Distinct Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-Induced Acute Lung Injury Pathways in Two Different Nonhuman Primate Species
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Acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), caused by influenza A virus H5N1 and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), supposedly depend on activation of the oxidative-stress machinery that is coupled with innate immunity, resulting in a strong proinflammatory host response. Inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin 1β (IL-1β), IL-8, and IL-6, play a major role in mediating and amplifying ALI/ARDS by stimulating chemotaxis and activation of neutrophils. To obtain further insight into the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-associated ALI, we compared SARS-CoV infections in two different nonhuman primate species, cynomolgus macaques and African green monkeys. Viral titers in the upper and lower respiratory tract were not significantly different in SARS-CoV-infected macaques and African green monkeys. Inflammatory cytokines that play a major role in mediating and amplifying ALI/ARDS or have neutrophil chemoattractant activity, such as IL-6, IL-8, CXCL1, and CXCL2, were, however, induced only in macaques. In contrast, other proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including osteopontin and CCL3, were upregulated in the lungs of African green monkeys to a significantly greater extent than in macaques. Because African green monkeys developed more severe ALI than macaques, with hyaline membrane formation, some of these differentially expressed proinflammatory genes may be critically involved in development of the observed pathological changes. Induction of distinct proinflammatory genes after SARS-CoV infection in different nonhuman primate species needs to be taken into account when analyzing outcomes of intervention strategies in these species.

Acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), major causes of respiratory failure with high morbidity and mortality, are observed under multiple pathogenic conditions, among which are sepsis, gastric acid aspiration, and infections with influenza A virus H5N1 and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) (21, 55). Increased permeability of the alveolar-capillary barrier, resulting in pulmonary edema, hypoxia, and influx of neutrophils observed under these conditions, may be followed by a fibroproliferative phase with alveolar hyaline membranes and various degrees of interstitial fibrosis that may ultimately progress or resolve (52). The onset of severe lung injury supposedly depends on activation of the oxidative-stress machinery that is coupled with innate immunity and activates transcription factors, such as NF-κB, resulting in a proinflammatory host response (21). As similar ARDS symptoms, triggered by different stimuli, can be observed in multiple species, a common ARDS “injury pathway” has been proposed (21). Inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin 1β (IL-1β), IL-8, and IL-6, play a major role in this pathway, mediating and amplifying ALI/ARDS by stimulating chemotaxis and activation of neutrophils (52, 55).

SARS-CoV infection in humans causes lower respiratory tract disease, and 20 to 30% of patients develop severe inflammation of the lung, characterized by ARDS (36, 37). Children younger than 12 years of age are relatively unaffected by the disease (18, 28, 57), whereas elderly patients have a poor prognosis, with mortality rates of up to ~50% (36, 37). The pulmonary damage is thought to be caused by a disproportionate immune response, with elevated levels of cytokines, such as CXCL10, CCL2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-1β, and gamma interferon (IFN-γ) (3, 19, 24, 41, 51, 56, 58).

Although a wide range of animals can be infected with SARS-CoV, among them rodents (mice and hamsters), carnivores (ferrets and cats), and nonhuman primates (macaques and African green monkeys), none of the current animal models has fully reproduced all features of SARS (16, 49). SARS-CoV-infected adult mice show no clinical signs of disease, although viral titers peak early after infection and reach relatively high levels in the respiratory tract, and the infection results in mild inflammatory changes in the respiratory tract. Aged mice and mice infected with certain adapted SARS-CoV variants do show signs of clinical disease and significant pathological changes in the lungs, which correlate with higher virus titers and/or a stronger host response, with differential expression of IL-6, CCL3, CXCL10, IL-1β, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) (42–44, 49). SARS-CoV infection of nonhuman primates results in multiple foci of diffuse alveolar damage, with flooding of the alveoli with edema fluid and influx of macrophages, neutrophils, and lymphocytes and extensive loss of epithelium from alveolar and bronchial walls (15, 16, 27).
Analysis of gene signatures in SARS-CoV-infected macaques revealed induction of a strong innate immune response characterized by the induction of antiviral signaling pathways and the stimulation of various proinflammatory cytokine genes, including those for IL-1β, CCL2, IL-6, IL-8, and CXCL10 (7, 46). Aged macaques develop more severe pathology upon SARS-CoV infection than young adult macaques, which could be explained only by stronger innate host responses with an increase in differential expression of genes associated with inflammation, including IL-8 (46). These data are in line with previous observations in ALI/ARDS caused by multiple nonviral pathogenic conditions (52, 55).

