Palmitoylation of the Alphacoronavirus TGEV spike protein S is essential for incorporation into virus-like particles but dispensable for S–M interaction
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Abstract
The spike protein S of coronaviruses contains a highly conserved cytoplasmic cysteine-rich motif adjacent to the transmembrane region. This motif is palmitoylated in the Betacoronaviruses MHV and SARS-CoV. Here, we demonstrate by metabolic labeling with [3H]-palmitic acid that the S protein of transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus (TGEV), an Alphacoronavirus, is palmitoylated as well. This is relevant for TGEV replication as virus growth was compromised by the general palmitoylation inhibitor 2-bromopalmitate. Mutation of individual cysteine clusters in the cysteine-rich motif of S revealed that all cysteines must be replaced to abolish acylation and incorporation of S into virus-like particles (VLP). Conversely, the interaction of S with the M protein, essential for VLP incorporation of S, was not impaired by lack of palmitoylation. Thus, palmitoylation of the S protein of Alphacoronaviruses is dispensable for S–M interaction, but required for the generation of progeny virions.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction
Coronaviruses (CoVs) are a family of enveloped, single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses that have a broad host range, as they infect a wide variety of avian and mammalian species including humans. The family Coronaviridae is split up in four genera, the Alpha-, Beta-, Delta- and Gammacoronavirus. The genus Betacoronavirus comprises the prototype coronavirus mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) as well as the recently emerged human pathogens severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV and middle east respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV. The genus Alphacoronavirus includes important animal pathogens like feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV), porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), and transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), which is the subject of this study. The positive-stranded RNA genome of coronaviruses is associated with the N protein, building up the nucleocapsid, which is surrounded by a lipid bilayer. The structural proteins Spike (S), Membrane (M), and Envelope (E) protein are embedded in this envelope.

Coronaviruses assemble at and bud into intracellular membranes at the endoplasmic reticulum Golgi intermediate compartment, ERGIC (Krijnse-Locker et al., 1994; Tooze et al., 1984). Subcellular targeting signals in the viral proteins direct the proteins towards the budding site (Corse and Machamer, 2002; Lontok et al., 2004; Paul et al., 2014; Schwegmann-Wessels et al., 2004; Swift and Machamer, 1991). Lateral protein interactions between M and M (Locker et al., 1992), M and E (Lim and Liu, 2001), M and N (Narayanan et al., 2000), as well as M and S (Opstelten et al., 1995) proteins play crucial roles during assembly and trigger budding. This paper focuses on the S protein of TGEV. This is a large type 1 membrane protein (250 kDa) that is responsible for the attachment to the cellular receptor and subsequent fusion of viral and cellular membranes. The S protein is incorporated into virus particles by interaction with the M protein (Nguyen and Hogue, 1997; Vennema et al., 1996). This is an indispensable step in the CoV replication cycle to obtain infectious virus particles.

Palmitoylation (S-acylation) is a post-translational modification, in which a saturated fatty acid (most commonly palmitic acid) is linked to a cysteine residue by a thioester bond (Schmidt...
CoV S proteins show a conserved cysteine rich motif (CRM) in the cytoplasmic domain, adjacent to the carboxyterminal end of the transmembrane region (Fig. 1). The CoV S proteins share little sequence homology at this region but the cysteine content is about 35%. For the Betacoronaviridae SARS-CoV and MHV, it has been shown that the S proteins are palmitoylated at the CRM (Petit et al., 2007; Thorp et al., 2006). In both viruses the palmitoylation of the S protein is implicitly necessary for the incorporation of the S protein into virus-like particles (VLPs) or virus particles (Thorp et al., 2006; Ujike et al., 2012). However, a partial CRM can be sufficient for the incorporation of the S protein (Ujike et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2012). In contrast to that, the interaction of the S and M proteins has different requirements in both viruses. Treatment with the general palmitoylation inhibitor 2-bromopalmitate disrupted the interaction of S and M proteins in MHV (Thorp et al., 2006). Conversely, the SARS-CoV S-M protein interaction is not impaired with a palmitoylation-null S protein cysteine-mutant (McBride and Machamer, 2010).

