Membrane rearrangements mediated by coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3 and 4
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ABSTRACT

Coronaviruses replicate their genomes in association with rearranged cellular membranes. The coronavirus nonstructural integral membrane proteins (nsps) 3, 4 and 6, are key players in the formation of the rearranged membranes. Previously, we demonstrated that nsp3 and nsp4 interact and that their co-expression results in the relocation of these proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) into discrete perinuclear foci. We now show that these foci correspond to areas of rearranged ER-derived membranes, which display increased membrane curvature. These structures, which were able to recruit other nsps, were only detected when nsp3 and nsp4 were derived from the same coronavirus species. We propose, based on the analysis of a large number of nsp3 and nsp4 mutants, that interaction between the large nonstructural integral membrane proteins (nsps) 3, 4 and 6, are key players in the formation of the replication transcription complexes assemble in infected cells.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

One of the hallmarks of positive-strand (+RNA) viruses is the induction of membranous rearrangements of varying morphologies that serve as platforms for the viral replication and transcription complexes (RTC) that are intimately associated with them [reviewed in Miller and Krijnse-Locker (2008, den Boon and Ahlquist (2010a), den Boon et al. (2010b), Delang et al. (2012)]. Assembly of the viral RTCs on host-derived membranes provides a dual advantage for the virus by (i) ensuring that cellular and viral constituents required for RNA synthesis are optimally spatio-temporally organized and by (ii) concealing the multiple viral RNA species generated during viral replication from the anti-viral host defense mechanisms of the infected cell. It is becoming apparent that the generation of these replication platforms depends on the concerted actions of hijacked host and viral membrane-shaping proteins, lipid-modifying enzymes and various exploited cellular pathways [reviewed in Miller and Krijnse-Locker (2008), den Boon and Ahlquist (2010a), den Boon et al. (2010b), Altan-Bonnet and Balla (2012), Belov and van Kuppeveld (2012), Delang et al. (2012), Hagemeijer et al. (2012a)].

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are enveloped +RNA viruses that belong to the family Coronaviridae in the order of the Nidovirales. The CoV-induced replicative structures consist of double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) and convoluted membranes (CMs) (Gosert et al., 2002; Goldsmith et al., 2004; Snijder et al., 2006; Ulasli et al., 2010), which form an interconnected reticulovesicular network of modified membranes that appears to be continuous with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Knoops et al., 2008; Hagemeijer et al., 2011), as has been observed in cells infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV or mouse hepatitis virus (MHV). Similar structures have also been observed for the recently emerged Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV (de Wilde et al., 2013). Recently Maier et al. demonstrated that in addition to DMVs and CMs, the avian CoV infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) induces small double-membrane spherule-like structures that are associated with zippered ER membranes (Maier et al., 2013). These structures have not been observed however in SARS-CoV, MHV- or MERS-CoV-infected cells. Replication-associated viral proteins have been demonstrated to localize both the DMVs and CMs (Shi et al., 1999; van der Meer et al., 1999; Goldsmith et al., 2004; Prentice et al., 2004; Graham et al., 2005; Snijder et al., 2006; Deming et al., 2007; Oostra et al., 2007; Knoops et al., 2008;
the DMVs (Knoops et al., 2008). Early in infection, viral proteins and nascent RNAs colocalize with or occur adjacent to dsRNA puncta, whereas the correlation between these different molecules is less obvious late in infection (Hagemeijer et al., 2012b). It has recently been proposed that CoVs hijack EDEMosomes, ER-derived vesicles involved in the transport of selected short-lived ER chaperones to the endosomal system, to generate the CoV replicative structures (Reggiori et al., 2010; Bernasconi et al., 2012).