Despite intensive studies of the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV, the molecular mechanisms of virus-induced acute lung injury are still largely uncharacterized. Age is a predisposing factor for severe SARS-CoV-associated disease, and young adults show only mild symptoms and pathology upon infection. Previous studies in SARS-CoV-infected young adult African green monkeys revealed a more severe course of the infection than in young adult macaques (32). To determine which pathogenic pathways operate in these two related nonhuman primate host species upon SARS-CoV infection, comparative host genomic analyses of SARS-CoV-infected young adult African green monkeys and macaques were performed. The observed commonalities and differences provide new insights into SARS-CoV pathogenesis and virus-induced acute lung injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal studies. Approval for animal experiments was obtained from the Institutional Animal Welfare Committee. Four cynomolgus macaques (Macaca fascicularis) and four African green monkeys (Chlorocebus aethiops), 3 to 5 years old with active temperature transponders in the peritoneal cavity, were inoculated with 5 × 10^5 50% tissue culture infective doses (TCID₅₀) of SARS-CoV strain HKU39849 suspended in 5 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Four milliliters of PBS was applied intratracheally, 0.5 ml intranasally, and 0.25 ml on each conjunctiva, as described previously (7, 12, 15, 27, 46). The four SARS-CoV-infected young adult cynomolgus macaques have been described previously (46). For reverse transcription (RT)-PCR and immunohistochemistry, data from previously (52, 55) SARS-CoV-infected aged cynomolgus macaques were used (46). Two young adult macaques and African green monkeys (age range, 3 to 9 years old) were mock (PBS) infected. The animals were checked daily for clinical signs. Just before infection and at days 2 and 4 postinfection, animals were anesthetized with ketamine, and oral, nasal, and rectal swabs were taken and placed in 1 ml Dulbecco’s modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 100 IU penicillin and 100 μg of streptomycin/ml (virus transport medium). The swabs were frozen at −70°C until RT-PCR analysis was performed. The animals were euthanized 4 days after infection by exsanguination under ketamine anesthesia.

Necropsies were performed according to a standard protocol. For semiquantitative assessment of gross pathology, the percentage of affected lung tissue from each lung lobe was determined at necropsy and recorded on a schematic diagram of the lung, and the area of affected lung tissue was subsequently calculated (gross pathology score). One lung from each monkey was inflated with 10% formalin by intrabronchial intubation and suspended in 10% formalin by heating them in citric acid buffer. pH 6.0, for 24 h before the tissue was fixed in 10% formalin and undated primary antibody. The slides were then incubated with mouse antihuman CD68 clone KPI; Dako, x100 in PBS-0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h at room temperature. After being washed, the sections were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-labeled goat-anti-mouse IgG1 (Southern Biotech) 1:100 in PBS-0.1% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. Peroxidase activity was revealed by incubation of the slides in 3,3’-diaminobenzidine-tetrachlorhydrate (DAB) (Sigma) for 3 to 5 min, resulting in a brown precipitate, followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin.

RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR. RNA from 200 μl of swabs was isolated with the Magnapure LC total nucleic acid isolation kit (Roche) external lysis protocol and eluted in 100 μl. SARS-CoV RNA was quantified on the ABI prism 7700, with use of the TaqMan Reverse Transcription Reagents and TaqMan PCR Core Reagent kit (Applied Biosystems), using 20 μl isolated RNA, 1× TaqMan buffer, 5.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), 0.25 U AmpliTaq gold DNA polymerase, 0.25 μl Multiscribe reverse transcriptase, 0.4 μl RNase inhibitor with 200 nM primers and 100 μm probe specific for the SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein gene (7, 46). The amplification parameters were 50 min at 48°C, 10 min at 95°C, and 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. RNA dilutions isolated from a SARS-CoV stock were used as a standard. Average results (± standard errors of the mean [SEM]) for macaque (n = 4) and African green monkey (n = 4) groups were expressed as SARS-CoV equivalents per ml swab medium.