These reported findings demonstrate the different requirements in the closely related viruses MHV and SARS-CoV that both belong to the genus Betacoronavirus. We wanted to know whether coronaviruses from the genus Alphacoronavirus differ from these Betacoronaviridae with respect to their role of the S protein’s CRM. In our study we addressed the role of the S protein CRM of the Alphacoronavirus TGEV during assembly. To this end, we substituted cysteines in the CRM of the TGEV S protein and assessed their effect on VLP formation and on S-M protein interaction. We show that in contrast to the SARS-CoV S protein the TGEV S protein is incorporated into VLPs irrespective of the position of its palmitoylated cysteines. For an efficient interaction between TGEV S and M proteins, palmitoylation of the S protein is not necessary. Our results demonstrate that despite general similarities, coronaviruses show differences regarding the functional role of S protein palmitoylation that may have been induced by evolutionary forces.

**Results and discussion**

**2-BP treatment decreases the infectivity of TGEV**

The palmitate analog 2-bromopalmitate (2-BP) inhibits protein palmitoylation in general (Webb et al., 2000). To investigate whether palmitoylation has an impact on TGEV infectivity, we performed a treatment with 2-BP (Fig. 2A). ST cells were infected with TGEV and treated with different concentrations of 2-BP (0, 0.8, 4, 8, and 12 μM). Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV, grown in BHK-21 cells) was employed as a control since the assembly of this virus does not depend on palmitoylation (Thorp et al., 2006; Whitt and Rose, 1991). These 2-BP concentrations were not cytoxic for ST cells verified with a WST-1 cell proliferation assay (Fig. 2B). About 24 h after infection, media were harvested and viral infectivity was determined by plaque assay. The production of infectious VSV particles was not significantly inhibited by the 2-BP treatment (Fig. 2A) (Thorp et al., 2006; Whitt and Rose, 1991). In contrast to that, a significant, dose-dependent decrease of TGEV infectivity was observed upon 2-BP treatment. This decrease in infectivity correlated with a decrease in the amount of virus particles in the supernatant of 2-BP-treated, infected cells, as seen by Western blot of pelleted supernatants for viral proteins (Fig. 2C). The amount of protein expression in the cell lysates was not influenced by 2-BP treatment (Fig. 2C). From these results, we conclude that an inhibition of palmitoylation has negative effects on the production of infectious TGEV (but not VSV) particles. While we cannot exclude that the reduction in infectious TGEV particle numbers upon 2-BP treatment is partially caused by inhibited palmitoylation of an essential cellular factor, we considered it most likely that the palmitoylation of a viral protein is required for the production of infectious virions. This led us to assess whether the TGEV S protein is palmitoylated.

TGEV S is palmitoylated in virus particles

Recent studies have shown that the S proteins of MHV and SARS-CoV, both members of the genus Betacoronavirus, are palmitoylated (McBride and Machamer, 2010; Petit et al., 2007; Shulla and Gallagher, 2009; Thorp et al., 2006). The cysteine-rich motif (CRM) is highly conserved among CoV S proteins, and a CRM is also found in the S protein of TGEV as a member of the genus Alphacoronavirus (Fig. 1). To determine whether the TGEV S protein is also palmitoylated, TGEV was grown in ST cells in the presence of [3H]-palmitic acid to achieve radioactive labeling, followed by pelleting of the virus, SDS-PAGE and fluorography. This revealed that the S protein of TGEV is indeed S-acylated, visible by the band at about 250 kDa which was absent in uninfected cells (Fig. 2D). The specificity of this labeling with [3H]-palmitic acid was confirmed by hydroxylamine treatment (Fig. 2D). Hydroxylamine cleaves thioester linkages at neutral pH and thus any palmitic acid linked to a cysteine residue by S-acylation. The disappearance of the TGEV S protein band after hydroxylamine treatment proves that this protein is S-acylated at least one cysteine residue.

