressed on the epithelial and endothelial cells of most human organs; an observation that might explain the multi-system clinical spectrum
of MERS-CoV infection.\textsuperscript{18} DPP4 of small animals such as mice, ferrets and hamsters do not support MERS-CoV cell entry, and hence, such animals are not susceptible to MERS-CoV infection.\textsuperscript{19} However, mice are susceptible to MERS-CoV once transduced with recombinant adenovirus expressing human DPP4 receptors.\textsuperscript{20} On the other hand, experimental inoculation of rhesus macaques and marmosets resulted in viral replication, cytopathic cellular changes and mild to severe respiratory illness.\textsuperscript{21−24} MERS-CoV can also be detected in lungs of inoculated rabbits, but without any associated symptoms or histopathological changes.\textsuperscript{25} Macaques and marmosets have already proved useful animal models for the investigation of potential MERS-CoV therapeutic agents.\textsuperscript{26,27} Notably, MERS-CoV can utilize DPP4 expressed on cell lines derived from goats, sheep and making these animals’ potential reservoirs or intermediate hosts for MERS-CoV.\textsuperscript{19,28} However, MERS-CoV antibodies have never been identified in any such animals.\textsuperscript{29−34}

**Bats as Putative Origin of MERS-CoV**

Bats are known natural reservoirs for several emerging viral infections in humans including rabies, Nipah virus, Hendra virus and Ebola virus.\textsuperscript{35} Several features enable bats to be efficient sources of emerging human viral infections. As an extremely diverse species with a long evolutionary history, bats have co-evolved with a variety of viruses.\textsuperscript{36} Their lack of B-cell-mediated immune responses allows them to carry viruses without showing overt signs of illness.\textsuperscript{37} Low metabolic rate and suppressed immune response during bats’ hibernation result in delayed viral clearance.\textsuperscript{38} Bats live closely together in extremely large numbers facilitating stable circulation of viruses amongst them.\textsuperscript{39,40} Furthermore, bats are capable of flying and hence carrying potentially infectious pathogens over considerable distances.\textsuperscript{41} A pertinent feature of bats is that they chew fruits to absorb their sugars and spit out the remains.\textsuperscript{42} The discarded fruits can be contaminated with viruses from the oral cavity, urine and faeces providing a ready source for transmission to other potential hosts such as animals and humans.\textsuperscript{37,42}

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), which emerged in China in 2003 and caused over 8000 human infections, originated in horseshoe bats (*Rhinolophus sinicus*) and was transmitted to humans via palm civets as intermediate hosts.\textsuperscript{43−46} Moreover, MERS-CoV belongs to *Betacoronavirus* clade c, along with bat coronaviruses HKU4 and HKU5.\textsuperscript{47,48} It is therefore not surprising that initial efforts to identify the origins of MERS-CoV focused on bats.\textsuperscript{41,49}

Throat swabs, urine, faeces and serum samples were collected from wild bats in Saudi Arabia including the area where the first MERS-CoV patient had lived and worked. Several coronaviruses were identified in 227 of 1003 samples. A 190-nucleotide fragment of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) region of MERS-CoV genome was detected in one faecal pellet from an Egyptian tomb bat (*Taphozous perforatus*).\textsuperscript{50} The sequenced amplification product was identical to that of the MERS-CoV sequence obtained from the first index human case.\textsuperscript{1} Unfortunately, the quality of the samples deteriorated when the cold chain was interrupted for more than 48 hours during their transport from Saudi Arabia to Columbia University in the United States, and it was hence not possible to produce the full genomic sequence of the isolate.\textsuperscript{50}

Away from the Arabian Peninsula, novel MERS-CoV-related coronaviruses were detected in slit-faced bats (*Nycteris gambiensis*) from Ghana and pipistrelle bats (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus, P. kuhlii, P. nathusii, P. pipistrellus and P. pygmaeus*) from Germany, the Netherlands, Romania and Ukraine.\textsuperscript{51} The 816-nucleotide RdRp amino acid sequence of the novel *Pipistrellus* and *Nycteris* bat viruses differed from that of MERS-CoV by only 1.8% and 7.5%, respectively. Novel betacoronaviruses closely related to MERS-CoV have also been identified from Asian particoloured bats (*Vespertilio superans*) in China, serotine bats (*Eptesicus serotinus*) in Italy and broad-eared bat (*Nyctinomops laticaudatus*) in Mexico, in addition to bat guano fertilizer from Thailand.\textsuperscript{52−55}