Lung tissue samples (0.3 to 0.5 g) were taken for RT-PCR and microarray analysis in RNA-later (Ambion, Inc.). RNA was isolated from homogenized postmortem tissue samples using TriZol reagent (Invitrogen) and the RNAeasy minikit (Qiagen). cDNA synthesis was performed with Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to standard protocols (15, 27). Quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR was performed with 2 μl of cDNA and 20 μl of TaqMan master mix (Amplicon). Each reaction was performed in triplicate with each target gene and with a universal housekeeping gene (β-actin) in the same run. The presence of SARS-CoV was determined by TaqMan real-time PCR primer (46) (5′-ACCTGGAACACATGACGAGAA-3′, 5′-TTGGATTGCACCCTAAGCTT-3′) and probe (5′-TGGTTGGTTTAGAGTCTGTTCCAGCTCA-3′) specific for the SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein gene. The amplification parameters were as described above.

For semiquantitative assessment of SARS-CoV infection-associated inflammation in the lungs was performed as described previously (15). For phenotyping (to confirm cell types), we used a destaining and counterstaining protocol and eluted in 100 μl. SARS-CoV RNA was quantified on the ABI prism 7700, with use of the TaqMan Reverse Transcription Reagents and TaqMan PCR Core Reagent kit (Applied Biosystems), using 20 μl of cDNA and 20 μl of TaqMan master mix (Amplicon). Each reaction was performed in triplicate with each target gene and with a universal housekeeping gene (β-actin) in the same run. The presence of SARS-CoV was determined by TaqMan real-time PCR primer (46) (5′-ACCTGGAACACATGACGAGAA-3′, 5′-TTGGATTGCACCCTAAGCTT-3′) and probe (5′-TGGTTGGTTTAGAGTCTGTTCCAGCTCA-3′) specific for the SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein gene. The amplification parameters were as described above.

The samples from the mock-infected macaques or African green monkeys were used as a control. Average results (±SEM) for groups were expressed as the fold change compared to PBS-infected animals (29). Statistical analysis. Data (RT-PCR, gross pathology, and histology scores for SARS-CoV-infected animals) were compared using Student’s t-test. Differences were considered significant at a P value of <0.05.

RNA labeling, microarray hybridization, scanning, and data preprocessing. Pooled total RNA (2.4 μg) from one to three separate lung pieces per animal, with substantial SARS-CoV replication (>10^5-fold change), was labeled using the One-Cycle Target Labeling Assay (Affymetrix) and hybridized onto Affymetrix GeneChip Rhesus Macaque Genome Arrays (Affymetrix) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Image analysis was performed using GeneChip Operating Software (Affymetrix). Microarray Suite version 5.0 software (Affymetrix) was used to generate .dat and .cel files for each experiment. The data were normalized using a variance stabilization algorithm (VSN) (20). Transformed probe values were summarized into one value per probe set by the
Cynomolgus macaque samples. For PCA, 1,147 probe sets were included that formed on a single normalized data set, including all African green monkey and cynomolgus macaque samples. For PCA, 1,147 probe sets were included that deviated ≥1.5-fold from the geometrical mean (absolute values) for at least one of the samples. Differentially expressed mRNA transcripts were identified using LIMMA (version 2.12.0) (47). Correction for multiple testing was achieved by requiring a false-discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05, calculated with the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (1). To understand the gene functions and the biological processes represented in the data and to obtain differentially expressed molecular and cellular functions, Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base (Ingenuity Systems) was used. Heat maps of proinflammatory pathways were generated using complete linkage and Euclidian distance in Spotfire DecisionSite for Functional Genomics version 9.1 (Spotfire; Tibco) and Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base (Ingenuity Systems), using log (base 2)-transformed expression values, with minimum and maximum values of the color range of −4 and 4, respectively.

RESULTS

Clinical signs and gross pathology in SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys. Cynomolgus macaques develop pathology upon SARS-CoV infection, and the severity is associated with aging (46). In this study, we compared SARS-CoV infection in two related nonhuman primate host species, young adult cynomolgus macaques and African green monkeys, focusing on the latter. Four young adult African green monkeys were infected with SARS-CoV HKU39849, and as a control, two were PBS infected. During the 4-day experiment, all animals remained free of clinical symptoms. Their body temperatures, measured by transponders in the peritoneal cavity, demonstrated a rhythmic pattern, with temperatures fluctuating between 35°C at night and 39°C during the day, prior to infection (Fig. 1A). Between days 1 and 2 after SARS-CoV infection, an increase in body temperature was recorded during the night in all animals. In addition, some African green monkeys showed an increase in body temperature up to 40°C during the first 2 days after SARS-CoV infection (Fig. 1A). Even at days 3 and 4 postinfection, elevated temperatures were still observed in some African green monkeys. At gross necropsy, 4 days postinfection, the lungs of African green monkeys showed (multi)focal pulmonary consolidation (Fig. 1B and C). The consolidated lung tissue was gray-red, firm, level, and less buoyant than normal. Strikingly, one animal had severe lesions, with up to 30% of total lung tissue affected (Fig. 1B). Four young adult cynomolgus macaques infected with SARS-CoV HKU39849 and two PBS-infected cynomolgus macaques were used for comparative analyses (46). In contrast to infected African green monkeys, no increase in body temperature of up to 40°C during the first 2 days after SARS-CoV infection was observed in macaques (46). Between days 1 and 2 after SARS-CoV infection, an increase in body temperature was recorded during the night in three out of four animals (46). Temperatures returned to normal from day 3 postinfection onward (46). The lungs of young adult macaques showed small, patchy, macroscopic lesions (Fig. 1C) (46).