---

**Fig. 1.** Sequence alignment of the carboxy-terminal S protein sequences from representative coronavirusspecies. Underlined cysteine residues represent potential palmitoylation sites. TGEV-PUR-46 (transmissible gastroenteritis virus, strain PUR-46), FIPV (feline infectious peritonitis virus), HCoV-NL-63 (human coronavirus NL63), SARS-CoV (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus), MHV-A59 (mouse hepatitis virus, strain A59), MERS-CoV (middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus), IBV Beaduette (infectious bronchitis virus, strain Beaduette), BuL-CoV (bulbul coronavirus).
Palmitoylation of TGEV S is necessary for incorporation into VLPs

As the infectivity of TGEV was reduced after 2-BP treatment and the S protein present in TGEV virions was shown to be palmitoylated, we concentrated our analysis on the cysteine-rich motif (CRM) present in the cytoplasmic domain of the TGEV S protein (Fig. 1). The Swt protein of the TGEV–PUR-MAD strain contains 10 cysteine residues within the CRM (Sanchez et al., 1990). The CRM of TGEV S can be split up in three cysteine clusters with three to four cysteine residues (Fig. 1). To test whether specific cysteine clusters have a crucial role during virus assembly, partial Cys-mutants were created in addition to the complete cysteine mutant where all cysteines were replaced by alanines (S_C1-10A, Fig. 3A). As the transfection efficiency of ST cells is quite low, BHK-21 cells were transfected with the plasmids encoding for the different Cys-mutants. The labeling with [3H]-palmitic acid showed that all partial mutants were palmitoylated whereas the complete Cys-mutant was not palmitoylated (Fig. 3B). The presence of three cysteines in the CRM (S_C1-7A) was hence sufficient for the protein to be acylated even if the degree of palmitoylation was lower for this mutant (Fig. 3B). It is conceivable that palmitoylation of the CRM cysteines is important for fusion, which is mediated by the S protein. The importance of the CRM of the MHV and SARS-CoV S proteins for fusion has already been proven (Bos et al., 1995; Chang et al., 2000; McBride and Machamer, 2010; Shulla and Gallagher, 2009). In this study, we however evaluated

---

**Fig. 2.** Palmitoylation of TGEV. (A) Treatment with 2-bromopalmitate (2-BP) decreases the infectivity of TGEV. ST or BHK-21 cells were inoculated with TGEV or VSV, respectively. At 1 h p.i., media were replaced with growth media containing the indicated concentrations of 2-BP or ethanol as 2-BP solvent (ethanol control: amount of ethanol present in the highest 2-BP concentration; 0.0 μM 2-BP: medium without ethanol). Twenty-four hours later, media were collected and the amount of infectious virus particles was determined by plaque assay. Plaque forming units (PFU)/ml are displayed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). *: significantly different according to Student’s t test compared to 0.0 μM 2-BP (p < 0.05). (B) 2-BP is not cytotoxic to ST cells at the concentrations used. ST cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of 2-BP and cell proliferation was determined by WST 1-assay. The cell proliferation is indicated as mean ± SD in percentage. (C) The amount of viral proteins present in viral particles is reduced after 2-BP treatment. Virions from cell culture supernatants of infected cells, treated with the indicated amounts of 2-BP, were pelleted by ultracentrifugation 24 h p.i. and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting (virions). The indicated proteins (S, N, and M) were detected by monoclonal antibodies. The corresponding cells were lysed and the respective proteins were equally analyzed (cell lysates). (D) Palmitoylation of the TGEV S protein in virus particles. Infected and mock-infected ST cells were labeled with [3H]-palmitic acid or [35S]-methionine/cysteine. Radiolabeled virus was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and fluorography. A hydroxylamine treatment was performed to determine the linkage of fatty acids via a thioester bond.
whether palmitoylation is important for assembly and incorporation of the spike protein into newly generated virus particles. To analyze this role a virus-like particle assay was performed in which the incorporation of S can be analyzed separately from the influence of the fusion capacity of the S protein. The incorporation of the TGEV S protein is an essential step during assembly to form
infectious particles. To determine the importance of the TGEV S protein’s CRM during virus assembly we analyzed the capability of the TGEV S Cys-mutants to be incorporated into virus-like particles (VLPs, Fig. 3A and E). The co-expression of the TGEV E and M proteins is the minimal requirement for VLP formation (Baudoux et al., 1998). If the S protein is co-expressed with M and E it will be incorporated into VLPs (Vennema et al., 1996). In contrast to the authentic TGEV S protein the complete Cys-mutant S_C1–10A was not incorporated into VLPs (Fig. 3A). The analyzed partial Cys-mutants S_C1–3A, S_C4–7A, S_C6–10A, and S_C1–2A behaved like the TGEV Swt protein and were incorporated into VLPs (Fig. 3D). To confirm these results and to account for variations in protein levels in Western blots, we additionally performed immunofluorescence analysis to show the cell surface transport of S protein (Fig. 3E). We obtained comparable intracellular expression levels of all tested TGEV S proteins and the TGEV M protein. Like in the VLP assay analyzed by Western blot, the S_C1–10A mutant was the only S protein which was not detectable on the cell surface and therefore not incorporated into VLPs (Fig. 3E). These results demonstrate that cysteines are important for the S protein incorporation into viral particles, and that they are at least partially redundant. In contrast to the reports for SARS-CoV (Uijke et al., 2012), the specific position of the cysteine residue inside the cysteine-rich region seems not to be relevant for the incorporation into VLPs. The presence of one cluster of 3 cysteines (S_C1–3A) is enough to incorporate S into VLPs.