When compared to other +RNA viruses, CoVs possess extremely large genomes that range in size from ~26 to 32 kb (Gorbalenya et al., 2006). Two-thirds of the genome encodes the nonstructural proteins (nsps), which together with the nucleocapsid (N) protein, and presumably host proteins, form the membrane-associated RTCs (Shi et al., 1999; van der Meer et al., 1999; Goldsmith et al., 2004; Prentice et al., 2004, Graham et al., 2005; Snijder et al., 2006; Deming et al., 2007; Oostra et al., 2007; Knoops et al., 2008; Reggiori et al., 2010; Ulasli et al., 2010; Verheije et al., 2010). Among the 16 nsps, three contain multiple hydrophobic, membrane-spanning domains: nsp3, nsp4 and nsp6 (Harcourt et al., 2004; Kanjanahaluethai et al., 2007; Oostra et al., 2007; Oostra et al., 2008; Balijj et al., 2009). Recently, Angelini et al. showed that co-expression of full-length nsp3, nsp4 and nsp6 resulted in the formation of CMs and DMVs that resemble to some extent the replicative structures as have been observed in infected cells (Angelini et al., 2013). We previously demonstrated that the membrane-spanning nsps are involved in homo- and heterotypic interactions using co-immunoprecipitation (coIP) and protein complementation assays (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). Furthermore we showed that co-expression of nsp4 with the C-terminal one-third part of nsp3 (nsp3C), which contains the transmembrane domains, redistributes both proteins from the ER into discrete foci that predominantly localize in the perinuclear region of the cell (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). Together these results indicate an important role for the integral membrane nsps in the induction of the CoV replicative structures. In agreement herewith, Sparks et al. have demonstrated that nsp4 is essential for CoV replication (Sparks et al., 2007) and that disruption of the nsp4 glycosylation sites present in the large luminal loop between the first and second transmembrane domain gives rise to aberrant DMVs with detached inner and outer membranes and to a concomitant increased number of CMs (Gadlage et al., 2010).

In view of the importance of the membrane-spanning nsps in CoV replication and the formation of organelle-like replicative structures, we decided to characterize and study the role of these proteins in the induction of membrane rearrangements in more detail, thereby focusing on the membrane rearrangements induced by the co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 (see Fig. 1A for a representation of the membrane structure of these proteins). Our results indicate that the foci induced by co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 correspond with ER-derived membranes that display increased membrane curvature and resemble the recently described areas of maze-like bodies (MLBs) (Angelini et al., 2013). Importantly, these membrane rearrangements were only observed when the co-expressed nsp3C and nsp4 proteins were derived from the same CoV species. The specific interplay between the two proteins was attributed to the large luminal loops of nsp3 and nsp4. In agreement herewith, mutation of the conserved cysteine residues in the loop of nsp4 completely abrogated the formation of membrane rearrangements. Finally, we show that the foci induced by co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 were able to recruit nsp2 and nsp6 in agreement with the idea that the integral membrane proteins not only function in the biogenesis of the membranous rearrangements, but also in the anchoring of other nsps, onto what will eventually become the replicative structures.

Co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 induces ER membrane rearrangements

We have previously shown that nsp3C and nsp4, which localize to the ER when expressed individually, interact with each other and that their co-expression results in the relocalization of these proteins from the ER to discrete foci mostly concentrating in the perinuclear region of the cell (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). As it is unknown what these punctate structures are, we decided to characterize them in more detail. We first investigated whether the nsp3C and nsp4 puncta co-localize with specific organelle protein markers such as PDI (ER), GM130 (cis-Golgi), EDEM1 (ER