Histopathology in SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys. The lesions in the lungs of African green monkeys consisted of acute exudative diffuse alveolar damage characterized by thickened alveolar septa with infiltration of moderate numbers of neutrophils and macrophages, lymphocytes, and plasma cells, and necrosis with karyolysis, karyorrhexis, and loss of cellular detail (Fig. 2A). Multilocally, moderate hypertrophy and hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes was observed (Fig. 2A and B). A variable amount of eosinophilic proteinaceous fluid (edema and exudate) with fibrin and eosinophilic hyaline membrane formation (Fig. 2A and B), few syncyia, and moderate numbers of foamy alveolar macrophages, neutrophils, and erythrocytes (hemorrhage) was seen in alveolar lumina. Mild necrosis, hypertrophy, and hyperplasia of bronchiolar epithelium were encountered (Fig. 2A). Peribronchiolar, peribronchial, and perivascular infiltrations of moderate numbers of lymphocytes, plasma cells, macrophages, and neutrophils with mild to moderate edema were seen (Fig. 2A). There was a mild to moderate proliferation of the bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue (BALT), with focal nodular lymphocytic proliferation and formation of follicles with additional exocytosis of neutrophils in the tracheal epithelium (Fig. 2A). A mild multifocal lymphoplasmacytic tracheobronchoadenitis, characterized by a multifocal mild infiltration of lymphocytes, mac-
rophones, plasma cells, and neutrophils in and surrounding the bronchial seromucous glands with mild necrosis of the epithelium, was observed in all African green monkeys (Fig. 2A). Two animals showed evidence of multifocal mild lymphoplasmacytic pleuritis consisting of a mild multifocal distention of the pleura with infiltration of a few lymphocytes, plasma cells, macrophages, and neutrophils and mild edema. The lymphoid organs were activated, with proliferation of the tracheobronchial lymph node and spleen, characterized by increased numbers of neutrophils and histiocytes and distinct germinal centers in the lymphoid follicles. No significant lesions were seen in other tissues examined or in the tissues of negative-control animals. The histopathological lesions in the respiratory tracts of SARS-CoV-infected cynomolgus macaques were largely similar in character to those described for the African green monkeys (46). However, hyaline membrane formation was not observed, and the lesions in macaques were significantly less severe and extensive than those in African green monkeys (Fig. 3A), which corroborated the gross pathology scores (Fig. 3B).

**Virus replication and tropism in SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys.** SARS-CoV mRNA levels were detected in throat (Fig. 4A) and nasal (Fig. 4B) swabs from African green monkeys on days 2 and 4 postinfection and in rectal swabs on day 4 postinfection (data not shown). In addition, virus replication in the lungs was demonstrated by RT-PCR and titration, and immunohistochemistry revealed SARS-CoV antigen expression in the lungs of African green monkeys within or directly adjacent to areas with lesions (Fig. 4C and D). In alveoli of African green monkeys, cells that resembled type I and type II pneumocytes and rarely alveolar macrophages expressed SARS-CoV antigen (Fig. 5A). SARS-CoV antigen was not detected in bronchioles or bronchi but was observed in ciliated epithelial cells in the tracheas of two African green monkeys (Fig. 5A). The differences in the percentages of SARS-CoV-infected cells in the lungs or virus titers in the throat, noses, and lungs of African green monkeys and macaques were not statistically significant (Fig. 4). SARS-CoV, however, was not detected in ciliated epithelial cells in the trachea on day 4 postinfection in young adult macaques (Fig. 5) or in rectal swabs. In both PBS-infected African green monkeys and macaques, we could show expression of ACE2, which is the receptor for SARS-CoV, in cells morphologically resembling type II epithelial cells (Fig. 5B). Significant differences between ACE2 expression levels in African green monkeys and macaques could not be observed. Overall, African green monkeys displayed more severe pathology than macaques upon infection with SARS-CoV, which correlated with a broader