The S proteins of coronaviruses are known to form trimers (Delmas and Laude, 1990). To exclude that the inability of the complete Cys-mutant to incorporate into VLPs is caused by a defect in oligomerization, we analyzed the quaternary structure of S_C1–10A with a sucrose density gradient and compared it with the TGEV Swt protein. The results showed that the wild-type protein and the Cys-mutant are both detectable in high-concentration sucrose fractions indicating a comparable oligomerization potential (Fig. 3C). In conclusion, the palmitoylation of the TGEV S is necessary for the incorporation of the S protein into VLPs, but does not affect oligomerization of S.

How does palmitoylation of the TGEV S protein influence S incorporation?

One possible explanation might be that the conformity of the cytoplasmatic tail of the S protein is crucial for the incorporation of S protein into virus particles. The palmitic acids probably help in membrane attachment of the cytoplasmatic tail and may thus define its conformity. This conformity may play a minor role in the binding to the M protein but may be important for the incorporation of the TGEV S protein into virus-like particles. It is possible that the linked fatty acids determine how the protein is positioned in the ERGIC membrane and how it is inserted in the dense matrix formed by the lateral M–M interactions described for coronavirus assembly (de Haan et al., 2000; Neuman et al., 2006).

The palmitoylation of proteins can concentrate them at certain membrane domains (Heakal et al., 2011). A lot of viruses use palmitoylation to target their proteins to lipid microdomains at the plasma membrane for assembly (Bhattacharya et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2000). Palmitoylated proteins concentrate with interacting proteins at intracellular microdomains as well. As examples, the chaperon Calnexin and the transmembrane thioredoxin protein are recruited to detergent-resistant membranes of the endoplasmic reticulum by palmitoylation (Hayashi and Fujimoto, 2010; Lynes et al., 2012). Thus, a version of the TGEV S protein like the complete Cys-mutant S_C1–10A that lacks palmitic acids may interact with the M protein in an S–M protein co-expression experiment but may not be sorted to the membrane microdomains at the ERGIC in which virus assembly and budding take place and may therefore not be incorporated into newly generated virions or VLPs. McBride and Machamer have shown that the SARS-CoV S protein is present in DRMs at the plasma membrane in single expression experiments. For a complete Cys-mutant of the SARS-CoV S protein it was shown that it is no longer present in DRMs (McBride and Machamer, 2010). These results confirm the hypothesis that the palmitoylation of coronavirus S proteins directs them to membrane microdomains and that this localization is indispensable for the incorporation into VLPs and virions.

