The SARS coronavirus spike glycoprotein is selectively recognized by lung surfactant protein D and activates macrophages
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Abstract

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) infects host cells with its surface glycosylated spike-protein (S-protein). Here we expressed the SARS-CoV S-protein to investigate its interactions with innate immune mechanisms in the lung. The purified S-protein was detected as a 210 kDa glycosylated protein. It was not secreted in the presence of tunicamycin and was detected as a 130 kDa protein in the cell lysate. The purified S-protein bound to Vero but not 293T cells and was itself recognized by lung surfactant protein D (SP-D), a collectin found in the lung alveoli. The binding required Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and was inhibited by maltose. The serum collectin, mannan-binding lectin (MBL), exhibited no detectable binding to the purified S-protein. S-protein binds and activates macrophages but not dendritic cells (DCs). It suggests that SARS-CoV interacts with innate immune mechanisms in the lung through its S-protein and regulates pulmonary inflammation.
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Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), which is highly transmissible via the respiratory route and is associated with significant mortality, has been attributed to pulmonary infection with a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV) (Drosten et al., 2003; Fouchier et al., 2003; Ksiazek et al., 2003; Kuiken et al., 2003). Coronaviruses are positive-stranded RNA viruses with genomes of \~30 kb in size (Enjuanes et al., 2001). Sequencing of its genome shows that SARS-CoV expresses the spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M) and nucleocapsid (N) proteins (Drosten et al., 2003; Fouchier et al., 2003; Ksiazek et al., 2003; Kuiken et al., 2003). S-proteins are expressed on the surface of CoVs to mediate virus–host cell interactions leading to host cell infection (Cavanagh et al., 1986; Luo et al., 1999; Gallagher and Buchmeier, 2001). An important
property of S-protein is the high degree of glycosylation (Cavanagh, 1995). Whether and how the carbohydrate structures on S-proteins benefit the virus are unclear. Lectins that recognize microbial carbohydrate structures and target microbes for destruction and clearance (Weis et al., 1998), form an important arm of host innate immunity and it is possible that the highly glycosylated S-protein is recognized by such lectins.

The collectins are multimeric molecules assembled from identical or similar polypeptides and are a group of host lectins that recognize a wide range of microbial pathogens (Lu et al., 2002; Holmskov et al., 2003). Each collectin polypeptide is composed of a middle collagen-like region flanked by an N-terminal cysteine-rich segment and an z-helical neck region that links the C-terminal Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent carbohydrate-recognition domain to the collagen-like region (CRD) (Lu et al., 2002; Holmskov et al., 2003). Three polypeptides form a collagen-like triple helix in the middle of the molecule and the neck region bundles the C-terminal CRDs into clusters of three. These trimeric structures are further assembled through disulfide formation at the N-terminal segments to form the different collectin molecules (Lu et al., 2002; Holmskov et al., 2003). Thus assembled collectin molecules display clustered CRDs at the periphery which, as shown by electron microscopy, can afford dimensions and flexibility to achieve multivalent binding to sugar residues on microbial pathogens (Voss et al., 1988; Lu et al., 1990, 1993, 2002; Holmskov et al., 1995, 2003). Three collectins are well-characterized in man, i.e. mannan-binding lectin (MBL), surfactant protein A (SP-A) and D (SP-D) (Lawson and Reid, 2000; Crouch and Wright, 2001; Jack et al., 2001). MBL is found predominantly in the blood circulation whereas SP-A and SP-D are expressed mainly in the lung at the alveolar surface associated with the surfactant monolayer (Lawson and Reid, 2000; Crouch and Wright, 2001; Jack et al., 2001). SP-A and SP-D are also found in extra-pulmonary tissues (Lu, 1997; Madsen et al., 2000).