**FIG. 2.** Histological analyses of lungs from SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys. (A) Alveoli of PBS-infected (right) and SARS-CoV-infected (left) African green monkeys showing diffuse alveolar damage, characterized by distension of alveolar walls with influx of inflammatory cells, necrosis, type II pneumocyte hyperplasia, intraalveolar edema, and hyaline membrane formation. The bronchioles of PBS-infected (right) and SARS-CoV-infected (left) African green monkeys show infiltration of inflammatory cells, intraluminal edema fluid, and hypertrophy and hyperplasia of bronchiolar epithelium. The tracheas of PBS-infected (right) and SARS-CoV-infected (left) African green monkeys show multifocal lymphoplasmacytic tracheobronchial adenitis with infiltration of inflammatory cells surrounding the bronchial seromucous glands. (B) Lesions of SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys are shown in more detail, with hyaline membrane formation (left) and type II pneumocyte hyperplasia (right). The sections were stained with H&E. Original magnifications, ×20 and ×40.

**FIG. 3.** African green monkeys display more severe pathology than macaques. (A) Histology scores of the lungs of African green monkey (AGM) and macaque groups were determined and averaged (plus SEM). (B) Gross pathology scores of AGM and macaque groups were determined by visual inspection of the lungs during necropsy and averaged (plus SEM).
range of cell types infected at day 4 postinfection, but not with the extent of virus replication.

**Host response to SARS-CoV in African green monkeys and macaques.** To understand why SARS-CoV infection in African green monkeys results in more severe pathology than in young adult macaques, we generated genome-wide mRNA expression profiles from lung tissue with substantial SARS-CoV replication (Fig. 6A). Principal-component analysis was performed on a single normalized data set of African green monkey samples, together with macaque samples. This unsupervised method clearly separated SARS-CoV-infected lung mRNA expression profiles from PBS control expression profiles for both species. In addition, the infected and uninfected expression profiles of the two species also separated well. Species- and infection-related expression profile differences coincided with principal components 1 and 2, respectively (Fig. 6B). These results show that species-associated mRNA expression profile differences are maintained upon SARS-CoV infection, suggesting that African green monkeys and macaques respond differently to SARS-CoV infection.

To analyze the host response to infection in African green monkeys and macaques in more detail, mRNA transcripts differentially expressed between infected and uninfected lung samples were identified using the analysis of variance (ANOVA)-like method LIMMA (47) for both species separately. To obtain a maximum view of infection-induced host response differences between African green monkeys and macaques, the microarray data for the two species were normalized separately. Infected African green monkeys expressed 556 gene transcripts differently from PBS-infected animals. These differently expressed genes were analyzed within the context of molecular pathways, using a functional-analysis approach with biologically related genes (Ingenuity). The most significantly regulated molecular/cellular functions in African green monkeys compared to PBS-infected animals were associated with a proinflammatory response and included cellular growth and proliferation, cell death, cell movement, and cell-to-cell signal-
scripts differently from PBS-infected animals. These genes were associated with the same molecular/cellular functions observed in African green monkeys, with similar numbers of differentially expressed genes per function (Fig. 7A). Analysis of the gene transcripts in the context of biological pathways revealed differential expression of genes in both antiviral and proinflammatory responses (Fig. 7C). These data suggest that African green monkeys and macaques display similar types of responses upon infection, with strong induction of antiviral and proinflammatory pathways, which has been described for SARS-CoV-infected cynomolgus macaques previously but based on regulation of a different array of individual genes within the antiviral and proinflammatory pathways (7, 46).

As the principal-component analysis suggested that the host response to SARS-CoV infection was different in African green monkeys and macaques, the gene expression profiles of SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys and macaques \((n = 4)\) were directly compared using LIMMA \((8\) samples normalized in a single set). A total of \(1,607\) gene transcripts were differentially expressed. Upon analysis of these \(1,607\) gene transcripts within the context of biological processes and pathways using Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base, this subset of genes showed indications of an innate host response to viral infection. Among the top significantly differentially regulated \((P < 0.005)\) functional categories were inflammatory disease, cell movement, and cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, which included genes like those for CCL20, CXCL1, CXCL2, DEF81, IL1RL1, IL1RN, MMP7, MMP9, IL-8, SERPINE1, SPP1, TFF1,2, and VCAM1, which were either up- or downregulated, depending on the gene \((\text{Fig. 8A to C})\), indicating that they are upregulated in either African green monkeys or macaques. These data suggest that both host species and SARS-CoV infection are factors involved in determining the transcription of cellular genes and that significant differences exist in the proinflammatory host response to SARS-CoV infection, corresponding to the host species.