Fig. 3. Palmitoylation of S is necessary for incorporation into VLPs. (A) Schematic illustration of TGEV S wild-type and TGEV S cysteine-mutants. The cysteine-rich motif (CRM) and the tyrosine-based retention signal are highlighted by boxes. Cysteines substituted by alanines are written in bold. ED, ectodomains; TMD, transmembrane domain; CD, cytoplasmic domain. (B) The complete TGEV S cysteine-mutant is not palmitoylated, whereas the partial cysteine-mutants are. BHK-21 cells expressing TGEV Swt or the indicated TGEV S cysteine-mutants were labeled with [3H]-palmitic acid or [35S]-methionine/cysteine for 5 h. The cells were lysed and S protein was immunoprecipitated and subjected to SDS-PAGE and fluorography. (C) Lack of cysteines in the CRM does not affect oligomerization. BHK-21 cells expressing TGEV Swt or S_C1–10A were labeled with [35S]-methionine/cysteine for 6 h, then solubilized in 6 mM dodecyl-β-maltoside. A sucrose density gradient was overlaid with these cell lysates and was ultracentrifuged. Fractions were collected, immunoprecipitated, and subjected to SDS-PAGE and phosphorimaging. (D) TGEV Swt and partial TGEV S cysteine-mutants can be incorporated into VLPs, whereas a complete TGEV S cysteine-mutant cannot. BHK-21 cells were transfected with expression plasmids for the indicated versions of TGEV S, and for TGEV M and E proteins (E) or a mock expression plasmid (–). At 24 h p.t., VLPs were collected by ultracentrifugation through a sucrose cushion, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. The corresponding cell lysates were checked for the presence of the respective proteins. (E) The TGEV S protein is detectable on the cell surface of BHK-21 cells after co-expression of S, M, and E proteins. BHK-21 cells were transfected with expression plasmids for the indicated versions of TGEV S alone or together with TGEV M and E encoding plasmids. At 24 h p.t., the S protein expression was detected by cell surface immunostaining or staining after permeabilization of the cells. In addition to the TGEV S protein the TGEV M protein was detected intracellularly in the parallel co-expression experiment.
Y/A-mutation traffics correctly to the cell surface in single expression (Fig. 4C) and the full cysteine-mutant has a comparable oligomerization potential as the wildtype protein (Fig. 3C).

Studies on MHV showed that the cytoplasmic tail of the S protein and, more specifically, the membrane adjacent cysteine-rich region is important for the interaction with M and for
incorporation into virus-like particles (Bosch et al., 2005; Godeke et al., 2000). Our results for TGEV are similar to the published results for the SARS-CoV S protein, where the cysteine-rich motif is not important for the interaction between S and M proteins (McBride and Machamer, 2010). For these coronaviral spike proteins other parts like the transmembrane domain or the ectodomain of S could play a role in the interaction with the M protein.

Conclusion

It is of significant interest to understand how the S protein is incorporated into coronavirus particles because the S protein mediates binding to the cellular receptor and fusion with the cellular membrane and is therefore indispensable for the generation of infectious virus particles. One important result from our study is that the palmitoylation of the cysteine-rich motif at the carboxy-terminus of the TGEV S protein is necessary for the incorporation of S into virus-like particles. Furthermore, our results suggest that the loss of palmitoylation does not affect the interaction with the TGEV M protein. Here, we show that for TGEV, the interaction of the S protein with M has different requirements than the incorporation of S into virus particles.

This is the first study about the role of palmitoylation in virus assembly for the genus Alphacoronavirus in the family Coronaviridae; demonstrating that there are some similarities but also differences in the requirements for coronavirus assembly in MHV (Shulla and Gallagher, 2009; Thorp et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2012), SARS-CoV (McBride and Machamer, 2010; Ujike et al., 2012), and the Alphacoronavirus TGEV (Fig. 4D).

Future studies about the role of palmitoylation of the TGEV S protein in virus assembly should include the analysis of recombinant virions that contain the respective cysteine mutations. Additionally it should be analyzed if the TGEV S protein is directed to distinct membrane microdomains inside the ERGIC and if this localization is determined by cysteine palmitoylation.

Materials and methods

Cells

BHK-21 cells were grown in Eagle’s minimal essential medium (EMEM; Gibco BRL) supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS; Biochrom). ST (swine testicular) cells were propagated in Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (DMEM; Gibco BRL) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (GE Healthcare).