Fig. 1. Overview of CoV nsp3C and nsp4 constructs used in this study. (A) A schematic representation of the membrane topology of the C-terminal part of nsp3 (nsp3C), and of nsp4. The approximate location of the cysteine residues (•) and N-glycosylation sites (–) in the large luminal loops are indicated, the latter either in gray (SARS-CoV) or in black (MHV-A59). (B) Schematic representation of nsp4 hybrid proteins. Nsp4 sequences derived from MHV or SARS-CoV are indicated by black (MHV) or red (SARS-CoV) lines or rectangles. Rectangles indicate the approximate location of transmembrane domains. Nsp4HM contains the amino-terminal half of SARS-CoV, while its carboxy terminus is derived from MHV. Nsp4SM refers to the reciprocal hybrid protein. (C) Schematic representation of nsp3C and nsp4 deletion mutants used in this study. Rectangles indicate transmembrane domains and the blue lines indicate either the luminal loop of nsp3C or the large luminal loop of nsp4.
and EDEMosomes), and LC3 (autophagosomes). Thus, fusion proteins of nsp3C (nsp3C-GFP) and nsp4 (nsp4-mCherry) of MHV-A59 were expressed in OST7-1 cells, either individually or in combination, using the vTF7-3 expression system, before being processed for immunofluorescence analyses. As shown in Fig. 2, nsp3C and nsp4 displayed a reticular distribution pattern and colocalized with the ER marker PDI when expressed singly. When the two viral proteins were co-expressed, the reticular staining pattern for

**Fig. 2.** Analysis of colocalization of cellular markers with nsp3C- and nsp4-positive foci. OST7-1 cells (co)-expressing nsp3C-GFP (green) and/or nsp4-mCherry (red) were stained with antibodies directed against PDI, GM130, EDEM1, or LC3 (blue). Representative confocal microscopy images are shown.
the viral proteins was no longer apparent, and the two proteins colocalized in discrete perinuclear foci. These foci displayed very little colocalization with the ER marker PDI. Similarly, little (if any) colocalization between these foci and the other tested cellular marker proteins was observed (see insets in Fig. 2).

To characterize the structures to which nsp3C and nsp4 are associated at the ultrastructural level we performed immunoelectron microscopy (IEM). While mock-transfected cells revealed no labeling (data not shown), specific immuno-gold labeling of the ER was observed when nsp3C-GFP and nsp4-mCherry were individually expressed (Fig. 3A and B) in agreement with previous studies (Oostra et al., 2007; Hagemeijer et al., 2011). When both proteins were co-expressed, the immuno-gold labeling was decorating ER membranes (Fig. 3C and D), which displayed, however, more curvature when compared to the single expressions (compare Fig. 3A’ and B’ with C’ and D’ and see supplementary Fig. S3). To statistically evaluate the membrane curvature induced by co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 compared to expression of nsp3C and nsp4 singly, the gold-labeled ER tubules and cisternae were manually tracked and their relative curvature was determined as detailed in Materials and methods Section and in supplementary Fig. S4. Co-expression of nsp3C-GFP and nsp4-mCherry resulted in significantly more membrane curvature when compared to single expressions of these proteins (p < 0.01, unpaired T-test). Taken together, from these results we conclude that the discrete foci observed by fluorescence analysis after co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 correspond to rearranged ER membranes with increased membrane curvature.

**Virus-specific interplay between nsp3C and nsp4**

The discrete foci containing nsp3C and nsp4 are observed upon co-expression of the two proteins and not when these two proteins are individually expressed. To confirm that the nsp3/nsp4 foci result from a specific interaction between the two proteins, we studied the ability of nsp3C and nsp4 of MHV-A59 to interact with the corresponding proteins from the SARS-CoV using the fluorescence-lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM). In contrast to fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) measurements, the FLIM-based FRET measurements are insensitive to the concentration of fluorophores and can thus filter out artifacts introduced by variations in the concentration and emission intensity across the sample. The results in Fig. 4A clearly indicate a specific interaction between nsp3C and nsp4 when these proteins are from the same virus, in agreement with previous coIP experiments (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). This interaction was not observed when nsp3C of MHV was co-expressed with nsp4 of SARS-CoV. Co-expression of nsp3C of SARS-CoV and nsp4 of MHV also resulted in shortening of the fluorescence lifetime, indicative of the two proteins interacting, although less when compared to the cells in which nsp3C and nsp4 of SARS-CoV were co-expressed. From these results we conclude that only when nsp3C and nsp4 are from the same virus they are able to efficiently interact with each other.