The importance of these collectins in innate immunity is reflected in immunological disorders associated with collectin deficiencies. MBL-deficiency leads to poor complement activation and defective microbial opsonization for phagocytosis during childhood (Super et al., 1989). MBL\(^{-/-}\) mice exhibit higher mortality compared with wild type mice upon Staphylococcus aureus challenge (Shi et al., 2004). Compared with wild type mice, SP-A\(^{-/-}\) mice exhibit decreased killing of B. streptococcus and Haemophilus influenzae, display elevated lung inflammation as well as decreased oxidant production and macrophage phagocytosis (LeVine et al., 2000). SP-D\(^{-/-}\) mice show increased lung inflammation and oxidant production but decreased macrophage phagocytosis (LeVine et al., 2000). Deficiency in either SP-A or SP-D expression leads to increased inflammation and reduced pathogen uptake by alveolar macrophages. The latter is consistent with that both pulmonary collectins and the serum collectin MBL promote pathogen uptake by macrophages (LeVine et al., 2000; Kudo et al., 2004). In the present study, we investigated whether the lung collectins are able to recognize the SARS-CoV S-protein.

Methods

Cells and reagents

Vero African green monkey kidney cells and HEK293T human embryonic kidney cells (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA) were cultured in medium 199 (Sigma M0393) and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), respectively, supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml of penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\). Medium 199 was further supplemented with 10 mM L-glutamine. SP-D was purified from amniotic fluid as described previously (Strong et al., 1998; Leth-Larsen et al., 2003). The mouse monoclonal (clones hyb 245-01, hyb 245-02 and hyb 246-4) and rabbit polyclonal SP-D antibodies were as described (Madsen et al., 2000). Biotinylated mouse anti-human MBL antibody (131-1, Immunolex) was kindly provided by S. Thiel, Aarhus University, Denmark. An anti-human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) monocalonal antibody was purchased from Alpha Diagnostic (San Antonio, TX, USA).

Expression vectors

The full-length synthetic SARS-CoV S-protein cDNA, in the pcDNA3.1 expression vector, was kindly provided by Michael Farzan (Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard, Boston, USA) (Li et al., 2003). The vector was modified to express the surface domain as a secreted trimeric protein (Fig. 1). Briefly, a Hind III site was introduced in the S-protein expression vector between the surface and the transmembrane domains (corresponding to residues K\(_{1193}\) and W\(_{1194}\); accession no. NC_004718), using the QuikChang XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) and the following primer pair (5’–3’): GTA CGA GTA CAT CAA GCT TTC TTG GTA TGT GTG GCT G/CAG CCACAC ATA CCA AGG AAG AAG CTT GAT GTA CTG CTG GTA C. The plasmid was then digested with Hind III and dephosphorylated.

A parent pcDNA-SPD/MH vector was constructed as follows: A cDNA fragment encoding 25 residues (V\(_{194}\)ASLRRQQVEALQGQVQVHLOAFAFSQY\(_{218}\), accession no. NM_003019), corresponding to the neck region of human SP-D, was amplified from the human SP-D cDNA (Lu et al., 1992) by PCR using the following
This hybrid protein is expected to form trimers. Followed by a C-terminal myc and His tag (SPEC-SPD-MH).

replaced by the 25-residue SP-D ‘neck’ sequence which was expressed as a trimer, the TM and Tail domains in S-protein was replaced by the 25-residue SP-D ‘neck’ sequence which was followed by a C-terminal myc and His tag (SPEC-SPD-MH). This hybrid protein is expected to form trimers.

primer pair (5′–3′): GCT CTA GAG TTG CTT CTC TGA GGC AG/GAA GGG CCC ATA CTG AGA GAA AGC AGC CT. The DNA fragment was cloned into the Xba I/Apa I site of the pcDNA3.1 myc-His vector (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA) to generate the pcDNA-SPD/MH vector that encodes the SP-D sequence in fusion with C-terminal myc/His sequences.

A PCR product encoding the SP-D and myc/His (SPD-myc/His) sequences was amplified from the expression vector to generate the pSPSD-SPD/MH expression vector.