Plasmid DNAs, construction of plasmids

The TGEV S gene, strain PUR-46-MAD (Sanchez et al., 1990), was cloned into the pCG1 plasmid using BamHI and PstI restriction sites (Cathomen et al., 1995; Schwegmann-Wessels et al., 2006). Cysteine mutants of TGEV S protein and TGEV S_Y/A protein were generated by a standard hybridization PCR technique, as described previously (Schwegmann-Wessels et al., 2004). The generated cysteine mutant proteins are illustrated in Figs. 3A and 4A. An HA-peptide (MYQFDVPDYA) was C-terminally fused to the TGEV M protein using standard PCR techniques. The mutated gene regions generated by PCR were verified by sequencing (Eurofins MWG Operon).

Transient transfections (PEI and Lipofectamine)

Transfection of BHK-21 cells in 6- and 24-well-plates was performed by using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s instructions. BHK-21 cells in 10 cm-diameter dishes were transfected by using Polyethylenimine (PEI; Polysciences). Transfections were carried out at a confluence of 70–80%. The medium was replaced with 7 ml fresh EMEM containing 3% FCS. A total of 12 µg DNA were pipetted in 3 ml Opti-MEM (Life Technologies) and were incubated for 5 min. Then, 20 µl of a 1 µg/µl PEI-working solution was added. The mixture was incubated for 15 min at room temperature and added to the cells.

2-bromopalmitate treatment and plaque assay

TGEV was propagated on ST cells and VSV (strain Indiana) on BHK-21 cells. Infections were carried out on confluent monolayers with an MOI of 0.001 at 37 °C on a rocking shaker. At 1 h p.i. media were removed and cells rinsed with PBS to prepare for further treatments. For 2-bromopalmitate (2-BP) treatment infected cells were grown in DMEM containing variable amounts of 2-BP (0, 0.8, 4, 8, and 12 µM) or ethanol (solvent of 2-BP). At a detectable cytopathic effect supernatants were harvested and spun at 2800g for 10 min at 4 °C to remove cellular debris. The amount of infectious virus particles was determined by plaque assay as described by Krempel et al. (2000) using ST cells (TGEV) or BHK-21 cells (VSV). The cytopathicity of the 2-BP treatment on ST cells was quantified with the cell proliferation reagent WST-1 (Roche) in 96-well microplates according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Viral proteins (S, M, and N) incorporated into virions were detected via SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis after ultracentrifugation of the respective cell culture supernatants. S protein was detected with mAb 6A.C3 (1:200), N protein with anti-coronavirus monoclonal antibody FIPV3-70 (1:1000, Thermo Scientific), and M protein with mAb 9D.B4 (1:200) followed by incubation with an anti-mouse peroxidase-conjugated antibody (Dako; 1:1000). Protein expression in the cells was equally detected after cell lysis.

Labeling of viral particles with [3H]-palmitic acid

ST cells in 15 cm-diameter dishes were mock-infected or infected with TGEV at an MOI of 10. At 3 h p.i. the cells were labeled with 20 µCi/ml [3H]-palmitic acid ([9,10-3H(N)], Perkin-Elmer) or [35S]-Met/Cys (EasyTagExpress mix, Perkin-Elmer) in Met/Cys-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; PAN Biotech) supplemented with 5 mM l-glutamine (PAN Biotech). At 24 h p.i. supernatants were harvested and cleared by low-speed centrifugation (3000g, 20 min, 4 °C) followed by pelleting of the virus by ultracentrifugation in an SW28 m rotor (Beckman Coulter) at 28,000 rpm for 2 h at 4 °C. The pellet was resuspended in 2 ×...
non-reducing SDS sample buffer (0.1 M Tris–HCl, 2% SDS, 20% glycerol, 2% bromophenol blue) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and fluorography, as described previously (Thaa et al., 2011). To determine an ester-type linkage of the acylated viral protein a hydroxylamine treatment under neutral pH conditions was performed, as described previously (Veit et al., 2008).

Metabolic labeling with [3H]-palmitic acid and immunoprecipitation

BH2-K1 cells grown in 6-well-plates were transfected with TGEV S expression plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated for 1 h in Met/Cys-free DMEM supplemented with 5 mM l-glutamine. Subsequently, cells were labeled for 5 or 19 h with 1000 μCi/ml [3H]-palmitic acid. A parallel dish was labeled with 600 μCi/ml [3H]-l-glutamine. Cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer (0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P40, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5) containing protease inhibitor (Complete, Roche) for 30 min at 4 °C. Lysates were clarified for 30 min at 20,000g. C. S protein was immunoprecipitated with mouse anti-TGEV S monoclonal antibody, 6A.C3 (Gebauer et al., 1991) and protein A-sepharose (Sigma) overnight at 4 °C. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times with NP-40 lysis buffer and were eluted in 2 × non-reducing SDS sample buffer at 96 °C for 10 min followed by SDS-PAGE and fluorography.