Next we studied the ability of the MHV-A59 and SARS-CoV nsp3C and nsp4 fusion proteins to induce the characteristic foci when co-expressed in different combinations. Co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 only resulted in the relocation of these proteins in different combinations. Co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 only resulted in the relocation of these proteins.
conserved feature that is observed for different CoVs. Furthermore, a virus-specific interaction between nsp3C and nsp4 is required for the relocalization is only observed when the two proteins are derived from the same virus.

To gain insights into how the nsp3C/nsp4 complex mediates ER membrane rearrangements, we investigated which part of nsp4 is required for its interplay with nsp3C by generating hybrid proteins in which the amino-terminal half of nsp4 of MHV-A59 is exchanged by the corresponding region of SARS-CoV nsp4 (designated nsp4SM; Fig. 1B). We also constructed plasmids expressing the reciprocal hybrid protein (nsp4MS). Co-expression of nsp3C of MHV-A59 with nsp4MS, but not nsp4SM, resulted in relocalization of the two proteins from the reticular distribution to the discrete foci (Fig. 5). Similarly for SARS-CoV, nsp3C membrane rearrangements were only observed upon co-expression with nsp4SM, but not with nsp4MS. The results indicate that the hybrid nsp4 proteins have retained the ability to relocate from the ER to the perinuclear foci when co-expressed with nsp3C but only when the amino-terminal half of nsp4 is derived from the same CoV species from which nsp3C is derived. Apparently, the amino-terminal half of nsp4, which includes the large luminal loop (Fig. 1), is a critical determinant for its interplay with nsp3C.

Analysis of deletion mutants of CoV nsp4 and nsp3C

To further study the structural requirements of nsp4 and nsp3C to induce the perinuclear foci, we analyzed a set of truncated versions of nsp4 and nsp3C (see Fig. 1C), of which the membrane topology and steady-state expression levels have previously been characterized in detail (Oostra et al., 2008). First, the ability of different nsp4 deletion mutant proteins to induce relocation from the ER to the perinuclear foci was studied (Fig. 6A). All the truncated proteins localized to the ER when individually expressed, similar to the full-length nsp4 protein, as revealed by their reticular distribution (data not shown). Deletion of the carboxy terminus of nsp4, including the fourth transmembrane domain (nsp4ΔTM4) or both the third and fourth membrane spanning segments (nsp4ΔTM3–4), did not affect the relocalization of the mutant proteins to the concentrated foci upon co-expression with nsp3C. Additional deletion of the second transmembrane domain (nsp4ΔTM2–4), which is located immediately downstream of the large luminal loop of nsp4, appeared to affect the relocalization to some extent, as not all nsp3C and nsp4 proteins relocalized to the concentrated foci; i.e. part of the proteins still displayed the reticular distribution. Nsp4 proteins that also lacked the luminal loop (nsp4ΔTM2–4+loop) completely lost this ability. An nsp4 deletion mutant lacking the first transmembrane domain and the luminal loop (nsp4ΔTM1+loop) did also not relocalize upon co-expression with nsp3C. Quantification of the surface area of the perinuclear foci-containing (mutant) nsp4 and nsp3C relative to the surface area of the ER confirmed these conclusions (supplementary Fig. S4D). In complete agreement with the results obtained with the hybrid nsp4 proteins (Fig. 5), these data revealed that the carboxy-terminal half of nsp4 is dispensable for the induction of the ER-derived membrane rearrangements. Furthermore, the results point to an essential role for the large luminal loop between the first and second transmembrane domain of nsp4.