More recently, a novel betacoronavirus named NeoCoV was identified in a vespertil bat (*Neoromicia capensis*) from South Africa. The sequenced 816-nucleotide RdRp fragment from NeoCoV differed from that of MERS-CoV by only one amino acid.\textsuperscript{56} The close relatedness of MERS-CoV and various bat viruses allows speculation that its ancestors might exist in Old World bats.\textsuperscript{57}

Though S protein of the bat coronavirus HKU4 can recognize human DPP4, it is not activated by human proteases and therefore cannot mediate viral entry into human cells.\textsuperscript{58,59} However, the introduction of two mutations which are already present in MERS-CoV S protein, S746R and N762A, into HKU4 S protein enabled its activation by human proteases and entry into human cells.\textsuperscript{60} It had been previously shown that two mutations in S protein allowed SARS-CoV to be transmitted from civets to humans.\textsuperscript{61} A similar event in bat coronaviruses could explain the emergence of MERS-CoV and its ability to cross the species barrier between bats and humans, directly or through an intermediate host.\textsuperscript{62,63}

**Dromedary Camels as Reservoirs for MERS-CoV**

Camels are large mammals with distinctive humps. Two species of camels are in current existence; the two-humped bactrian camels (*Camelus bactrianus*) and the one-humped dromedaries (*Camelus dromedarius*).\textsuperscript{64} Bactrian camels inhabit Central Asia and constitute around five per cent of the world’s camel population.\textsuperscript{64} On the other hand, the majority of dromedary camels are found in Eastern Africa, from where they are exported to countries in the Arabian Peninsula.\textsuperscript{65,66} Saudi Arabia, UAE, Qatar and Yemen have the largest populations of dromedary camels in the Middle East.\textsuperscript{66} In this region, camels have prominent economic,
cultural and recreational significance. In addition to being a source of milk and meat, dromedary camels are involved in racing, parades and annual festivals. Consumption of unpasteurized camel milk is not uncommon, and camel urine is widely believed to have medicinal benefits. Socio-economic development and rapid urbanization in the region has resulted in camel farms becoming gradually concentrated in close proximity to major cities. There are therefore ample opportunities in the Middle East for direct human contact with camels and their products.

Multiple lines of evidence implicate dromedary camels in the emergence and transmission of MERS-CoV. Firstly, MERS-CoV antibodies are highly prevalent in dromedary camels from across the Arabian Peninsula, North Africa and Eastern Africa (Figure 1 and Table 1). MERS-CoV antibodies were detected in stored camel sera dating as far back as early 1990s, suggesting that MERS-CoV may have been circulating in dromedaries for over 20 years before it was first recognized as a cause of human infection. The prevalence of MERS-CoV antibodies is significantly higher in older camels compared with those aged two years or less. Interestingly, despite the high overall prevalence of MERS-CoV seropositivity in dromedary camels from Kenya, no MERS-CoV antibodies were detected in those from the North Eastern region where dromedaries have been raised largely in isolation from those in the rest of the country. Similarly, all dromedaries raised at the Dubai Central Veterinary Research Laboratory, which had no contact with other camels, were seronegative for MERS-CoV. Also noteworthy is that 15 of 105 dromedary camels from the Canary Islands were seropositive for MERS-CoV. Although the majority of camels in the herd were born and bred in the Canary Islands, the group included three camels that were imported from Morocco. In contrast, no MERS-CoV antibodies were detected in dromedary camels from Australia, Canada, the United States of America, Germany, the Netherlands or Japan. The high prevalence of MERS-CoV seropositivity in Africa and the Middle East suggests that animal movement has facilitated the transmission and circulation of MERS-CoV amongst dromedary camels in these regions. MERS-CoV antibodies have neither been found in Mongolian or Dutch bactrian camels, nor in South American camelids such as lamas, alpacas and guanacos.