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy

BH2-K1 cells grown on coverslips in 24-well-plates were co-transfected with pCG1-TGEV-S wt, pCG1-TGEV-S_V/A, pCG1-TGEV-Cys-mutants, pCG1-VSV-G with empty vector (pCG1) or with HA-tagged pCG1-TGEV-M. The transfection ratio of S to M was 5:1. At 24 h p.t. cells were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS and indirect immunofluorescence analysis was performed. For intracellular protein detection cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton/PBS for 5 min. Detection of TGEV S protein was performed as described previously (Schwegmann-Wessels et al., 2004). The VSV G protein was detected with the monoclonal antibodies 1-1 and 1-14 (Hanika et al., 2005). As secondary antibody, a Cy3-conjugated sheep–anti-mouse antibody (1:200, Sigma) was used. The HA-tagged TGEV M was visualized by an anti-HA-tag antibody (rabbit, 1:200, Sigma) and anti-rabbit fluorescent isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled secondary antibody (goat-anti-rabbit, 1:300, Sigma). Fluorescence micrographs were acquired on a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope. For the detection of S protein present in VLPs on the cell surface plasmids encoding for the respective S proteins were co-transfected with TGEV M and E plasmids. The immunostaining was performed as described above with and without permeabilization of the cells.

VLP-assay

BH2-K1 cells grown in 10-cm-diameter dishes were co-transfected with plasmids (pCG1) encoding the TGEV M, E and S proteins. At 24 h p.t. VLPs secreted into the culture medium were purified through a 25% sucrose cushion by ultracentrifugation at 35,000 rpm in an SW 41 rotor (Beckman Coulter) for 1 h at 4 °C. VLP pellets were solubilized in 50 μl 2 × SDS sample buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer and were also subjected to SDS-PAGE. SDS gels were transferred by a semi-dry technique (Rydse-Andersen, 1984) to nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare) that were subsequently blocked overnight with 1% blocking reagent (Roche) in blocking buffer (100 mM maleic acid, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). S protein was detected with mAb 6A.C3 (1:200) and M protein with mAb 9D.B4 (1:200) followed by incubation with an anti-mouse peroxidase-conjugated antibody (Dako; 1:1000). The nitrocellulose membrane was incubated with a chemiluminescent peroxidase substrate (Thermo Scientific), and chemiluminescence was measured with a Chemi Doc system (BioRad).

Cell lysate fractionation on sucrose density gradients

BH2-K1 cells were seeded in 10-cm-diameter dishes and were transfected with pCG1-TGEV-Swt, pCG1-TGEV-S_Cys-10A or empty vector (pCG1) one day later. At 24 h p.t. the cells were metabolically labeled with 100 μCi/ml [35S]-Met/Cys in methionine- and cysteine-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco) supplemented with 4 mM l-glutamine (PAA Laboratories) for 6 h. The cells were solubilized in 250 μl 6 mM dodecyl-β-maltoside (Sigma), 10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, and protease inhibitors (Complete; Roche) per dish. The cell lysates were homogenized by a needle (G21 × 1, 5°) and lysed for 3 h at 4 °C. One milliliter of the cell lysate was loaded onto a sucrose gradient that consisted of a 50%-sucrose cushion at the bottom of the tube overlaid with a gradient of 10–30% sucrose (in 10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, 6 mM dodecyl-β-maltoside, and protease inhibitor). The gradient was centrifuged at 35,000 rpm for 18 h in an SW40 Ti rotor at 4 °C (Beckman Coulter) and then divided into 700 μl fractions. 700 μl NP-40 lysis buffer was added and S proteins were isolated by immunoprecipitation. The radioactively labeled proteins were analyzed in SDS-PAGE and were visualized by phosphorimaging (Molecular Image FX phosphoimager; BioRad).
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