Next, we analyzed truncated versions of nsp3C, which we have also characterized previously (Oostra et al., 2008). All mutant proteins except one, i.e. nsp3CΔTM2+loop (Fig. 1C), displayed the reticular staining pattern characteristic of ER(-associated) proteins when expressed individually (data not shown). In the absence of nsp4, nsp3CΔTM2+loop was mainly localized in discrete foci, even though this protein is inserted into the membranes of the ER as evidenced by the addition of N-linked sugars to a C-terminal tag into the perinuclear foci, when both proteins were from the same virus (Fig. 4B). Quantification of the surface area of the perinuclear foci relative to the surface of the ER showed that MHV and SARS-CoV proteins induced the perinuclear foci to the same extent (26.4±10.7% and 24.8±9.0% surface area of membrane rearrangements relative to ER, respectively) When the nsp3C and nsp4 proteins of the two different viruses were co-expressed, in both combinations the nsp3C and nsp4 proteins only displayed the reticular distributions. From these results we conclude that the ability of nsp3C and nsp4 to redistribute into perinuclear foci is a conserved feature that is observed for different CoVs.
Of the different forms of nsp3 we investigated, nsp3C was the protein that most efficiently relocalized upon co-expression with nsp4 (Fig. S1). Also nsp3CΔTM2 was relocalized to some extent together with nsp4 into discrete foci, although clearly less efficient than nsp3C as a proportion still displayed the reticular distribution. Additional deletion of the luminal loop completely abrogated the ability of nsp3C to relocalize together with nsp4. Although this mutant protein (nsp3CΔTM2+loop) by itself already localized into discrete foci, additional expression of nsp4 did not result in recruitment of nsp4 to these foci. Also no relocalization of nsp4 was observed upon co-expression with the smallest nsp3 C deletion mutant (nsp3 CΔTM1-2). Quantification of the surface area of the perinuclear foci containing (mutant) nsp3C and nsp4 relative to the surface area of the ER confirmed these conclusions (supplementary Fig. S4E). The data suggest that the large luminal loop of nsp3 is important for the membrane rearrangements triggered in conjunction with nsp4. Similar to nsp4, the transmembrane domain immediately downstream of the large luminal loop contributes to the relocalization of the nsps, although it is not absolutely essential.

**Analysis of the importance of disulfide bridge formation**

The large luminal loops of nsp3C and nsp4 contain four and ten cysteine residues, respectively (indicated in Fig. 1A), which are conserved among the CoVs. The conservation of these residues in nsp3 and nsp4 suggests an important role for the formation of intra- and/or intermolecular disulfide bridges in the virus life cycle. Therefore, we studied whether this protein modification is important for the nsp3C- and nsp4-induced rearrangement of the cellular membranes. Indeed, substitution of each cysteine residue in the large loop of nsp4 by serine dramatically affected the ability of the nsp4 proteins to become localized in the discrete foci upon co-expression with nsp3C (Fig. S2), as exemplified by the nsp4 C233S mutation in Fig. 6B.
Recruitment of other nsps to the ER membrane rearrangements

Finally we studied the recruitment of other nsps to the ER membranes rearranged by the concerted action of nsp3C and nsp4. To this end, GFP-tagged versions of all nsps (except the very small nsp11) were co-expressed with nsp4-mCherry and nsp3C–HA. A summary of the results is shown in Fig. 7. Most nsps failed to be recruited to the foci induced by nsp3c and nsp4, as exemplified in Fig. 7 by nsp14-GFP. However, both nsp2-GFP and nsp6-GFP were found to co-localize with nsp4 and nsp3C. Nsp3C and nsp4 are thus able to recruit these proteins, possibly through a direct binding, to the rearranged membranes in the absence of other coronaviral components.