Protein expression and purification

Approximately 4 × 10⁶ 293T cells were seeded in a T75 flask and cultured overnight and the medium was replaced with 10 ml fresh medium for 1 h. 25 µg of the pSPSD-SPD/MH vector in 0.4 ml water was slowly mixed with 0.1 ml of 2.5 M CaCl₂ and then slowly mixed with 0.5 ml of 2× HBS buffer (280 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 12 mM dextrose, 42 mM HEPES, pH 7.05). The DNA mixture was vortexed and incubated for 1 min before adding to the 293T cells. After 6 h, the cells were washed in PBS and then cultured in serum-free DMEM containing BSA (0.1 mg/ml) for 72 h. The medium was mixed overnight with Ni-NTA-agarose (Qiagen). Bound proteins were eluted with imidazole. The cellular fractions were lysed in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, and 1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40 and complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). The lysates were clarified by centrifugation and the supernatants, together with the imidazole eluate from Ni-NTA-agarose, were subjected to SDS-PAGE on 7.5% (w/v) gels. The separated proteins were electroblotted and detected by Western blotting using a mouse anti-myc antibody followed by a goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with alkaline phosphatase. The blots were developed using the Immun-Star™ substrate pack (Bio-Rad).

Biotinylation and precipitation of cell surface proteins

293T and Vero cells (2 × 10⁶) were washed 3 times with ice-cold PBS (pH 8.0) and re-suspended in 0.1 ml of PBS. The cells were incubated for 30 min with 50 µg of EZ-Link™ Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Pierce). After addition of Tris (pH 8.0) to 50 mM, the cells were washed 3 times in ice-cold PBS (pH 8.0) and lysed in 0.1 ml of lysis buffer. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation and then incubated with a 50% slurry of the streptavidin-Sepharose beads (Pierce) (40 µl). The beads were harvested and, after washing 3 times in the lysis buffer, bound proteins were eluted in the SDS-PAGE sample buffer. The eluted proteins were separated on 10% (w/v) SDS-PAGE gels and ACE2 was detected by Western blotting.

Binding of SP-D to purified S-protein

Polystyrene microtiter plates (Maxisorp, Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with purified S-protein (5 µg/ml) overnight at 4 °C in 50 mM sodium carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) and then washed 4 times with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (20 mM Tris and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and 5 mM CaCl₂. Plates were incubated with the washing buffer for 15 min at room temperature for blocking. A series of two-fold dilutions of purified human SP-D was made in the washing buffer (5 µg/ml to 0) and incubated with the coated plates overnight at 4 °C. In some experiments, SP-D was diluted to 5 µg/ml in the washing buffer containing different concentrations of maltose (0–100 mM) or 10 mM N-acetyl-d-glucosamine (GlcNAc), mannose or galactose. SP-D was also diluted in TBS containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and 10 mM EDTA. The plates were washed and then incubated with monoclonal (2 µg/ml) or polyclonal (10 µg/ml) SP-D above except that the cells were cultured for 36 h in the presence or absence of tunicamycin (10 µg/ml). The medium was mixed with Ni-NTA-agarose and then precipitated. Bound proteins were eluted with imidazole.
antibodies, respectively, for 2h with shaking. After washing, the plates were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1000 dilutions; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) for 1h with shaking. The plates were, after washing, developed using para-nitrophenyl phosphate (1 mg/ml) dissolved in substrate buffer (0.1 M Tris, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 9.5). The plates were read by spectrophotometry at 405 nm. Experiments were carried out in duplicates.

**Binding of MBL to mannan and S-protein**

Microtiter plates were coated with Baker’s yeast mannan (Sigma, St. Louis, MI, USA), S-protein, or BSA as described above at 5 mg/ml. The wells were blocked in BSA (1 mg/ml in TBS) for 1h and then incubated overnight at 4°C with human serum diluted to 5% (v/v) at the highest concentration followed by 3.5-fold dilutions in MBL binding buffer (20 mM Tris, 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.1% (w/v) BSA, 1 M NaCl and 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100, pH 7.4). After washing in the MBL binding buffer, the plates were incubated with biotinylated anti-MBL monoclonal antibody (1:2500) for 2h with shaking. The plates were, after washing, developed with para-nitrophenyl phosphate (1 mg/ml) and read by spectrophotometry at 405 nm. Experiments were carried out in duplicates.