In order to understand the host responses in the context of host species, we directly compared lung samples from PBS-infected African green monkeys \((n = 2)\) and macaques \((n = 2)\). LIMMA analysis revealed that \(2,198\) gene transcripts were differentially expressed \((\text{change, } \geq 2\text{-fold}; P < 0.05),\) with categories such as inflammatory disease, cell death, cell movement, and cellular growth and proliferation among the top significantly differentially regulated functions \((P < 0.005).\) Of the \(2,198\) differentially expressed genes, \(917\) were also differentially expressed in the direct comparison of SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys and macaques, but they did not include genes for cytokines/chemokines, such as CCL20, CCL3, and SPP1. Our data indicate that significant differences exist in the basal gene expression levels of African green monkeys and macaques, which may partly explain why differences in pathology were observed after SARS-CoV infection.

To obtain more insight into the differences in the host responses to SARS-CoV infection of African green monkeys and macaques, mRNA expression profiles for specific molecular pathways of infected animals of both species were compared to those of their PBS controls, but also directly against each other. Heat maps were generated for differentially regulated genes involved in ARDS \((\text{Fig. 8A})\), NF-κB signaling \((\text{Fig. 8B})\), and cytokine/chemokine signaling \((\text{Fig. 8C})\), which indicated...
that the host responses to infection with respect to these pathways in African green monkeys and macaques are different. Surprisingly, inflammatory cytokines that play a major role in mediating and amplifying ALI/ARDS or have neutrophil chemotactic activity, such as IL-6, IL-8, CXCL1, and CXCL2 (10, 25, 55), were differentially expressed in macaques, but not in African green monkeys (Fig. 8C). TaqMan analysis confirmed that IL-6 and IL-8, key players in ALI/ARDS, were differentially expressed at significantly lower levels in African green monkeys than in young adult macaques (Fig. 9A and B), in contrast to the IFN-β levels, which were not significantly different (Fig. 9C). Moreover, in the direct comparison of the microarray data on SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys and macaques, IL-8, CXCL1, and CXCL2 were also differentially expressed (Fig. 8A to C). These observations suggest that classical pathways known to be involved in development of ALI/ARDS are differently regulated in these two nonhuman primate species. From previous studies, we know that in aged cynomolgus macaques, IL-8 gene expression is further upregulated (Fig. 9A) (46) and correlated with significantly more severe pathology. Because African green monkeys showed even more pathology than young adult macaques, other pathogenic pathways besides the induction of genes such as those for IL-6 and IL-8 are most likely involved in the observed pathological changes (21, 46).

Interestingly, CCL3, SPP1, and CCL20 were induced strongly in African green monkeys, but not in young adult macaques (Fig. 8A to C and 9D to F). This observation was corroborated by the direct comparison of SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys with macaques, which showed that CCL20 and SPP1 were differentially expressed (Fig. 8B and C). It is notable that both these genes were also statistically significantly upregulated in aged macaques compared to young adult macaques (Fig. 9D to F) (46). CCL3 is involved in the acute inflammatory state in the recruitment and activation of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, whereas CCL20 is strongly chemotactic for lymphocytes but weakly attracts neutrophils. Osteopontin, encoded by SPP1, has been implicated in a broad range of disease processes, including chronic obstructive pul-