Discussion

Upon infection of host cells, CoVs induce a variety of membranous structures (Gosert et al., 2002; Goldsmith et al., 2004; Snijder et al., 2006; Knoops et al., 2008; Ulasli et al., 2010), including the DMVs and CMs that are associated with coronaviral RNA synthesis (van der Meer et al., 1999; Gosert et al., 2002; Hagemeijer et al., 2012b; Maier et al., 2013). Little is known, however, about the mechanism of formation of these structures, in particular about the viral and host proteins implicated in this event. We previously showed that the MHV transmembrane nsps are involved in homo- and heterotypic interactions and that co-expression of the carboxy-terminus of nsp3 (nsp3C) together with nsp4 results in relocalization of these proteins from a recticular distribution representing the ER, into discrete foci often localizing in the perinuclear region of the cell (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). In the present study we reveal that these punctate structures correspond to rearranged ER membranes with increased curvature. The punctate foci were observed upon co-expression of nsp3c and nsp4 of MHV and of SARS-CoV, but only when the two proteins were derived from the same CoV species. We furthermore show by using a large set of mutant nsp3c and nsp4 proteins that these ER membrane rearrangements require a specific interplay between nsp3C and nsp4, which is mostly mediated by their large luminal loops.

Co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 results in the induction of ER membrane rearrangements, however the morphology of these structures is not identical to those of the CoV replicative structures, i.e. the DMVs and CMs. Furthermore, colocalization of the cellular marker proteins EDEM1 and LC3, previously shown to be associated with the virus-induced replicative structures (Reggiori et al., 2010), was not observed. Apparently, a full-length nsp3 and/or other viral (nonstructural) proteins are needed for the induction of membrane rearrangements that more closely represent the CoV replicative structures. During the preparation of the current manuscript and in agreement with our conclusion, (Angelini et al., 2013) recently reported that co-expression of full-length nsp3, nsp4 and nsp6 of SARS-CoV is required for the formation of CMs and DMVs, although these DMVs displayed smaller dimensions than those observed in infected cells. Co-transfection of only the SARS-CoV nsp4 and full-length nsp3 resulted in the formation of so-called winding maze-like bodies (Angelini et al., 2013), which appear quite similar to the membrane rearrangements observed after coexpression of nsp3c and nsp4 of MHV (Fig. 3 and supplementary Fig. S3). Unfortunately, we have not yet been able to clone a functional full-length MHV nsp3 in an expression vector. In contrast to the results of Angelini et al. (2013), others have
previously shown that for the distantly-related equine arteritis virus (EAV, family Arteriviridae), co-expression of the full-length arteriviral counterparts of the coronavirus nsp3 and nsp4 proteins (EAV nsp2 and nsp3) is sufficient for the induction of DMVs, although also these structures appeared to differ in size and morphology from those observed in EAV-infected cells (Pedersen et al., 1999; Snijder et al., 2001).

The induction of the perinuclear foci by co-expression of nsp3C and nsp4 provided a very convenient assay to study the interplay between nsp3C and nsp4 in more detail. Our results indicate that a specific interplay between nsp3 and nsp4 is required as the perinuclear foci were only observed when the cognate nsp3C and nsp4 proteins were co-expressed and not when combinations from different viruses were used. The ability of the nsp3C and nsp4 proteins to induce the membrane rearrangements correlates with the ability of these proteins to interact as demonstrated by the FLIM analyses, in agreement with our previous co-immunoprecipitation studies (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). The specific interplay between nsp3C and nsp4 was subsequently mapped to the amino-terminal half of nsp4 by using SARS-CoV/MHV-A59 nsp4 hybrids. The hybrid proteins were functional and able to induce membrane rearrangements, but only when their amino-terminal half, which primarily consists of the large luminal loop between the first and second transmembrane domains, was derived from the same CoV species from which nsp3C was derived.

The importance of the luminal loop between the first and second transmembrane domains of nsp4 for the ER membrane rearrangements was confirmed by a truncation analysis of nsp4. Likewise, the large luminal loop between the first and second transmembrane domain of nsp3 also appears to be of critical importance. Furthermore, the second transmembrane domain of nsp3C and nsp4 did not appear to be absolutely essential for the membrane rearrangements, they each promote the induction thereof possibly by facilitating the correct conformation of the luminal loops. In agreement with these results, mutation of each of the ten conserved cysteine residues in the large luminal loop of nsp4 severely affected the ability of this protein to rearrange the ER membranes when co-expressed with nsp3C. Furthermore, treatment of cells with the reducing agent DTT also reduced the number of cells that displayed rearranged membranes (data not shown). The conserved cysteine residues might be important for the interaction between the nsp3 and nsp4 proteins by forming disulfide bridges and/or by mediating the correct folding of the loops. Preliminary data indicate that the interaction between nsp3C and nsp4 is not governed by the formation of intermolecular disulfide bridges (C.A.M. de Haan and M.C. Hagemeijer; unpublished data), thereby indicating a role for the cysteine residues in mediating the correct folding of the luminal loops.