The second line of evidence is the reported detection of MERS-CoV by RT-PCR in oro-nasal and faecal samples from dromedary camels in multiple locations in the Arabian Peninsula (Figure 1 and Table 2). The four of 110 dromedary camels in which MERS-CoV RNA was detected in Egypt were all imported from Sudan or Ethiopia for slaughter. Of particular concern is the particularly high rate of MERS-CoV RNA detection in camels presented for slaughter in Eastern Saudi Arabia and Qatar; the latter was in the vicinity of a market in Doha to which two prior human cases were linked. Overall, MERS-CoV is more commonly detected and in higher viral loads in nasal swabs than in faecal samples. Another notable observation is that sequences from MERS-CoV strains isolated several months apart within the same location are often identical to one another, indicating that MERS-CoV circulation in dromedary herds is very stable.

Interestingly, the prevalence of MERS-CoV RNA shedding is significantly higher in juvenile than in adult
camels that had prior evidence of MERS-CoV seropositivity, indicating that animal re-infection is possible.\textsuperscript{73,74,79,83}

The third level of evidence is the demonstration of active MERS-CoV infection in dromedary camels through documented rises in anti-MERS-CoV antibody titres.\textsuperscript{73,74,85,86} MERS-CoV was also detected by RT-PCR in symptomatic camels.\textsuperscript{73,74,78,83,85,86} Dromedaries with active MERS-CoV infection exhibited symptoms such as mucopurulent nasal and lacrimal discharge, cough, sneezing, fever and loss of appetite.\textsuperscript{73,78,85,86}

It was initially argued that detection of MERS-CoV in camels by RT-PCR is not necessarily evidence of shedding of infectious virus and thus transmissibility of MERS-CoV between dromedaries and humans.\textsuperscript{87,88} However, several groups have since been able to isolate viable MERS-CoV in cell cultures of nasal and faecal samples from dromedary camels.\textsuperscript{73,74,80,84,89} Moreover, the potential infectiousness of MERS-CoV recovered from dromedary camels was evident by its capability to cause ex vivo infection in human respiratory cells and human hepatoma cells (Huh-7).\textsuperscript{80,90} Successful MERS-CoV cultures usually coincide with corresponding high viral loads in the same specimens.\textsuperscript{73,80,82,84}

The fifth level of evidence is the successful experimental MERS-CoV infection of dromedary camels with resultant mild clinical infection manifesting as fever and rhinorrhea.\textsuperscript{91} Three MERS-CoV seronegative adult dromedary camels were inoculated via intranasal, intra-tracheal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sampling year(s)</th>
<th>Camel age</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>% Positive*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia\textsuperscript{32,73,74,78}</td>
<td>2012–2013</td>
<td>&lt;1 to &gt;5 years</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia\textsuperscript{32,73,74,78}</td>
<td>1992–1996</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004–2010</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76 aged ≤1 year</td>
<td>29 aged &gt;1 year</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE\textsuperscript{74}</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE\textsuperscript{75}</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2–8 years</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE\textsuperscript{74}</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>&lt;1 year</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2–4 years</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;4 years</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE\textsuperscript{83}</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4–10 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman\textsuperscript{79}</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>8–12 years</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3–14 months</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt\textsuperscript{80}</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>&gt;6 years</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt\textsuperscript{80}</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5–7 years</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt\textsuperscript{93}</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>&gt;6 years</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia\textsuperscript{85}</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>≤2 years</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2 years</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia\textsuperscript{93}</td>
<td>2010–2011</td>
<td>≤2 years</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2 years</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria\textsuperscript{86}</td>
<td>2010–2011</td>
<td>&gt;2 years</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia\textsuperscript{103}</td>
<td>1983–1984</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan\textsuperscript{103}</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya\textsuperscript{75}</td>
<td>1992–2013</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canary Islands\textsuperscript{29}</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>17 aged ≤4 years</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88 aged &gt;4 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: not available; UAE: United Arab Emirates.
*The highest proportion positive by any serological assay used in the study.
co-worker were diagnosed with laboratory-confirmed MERS-CoV infection. MERS-CoV was detected by RT-PCR in five of their 14 camels. Alignment of six MERS-CoV genomic fragments, covering 4.2 kb of the MERS-CoV genome, from the camels and the human cases showed that they differed by one nucleotide each in ORF1a and ORF4b regions.