FIG. 7. Innate host response to SARS-CoV infection in African green monkeys. (A) Numbers of differentially expressed genes in African green monkeys and macaques with functions in, for example, cellular growth and proliferation, cell movement, cell death, or cell-to-cell signaling and interaction obtained from the Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base compared to those in their respective PBS-infected animals. (B) African green monkeys present a “top” network, with genes involved in the antiviral response and proinflammatory response. A network is a group of biologically related genes that is derived from known relationships present in the Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base. The diagram represents the interactions, both direct (solid lines) and indirect (dashed lines), between differentially expressed genes and gene products identified on day 4 postinfection in African green monkeys. Red indicates upregulated genes, whereas downregulated genes are depicted in green. (C) Macaques also present a “top” network, with genes involved in the antiviral response and proinflammatory response. The diagram represents the interactions, both direct (solid lines) and indirect (dashed lines), between differentially expressed genes and gene products identified on day 4 postinfection in macaques. Red indicates upregulated genes, whereas downregulated genes are depicted in green.
monary disease, asthma, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, and cardiovascular disease (34, 54). Osteopontin has both matrix protein-like and cytokine-like properties and is expressed by different cell types of the immune system (34, 54). To determine which cell types are responsible for the osteopontin expression, immunohistochemical detection of the protein was performed on lung sections of SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys (Fig. 10). SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys showed marked staining of osteopontin in the alveoli in areas with lesions. Strong expression of osteopontin was observed in infiltrating cells, and staining with an antibody directed against CD68 indicated that the infiltrating cells that expressed osteopontin were mainly macrophages (Fig. 9). Similar staining was performed on the lungs of young adult and aged macaques, also showing that mainly macrophages were stained for osteopontin expression (data not shown). The immunohistochemistry data, however, do not allow quantification of the amounts of osteopontin expression in the different species.

DISCUSSION

The acute onset of severe lung injury supposedly depends on activation of the oxidative-stress machinery that is coupled with innate immunity and activates transcription factors, resulting in a proinflammatory host response (21). Proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1β, IL-8, CXCL2, and IL-6, play a major role in mediating and amplifying ALI/ARDS by stimulating chemotaxis and activation of neutrophils (10, 52, 55). Our previous studies with regard to SARS-CoV-associated ALI/ARDS in young adult and aged macaques are in line with these observations. The acute phase of SARS is characterized by infiltration of inflammatory cells, edema, the formation of hyaline membranes, and proliferation characterized by type II pneumocyte hyperplasia (16, 46). In addition, severe pathology in macaques was associated with increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines, including CXCL10, CCL2, IL-6, and IL-8, which was also observed in human SARS cases (3, 19, 24, 41, 46, 51, 56, 58). Although great advances have been made in
understanding ALI/ARDS disease processes, the different molecular mechanisms that control the outcome are still poorly understood. By comparing and contrasting SARS-CoV infections in different but related host species (African green monkeys and cynomolgus macaques), we can now further delineate host factors involved in disease outcome.

The nature of acute lung injury observed after SARS-CoV infection in young adult African green monkeys is in general similar to the pathology observed after SARS-CoV infection in young adult macaques (46). Moreover, virus replication levels were not significantly different between the two species. SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys, however, developed more severe pathology, with hyaline membranes, than young adult macaques, for which hyaline membranes were not observed (46). African green monkeys seem to be the only animal species that shows relatively severe pathology when infected with SARS-CoV as young adults. These data are in line with previous observations in SARS-CoV-infected African green monkeys (32). Comparative gene expression analyses in African green monkeys and macaques upon SARS-CoV infection revealed that both antiviral and proinflammatory pathways are strongly induced upon infection. However, many individual genes within these pathways are differently induced by African green monkeys and macaques. To our surprise, inflammatory cytokines that play a major role in mediating and amplifying ALI/ARDS or have neutrophil chemoattractant activity, such as IL-8, IL-6, CXCL1, and CXCL2 (10, 25, 55), were upregulated in young adult macaques, but not in African green monkeys. Aged macaques display an even stronger proinflammatory host response to infection than young adult macaques, with significantly increased expression of inflammatory genes, including IL-8, suggesting that exacerbated expression of proinflammatory cytokines may result in development of severe pathology (46). Thus, the host response in African green monkeys upon SARS-CoV infection is not similar to the host response in young adult or aged macaques.

Although induction of classical proinflammatory mediators, such as IL-8 and IL-6, known to be involved in development of ALI/ARDS, was not observed, other proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including SPP1, CCL20, and CCL3, were upregulated to a significantly greater extent in African green monkeys than in young adult macaques. Previous studies revealed that CCL3 plays a critical role in promoting lung inflammation and fibrosis, that significantly elevated levels of CCL3 were observed in mice infected with highly pathogenic influenza A virus compared to those in mice infected with low-pathogenic virus, and that CCL3 has been associated with fatal outcomes in human H5N1 influenza A virus infections (6,
SARS-CoV infection, SPP1 gene expression, and lung injury. These data provide a potential link between SARS-CoV infection (44).