The CoV transmembrane nsps are probably not only required for the biogenesis of the replicative structures in virus-infected cells, but are likely also involved in anchoring of other nsps to these membranous structures. In agreement with this hypothesis, we show that the membranes rearranged by expression of nsp3C and nsp4 can recruit the cytosolic nsp2 and the integral membrane protein nsp6. These results are in agreement with previous studies, which show that nsp2 is efficiently recruited to the replicative structures observed in virus-infected cells (Hagemeijer et al., 2010) and that nsp6 interacts with nsp4 (Hagemeijer et al., 2011). The lack of recruitment of other nsps may result from expressing only the C-terminal part of nsp3, as the amino-terminal two-thirds of this protein has been implicated in interactions with multiple other nsps (von Brunn et al., 2007; Imbert et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2008). Alternatively, some proteins may only be recruited when they are part of larger precursor replicase proteins or via interaction with nsp2 and/or nsp6, which in turn are binding nsp3 and/or nsp4.

Taken together, based on the results of us and others, we propose a model in which the interaction between the luminal loops of nsp3 and nsp4 mediate membrane rearrangements by a so-called ‘zippering’ mechanism (Fig. 8), which generates a membranous anchoring platform able to attract other nsps for the assembly of the CoV RTCs and the subsequent synthesis of CoV RNA.

**Fig. 7.** Recruitment of MHV nsps to the nsp3C- and nsp4-induced membrane rearrangements. GFP-tagged MHV nsp proteins (green) were expressed singly (single) or together (co-expression) with MHV nsp3C-HA (blue) and MHV nsp4-mCherry (red) in OST7-1 cells. Expression of nsp3-HA was visualized using antibodies against the HA tag. Representative confocal images are shown.
Materials and methods

Cells, viruses and antibodies

OST7-1 cells (Elroy-Stein and Moss, 1990) were maintained at 37 °C/5% CO2 as monolayer cultures in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM–/–; Cambrex BioScience) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Bodinco BV), 100 IU/ml of penicillin and 100 μg/ml of streptomycin (both from Life Technologies; referred to as DMEM+/+/+). The recombinant vaccinia virus encoding the bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase [vTF7-3; (Fuerst et al., 1986)] was propagated in OST7-1 cells. Antibodies directed against protein-disulfide isomerase [PDI; (Otsu et al., 1994)], GM130 (Becton Dickinson), microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3; NanoTools), ER degradation-enhancing alpha-mannosidase-like 1 (EDEM1; Sigma) were used to specifically visualize different subcellular structures. Antibodies directed against the hemagglutinin (HA) tag were purchased from Immunology Consultants Laboratory, Inc. (ICL). Antibodies against the enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP) were obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom) for immuno-electron microscopy studies. Antibodies directed against the mCherry tag were a kind gift of Peter van der Sluijs (Department of Cell Biology, University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands). The antibody against mCherry was generated by injecting rabbits with recombinant GST-mCherry purified from E. coli, which had been transformed with pGEX4T3 vector containing the cDNA encoding mCherry. Cy5-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibodies were derived from Jackson Laboratories.

Plasmids

Expression vectors containing the nsp3, nsp4 and nsp6 gene fragments of MHV and SARS-CoV, in frame with sequences coding for GFP, mCherry or the HA tag, were a kind gift of Peter van der Sluijs (Department of Cell Biology, University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands). The antibody against mCherry was generated by injecting rabbits with recombinant GST-mCherry purified from E. coli, which had been transformed with pGEX4T3 vector containing the cDNA encoding mCherry. Cy5-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibodies were derived from Jackson Laboratories.