In November 2013, a 43-year-old man died in a hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, with severe MERS-CoV infection. He had owned a herd of nine dromedary camels, some of which were reported to have had recent respiratory symptoms. MERS-CoV was detected by PCR and culture of nasal specimens from one camel. Partial MERS-CoV sequence of 4.6 kb from the camel and the linked human isolates differed in only two positions. Whole MERS-CoV genome sequences obtained from viral cultures of the human and camel isolates were 100% identical. Importantly, 4-fold rise in MERS-CoV antibody titres was documented in the camels, indicating that active MERS-CoV infection was probably circulating in the dromedary herd. Later, rising MERS-CoV antibodies were documented in the patient, suggesting that MERS-CoV infection was transmitted from the camels to the human and not vice versa.

In May 2015, MERS-CoV was detected in eight asymptomatic dromedary camels at entry into UAE from Oman. Two asymptomatic men, aged 29 and 33 years, who were in contact with the camels were found to be positive for MERS-CoV RNA in their respiratory samples. Partial sequences of MERS-CoV spike, ORF3-4a and nucleocapsid regions from the human and linked camels were identical.

Camel–Human MERS-CoV Inter-transmission

The strongest direct evidence yet of transmissibility of MERS-CoV between dromedary camels and humans is the simultaneous isolation of near-identical MERS-CoV strains from epidemiologically linked humans and dromedary camels. In October 2013, a 61-year-old owner of a herd of dromedary camels in Qatar and his 23-year-old and conjunctival routes with a total dose of $10^7$ 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID$_{50}$) of MERS-CoV. Clinical symptoms appeared within 2 days and persisted for up to 2 weeks. Submucosal inflammation and necrosis were evident in the upper and lower respiratory tracts, but not the alveoli. MERS-CoV antibodies were detected within 14 days of inoculation. Shedding of infectious MERS-CoV in oral and nasal secretions, as determined by plaque assay titres, continued for up 5 and 7 days, respectively. MERS-CoV was detectable by quantitative PCR for up to 35 days. Under certain condition, MERS-CoV can survive on plastic and steel surfaces for up to 30 hours. Moreover, MERS-CoV RNA can be detected in milk expressed from MERS-CoV infected camels. Whether MERS-CoV is secreted in camel milk or it is contaminated from the animal’s other body secretions is probably immaterial as viable MERS-CoV can be recovered from dromedary camel milk stored at 22 degrees Celsius for up to 48 hours. It is therefore possible to envisage that in the absence of appropriate precautions, the environment surrounding a MERS-CoV infected camel can become extensively contaminated with viable, potentially infectious virus.

### Table 2 Summary of MERS-CoV RT-PCR studies in dromedary camels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sampling year(s)</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Camel age</th>
<th>RT-PCR (% positive)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>2013–2014</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>&lt;4 years</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>≥4 years</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&lt;4 years</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>≥4 years</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>B, NS and RS</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>≤2 years</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>B, NS and RS</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>&gt;2 years</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>2013–2014</td>
<td>B, NS, OS and RS</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>≤1 year</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, NS, OS and RS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&gt;1 years</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>≤1 year</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NS and RS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>NS, OS, RS and LNT</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>76 aged ≤1 year</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29 aged &gt;1 year</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>7,803</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>B, NS</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>&gt;4 years</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>344</td>
<td>2–4 years</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>&lt;1 years</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>Known</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>871</td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4–10 years</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>CS, NS</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>&gt;6 years</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
identical. Within 4–8 days from diagnosis, both patients had undetectable MERS-CoV RNA. All dromedaries were seropositive for MERS-CoV antibodies. However, two juvenile camels had high MERS-CoV viral loads in their nasal specimens and were last to become RT-PCR negative. The sequence of events strongly suggests that MERS-CoV infection occurred in the dromedary camels before the human cases.

MERS-CoV seroprevalence studies in humans with close contact with camels have yielded inconsistent results. A national serosurvey in Saudi Arabia found prevalence of MERS-CoV antibodies that was 15 times higher in camel shepherds \( P = 0.0004 \) and 23 times higher in slaughterhouse workers \( P < 0.0001 \), compared with the general population. Likewise, individuals who had occupational exposure to dromedary camels in Qatar were seropositive for MERS-CoV but not those without such exposure. However, none of 191 persons who had close occupational contacts with MERS-CoV infected camels were seropositive for MERS-CoV. Similarly, MERS-CoV antibodies were not detected in any of 114 animal workers in contact with MERS-CoV RNA positive camels in Egypt. Slaughterhouse and other animal workers in Western and Southern Saudi Arabia were also seronegative for MERS-CoV antibodies. Collectively, the available data indicate that MERS-CoV is highly prevalent in dromedary camels in the Arabian Peninsula and that transmission of infection from camels to humans, although inefficient, does occur.