In mice, it was shown that recombinant SARS-CoV spike protein downregulates ACE2 expression and thereby promotes cleavage of angiotensin II and is a negative regulator of the system. ACE2, the SARS-CoV receptor, plays an important role in regulating the renin-angiotensin system and the formation of angiotensin II (30, 34). The latter is of interest, as angiotensin II is a component of the renin-angiotensin system and promotes disease pathology, including lung edema and impaired lung function (22). ACE2, the SARS-CoV receptor, cleaves angiotensin II and is a negative regulator of the system. In mice, it was shown that recombinant SARS-CoV spike protein downregulates ACE2 expression and thereby promotes lung injury (26). These data provide a potential link between SARS-CoV infection, SPP1 gene expression, and lung injury. Given the fact that both SPP1 and CCL20 genes were upregulated in aged SARS-CoV-infected macaques (46), activation of these genes does not seem to be restricted per se to African green monkeys and may be involved in the exacerbated SARS-CoV disease in aged macaques.

Several recent studies have provided evidence for a critical role of macrophages in SARS. Depletion of alveolar macrophages before inoculation with a mouse-adapted version of SARS-CoV results in enhanced T-cell responses and protection of BALB/c mice from lethal infection (59, 60). Moreover, SARS-CoV-infected STAT1−/− mice exhibited dysregulation of T-cell and macrophage differentiation, leading to development of alternatively activated macrophages that mediate a profibrotic environment, suggesting that macrophage dysregulation causes formation of prefibrotic lesions in the lungs of SARS-CoV-infected mice (61). Based on our comparative analysis of SARS-CoV-associated acute lung injury in African green monkeys and macaques, we hypothesize that what is viewed as ARDS, consisting of infiltration of inflammatory cells, edema, the formation of hyaline membranes, and type II pneumocyte hyperplasia, may be caused by regulation of the transcription of a different array of proinflammatory genes. Besides a common ARDS “injury pathway” with neutrophil influx and expression of IL-1β, IL-8, and IL-6, other pathways that can amplify ARDS may exist (21). Possibly, a prominent influx of macrophages expressing proinflammatory cytokines, such as osteopontin, may play a role in the development of ALI/ARDS, as well. In this respect, it is notable that an influx of neutrophils and macrophages was observed in SARS-CoV-infected aged macaques on day 4 postinfection (45), whereas it seemed that mainly macrophages were infiltrating the lungs in African green monkeys.

The fact that closely related nonhuman primates show intrinsic differences in the host response to virus infection is not unprecedented. African green monkeys infected with simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) do not develop chronic immune activation and AIDS, in contrast to humans infected with human immunodeficiency virus or macaques infected with SIV (11, 23, 31, 48). The nonpathogenic SIV infections differ from pathogenic infections by their benign clinical course, maintenance of peripheral CD4+ T-cell counts at normal levels, absence of chronic immune activation by controlling levels of type I interferon, and preservation of normal levels of mucosal T helper type 17 cells (11, 23, 31, 48). One may speculate that the evolutionary adaptations in the innate immune system that allow coexistence of primate lentiviruses with the host without causing AIDS also result in a different host response to other acute viral infections, such as that observed for SARS-CoV infection in African green monkeys. Alternatively, in both macaques and African green monkeys, SARS-CoV antigen was detected in tracheal and bronchiolar epithelial cells early on day 1 or 2 postinfection (reference 32 and our unpublished observations). African green monkeys, however, may display more severe pathology than macaques upon infection with SARS-CoV as a consequence of the broader range of cell types infected at day 4 postinfection. Studies in mice revealed that differences in tropism are associated with the outcome of the SARS-CoV infection (44).

Our studies provide evidence that the use of (closely) related host species reveals valuable insights into virus-host interac-
tions (14). If our assumption that different injury pathways can lead to development of ALI/ARDS is correct, it is important to realize that interventions that ameliorate pathology by modulating the host response in one host species may be ineffective in another species. Osteopontin induction by mediators of acute inflammation, such as TNF-α, IL-1β, angiotensin II, and NF-κB (30), may be sensitive to the inhibitory action of type I IFN (5) and partly explain why type I interferon treatment of aged SARS-CoV-infected macaques resulted in mitigation of pathology (46). Ultimately, these studies need to be extended to the human host in order to identify markers of host susceptibility and severity of disease that enable the rational design of multipotent biological responsemodifiers to combat ALI/ARDS induced by different agents.
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