Infection and transfection

Subconfluent monolayers of OST7-1 cells were grown in 2-cm2 tissue culture dishes and inoculated with vTF7-3 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. Cells were transfected 1 h after infection by incubation of the cells for 5 min with a transfection mixture consisting of 100 μl DMEM–/–, 2 μl Lipofectin (Invitrogen) and 1 μg of each selected plasmid, after which another 200 μl DMEM–/– was added. At 3 h post infection (p.i.), the transfection mixture was replaced by DMEM+/+/+ until further processing.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

OST7-1 cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed using a 4% paraformaldehyde solution in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 min at room temperature at 5 or 6 h p.i. After washing the cover slips once with PBS, the cells were permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature, followed by a 15 min incubation in blocking buffer (PBS containing 10% normal goat
serum). Subsequently, the cells were incubated for 45 min with the indicated primary antibodies. After three washes with PBS, the cells were incubated for 45 min with Cy5-conjugated secondary antibodies, followed by three washing steps with PBS, one time rinsing with water and embedding of the cover slips using FluorSave (Calbiochem). The samples were examined using a Leica TCS SP fluorescent confocal microscope. The surface area of the highly fluorescent perinuclear foci and of the ER (including the perinuclear foci) was quantified using Image J (Rasband and Imagej, 1997-2014) by manually applying a threshold. For each experimental condition, 5–10 cells were quantified.

**Immuno-electron microscopy**

From 2 h p.i. onwards, the vTF7-3 infected cells were treated with 5 mM hydroxyurea to prevent vaccinia virus DNA synthesis. This treatment did not affect expression of the nsps or their relocation as analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy. At 6 h p.i., the infected and (co)-transfected OST7-1 cells were processed for immuno-electron microscopy (IEM) as previously described (Hagemeijer et al., 2010; Ulasli et al., 2010; Verheije et al., 2010). The nsp3–GFP construct was visualized using antibodies against the GFP, whereas the nsp4–mCherry fusion protein was detected using antibodies against mCherry. Protein A-gold conjugates were prepared following an established protocol (Slot and Geuze, 2007). Sections were viewed in a JEOL 1010 or a JEOL 1200 electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) and images were recorded on Kodak 4489 sheet films (Kodak, Rochester, NY). No labeling was observed in mock-transfected cells. ER tubules/cisternae in the IEM pictures decorated with anti-GFP and/or anti-mCherry antibodies were manually tracked (n = 60). The ends of the resulting tracks were horizontally aligned and boxed. The ratio of the width and length of each box, which is a measure for the curvature of the line, was determined. This ratio is small for a nearly linear line and increases with increased curvature ((with a maximum value = 1); see supplementary Fig. S4A and B).

**Fluorescence-lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM)**

Determination of fluorescence lifetime was done as previously described (Hofman et al., 2008). Cells were transfected with the indicated constructs and subsequently lifetime of GFP was determined using a Nikon PCM 2000 CSLM equipped with a fluorescence lifetime module (LiMO, Nikon), which captures four images in four consecutive time gates of approximately 2 ns each. The excitation light was provided by a frequency doubled picoseconds pulsed Ti:Sa laser (Tsunami, Spectra Physics). For imaging a NA = 1.20/40x water emission objective and the medium-sized pinhole were used. The four-gate intensity decays recorded for each pixel were fitted with a monoeponential decay using the LiMO software, meaning that also for probes with multieponential decays, one single average lifetime is observed. Lifetimes described in this study should therefore be considered as average lifetimes. Intensity thresholding was performed to remove background-(glass) and auto-fluorescence. To rule out effects of cell-to-cell variation in FRET efficiency, lifetimes of at least four cells were determined and a student T-test was performed to determine statistical significances. Fluorescent lifetimes were normalized against the lifetime of GFP alone.
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