tions. However, there are no gold standards for detection of respiratory viruses to which both conventional tests and real-time PCR can be compared.1

Diogo André Pilger, MSc
Serviço de Genética Médica
Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre
90035-005, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

Vlademir Vicente Cantarelli, PhD
Instituto de Ciências da Saúde
Centro Universitário Feevale
90035-005, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
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In Reply.—

We thank Pilger and Cantarelli for their comments on our article. We agree that, as with all observational studies, ours had potential bias issues that may limit interpretability. The job for readers is to assess to what extent such biases invalidate the reported results.

The reference used to highlight potential issues with di erent specimen types was a study conducted on a variety of specimens from a relatively narrow population: children hospitalized with severe acute lower respiratory tract disease. In our opinion, there is a lack of data in the published literature on the broad range of community-managed acute respiratory illnesses (ARIs). For this reason, one of the aims of our study was to assess the utility of the relatively noninvasive, parent-collected nose-throat swabs. Bronchoalveolar lavage and tracheal secretions are too invasive and not suitable for a study that examines mostly upper respiratory tract disease. We feel that in community-based studies, even less invasive tests may introduce more bias (because of underreporting of ARI episodes) than they prevent if they require a disruptive home visit for collection. Any underestimation of virus-specific rates caused by using nose-throat swabs is likely to be small; the proportion of ARIs in our study that tested positive for any virus (74%) fell within the range of recent home-visit studies that used polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for diagnosis and nasopharyngeal aspirates (69%) or nasal lavage (83%).

ARIs that require specimen collection and impact diary completion were identified in our study by parents using a simple and sensitive symptom-based algorithm.2 This method has been used in a phase III influenza vaccine-efficacy study3 and by us in a pilot study.4,5 Parents were not required to classify symptom severity but, rather, were asked to merely identify daily presence or absence. In a poststudy questionnaire (response rate: 78%), parents in our study were asked to nominate the most difficult study procedure: keeping the daily symptom diary was nominated by only 11% of respondents.

We agree that real-time PCR, used to identify human metapneumovirus and human coronavirus NL63 in our study, is likely to be more sensitive that the conventional PCR method used to identify other viruses, but false-positive results are likely to be uncommon with either method. Therefore, the use of real-time PCR is unlikely to overestimate the presence of human metapneumovirus and human coronavirus NL63, but conventional PCR may lead to an underestimation of the role of the other viruses.

Stephen B. Lambert, MBBS
Kelly M. Allen, MPH
Vaccine and Immunisation Research Group
University of Melbourne and the Murdoch Children’s Research Institute
Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3010

Theo P. Sloots, PhD
Michael D. Nissen, FRACP
Queensland Paediatric Infectious Diseases Laboratory
Royal Children’s Hospital
Brisbane, Queensland, Australia 4029

Terry M. Nolan, PhD
Vaccine and Immunisation Research Group
University of Melbourne and the Murdoch Children’s Research Institute
Melbourne, Victoria, Australia 3010
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Perianal Abscesses

To the Editor.—

Christison-Lagay et al\(^1\) reported that the combination of antibiotics and medical management of perianal abscesses in the first year of life was as effective in clearing the abscess as surgical drainage and was less likely to result in fistula in ano. Of 140 infants in their study, 94% were male. As Abbott noted,\(^2\) the study was limited by the fact that treatment was not randomized; larger abscesses might have been more likely to have been surgically drained. Certainly, however, the results make the important point that antibiotics should be used to manage this condition regardless of whether the abscess is drained.

An additional point can be made: Infants with perianal abscess might have chronic granulomatous disease (CGD). In reviews of detailed case reports\(^3-5\) and in cases reported to the CGD registry,\(^6\) 15% to 18% of patients with CGD had at least 1 perianal abscess. This infection is 1 of the few that is significantly more common in boys with the X-linked form of CGD than in children with the autosomal form.\(^7\) Approximately 75% of CGD cases reported in large studies from the United States and Japan have been boys with proven X-linked disease,\(^7\) and this form usually presents with infection in year 1.\(^3,4\)

These findings, of course, do not argue that most infants with perianal abscess have CGD, but they do raise the distinct possibility that some may. Isolation from the abscess of *Serratia* or *Burkholderia* species further increases that possibility. Although presentation of CGD in year 1 is the rule,\(^3,4\) the mean age at diagnosis is 3 to 7.4 years.\(^2\) Thus, CGD is too often diagnosed after the child has suffered preventable infections. A perianal abscess should at least raise suspicion that this defect of phagocyte killing might underlie the problem.

Richard B. Johnston, Jr, MD
Department of Pediatrics
University of Colorado School of Medicine and National Jewish Medical and Research Center
Denver, CO 80262

Leslie L. Barton, MD
Department of Pediatrics
University of Arizona Health Sciences Center
Tucson, AZ 85724
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In Reply.—

Several operations routinely performed in the recent past have become nearly obsolete, appropriately supplanted by medical management. For example, the trauma splenectomy, exploration for traumatic liver hemorrhage, and laparotomy for perforated appendicitis have been relegated to the annals of surgical management not because of results of randomized trials but because of advances in nonoperative paradigms and careful review of outcomes data over the last 2 decades. We reported that nonoperative management of uncomplicated perianal abscesses in otherwise healthy infants also results in better outcomes. The purpose of our article was not to define the cause of perianal abscesses in infants, which is likely to be multifactorial, but to call attention to the observed difference in outcome between infants managed by drainage as opposed to those managed nonoperatively, because the operative approach yielded a statistically significant progression to fistula in ano. Although the size of the abscess was not reported in the article, we have observed that this measurement anecdotally did not influence the surgeons’ approach in either of the 2 institutions in this study.

We agree with Drs Barton and Johnston that chronic granulomatous disease should be considered in the differential diagnosis for infants who present with perianal abscesses. However, a workup for this uncommon disease in every well-appearing patient with an uncomplicated perianal abscess is unrealistic and, in the vast majority of cases, unnecessary. We believe that testing for chronic granulomatous disease should be limited to patients who present with recurrent perianal abscesses accompanied by other symptoms. It is interesting to note that 6 patients who were identified in our study were immunocompromised. Notably, all 6 of the immunocompromised patients in our study were treated nonoperatively, and none of them became septic or developed a fistula in ano.

We support a randomized control trial, which may provide definitive evidence for the best treatment para-
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