Connecting the Dots

The sequenced full genomes of NeoCoV, the novel betacoronavirus that was isolated from vespertilionid bats in South Africa and MERS-CoV strains from dromedary camels and humans confirm that they all belong to the same species. NeoCoV is at the root of their phylogenetic tree, with evidence of genetic evolution of MERS-CoV in camels before humans. Furthermore, the high similarity of NeoCoV and MERS-CoV with genetic divergence in NeoCoV spike gene suggests that a recombination event within this region may have resulted in the emergence of MERS-CoV. The high seroprevalence of MERS-CoV in camels in Eastern Africa indicates that such recombination event might have taken place in dromedaries, or another yet unidentified intermediate host, in Eastern Africa and that MERS-CoV followed the camel trading routes to emerge in humans in the Arabian Peninsula (Figure 2). The fact that MERS-CoV antibodies have been detected in camel sera from Eastern Africa and the Arabian Peninsula dating back to the early 1990s supports such a hypothesis. Once established in dromedary camels, occasional transmission to humans is evident.

In a large seroprevalence survey in Saudi Arabia conducted between December 2012 and December 2013, anti-MERS-CoV antibodies were detected in 0.15% of 10,009 samples. The authors extrapolated that around 45,000 individuals in Saudi Arabia could be seropositive for MERS-CoV. It therefore appears that human MERS-CoV infection had taken place in the region for some considerable time before it was identified. The recent identification of MERS-CoV infection in asymptomatic human contacts of MERS-CoV infected camels in UAE provides significant insight into the possible chain of events following such exposure. It is reasonable to theorize that infection from such asymptomatic individuals may be transmitted to others. A person admitted to a health care facility with unrecognized MERS-CoV infection can trigger clusters of various sizes. Indeed, the appearance of several community and hospital MERS-CoV clusters in the first half of the year 2013 without identifiable human or animal sources led to speculations that individuals with no or only mild respiratory symptoms might have a role...
in MERS-CoV transmissions. Memish et al. showed that MERS-CoV was detectable for up to 12 days in 30% of asymptomatic contacts. In another report, an asymptomatic health care worker had detectable MERS-CoV for over five weeks. Although MERS-CoV transmission from an asymptomatic individual is a strong probability, this has never been documented.  

MERS-CoV Control at the Animal–Human Interface

In countries where MERS-CoV is already established in dromedary camels, preventive strategies are unlikely to succeed without addressing such sources. Key elements for MERS-CoV control in animals should include the following:

1. Strict regulation of camel movement with imposition of requirement for MERS-CoV clearance prior to importation and transport of camels, including those that are presented for slaughter. Camels with detectable MERS-CoV RNA should be quarantined and tested at regular intervals.

2. Enforcement of the use of personal protective equipment while handling dromedary camels.

3. Efforts to increase awareness amongst camel owners and the general public of the risks of consuming unpasteurized camel milk and urine. This may prove challenging, given the depth of customs and beliefs in some areas.

4. Accelerated development of safe and effective MERS-CoV vaccines for animal or human use.

Conclusion

MERS-CoV is a zoonotic disease with bats and dromedary camels playing important parts in its emergence and epidemiology. Camel to human MERS-CoV transmission is well documented but is generally not very efficient. The exact mechanism of transmission is not clear, including whether other intermediate hosts are involved. Serosurveys in humans across Africa are urgently needed to investigate the possibility of unrecognized MERS-CoV infections in the continent. Furthermore, bats in Eastern Africa should be screened for betacoronaviruses that may provide better understanding of the genetic history of MERS-CoV. Finally, case-control studies of humans with sporadic MERS-CoV infection are urgently needed to identify risk factors and exposures that might explain the chains of transmission from camels and other possible zoonotic or environmental sources of human infections.
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