**Flow cytometry**

Macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs) were cultured from isolated human monocytes as previously described (Cao et al., 2006). Macrophages, DCs, 293T and Vero cells were harvested, incubated for 30 min with 20% (v/v) goat serum, and then stained for 45 min on ice with a mouse monoclonal anti-ACE2 antibody. After washing, the cells were further stained for 30 min with goat anti-mouse IgG (RPE). The cells were then washed, fixed in 1% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.6) and then analyzed on a FACSScalibur using the CellQuest software (BD Biosciences). To examine possible DC and macrophage activation by S-protein, the cells were incubated with purified S-protein (20 μg/ml) or, as a control, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 6h in the presence of brefeldin A (10 μg/ml). The cells were fixed for 10 min in 1% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and permeabilized for 15 min with 0.2% (w/v) saponin before blocking and staining with an anti-TNF-α antibody (RPE) or, as a control, an isotype mouse IgG. The cells were analyzed by flow cytometry.

**ELISA**

DCs and macrophages were stimulated in 96-well plates at 1 × 10⁷/well with purified S-protein (20 μg/ml) or, as a control, LPS (0.5 μg/ml) for 24h and the supernatants were analyzed using the DuoSet ELISA Development kits for TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8 (R&D Systems Inc., McKinley Place N.E., MN). The experiments were performed in triplicate and results were presented as means ± SD.

**S-protein binding to the cell surface**

The Vero and 293T cells were detached with 0.5 mM EDTA in PBS, washed and re-suspended in PBS containing 0.5% (w/v) BSA (PBS-BSA) at ~4 × 10⁶/ml. The cells (50 μl each) were each incubated with 2.5 μg of S-protein for 2h at 4°C with rotation. The cells were washed in PBS-BSA and incubated in 20% (v/v) goat serum in PBS-BSA for 30 min on ice. After washing in cold PBS-BSA, the cells were incubated with a mouse anti-myc antibody (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) at 10 μg/ml for 30 min on ice. As a control, the cells were incubated with isotype mouse IgG1. After washing in PBS-BSA, the cells were incubated with goat F(ab)’₂ anti-mouse IgG conjugated with RPE for 40 min on ice. The cells were washed and fixed in 1% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and analyzed on a FACScalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). DCs and macrophages were harvested and examined for S-protein binding as above.

In some experiments, S-protein (10 μg/ml) was pre-incubated overnight at 4°C with SP-D (40 μg/ml) or BSA (40 μg/ml) in TBS containing 2 mM CaCl₂ before incubation with Vero cells in TBS containing 0.5% (w/v) BSA and 2 mM CaCl₂. Cells were washed twice with the binding buffer and then incubated with the myc antibody followed by goat anti-mouse IgG and analyzed by flow cytometry as above.

**Results**

**Expression of SARS-CoV S-protein**

In coronavirus, the S-proteins are anchored to the lipid envelope like a type I receptor and contains 3 domains, i.e. a large N-terminal surface domain, a single transmembrane domain, and a short C-terminal domain located inside the envelope (Cavanagh, 1995). S-protein mediates coronavirus interaction with host cells leading to cellular infection. S-protein is the predominant surface protein on SARS-CoV and is potentially a major viral surface structure recognized by the host innate immune mechanisms. Investigation of S-protein interaction with host cells helps in the identification of mechanisms by which SARS-CoV interacts with the hosts.
To express the SARS-CoV S-protein as a soluble probe, an expression vector was constructed in which sequences encoding the transmembrane and C-terminal domains of the S-protein were deleted by introducing a *Hind* III restriction site immediately upstream of the transmembrane domain (Fig. 1). This surface domain of S-protein was expressed as a trimer for two main reasons: to reflect the multiplicity of S-protein on the virus and to increase the affinity of the S-protein probe or the sensitivity of the S-protein binding assays. S-protein trimerization was engineered by C-terminal fusion with a sequence derived from the ‘neck’ region of human lung surfactant protein D (SP-D) (Fig. 1). This ‘neck’ sequence forms stable triple coiled-coil structures which have been shown in SP-D and MBL to bring the CRDs together as clusters of three (Hoppe et al., 1994; Weis and Drickamer, 1994). The ‘neck’ sequence was linked to myc and His tag sequences to facilitate purification and detection of the expressed S-protein (Fig. 1).

S-protein was expressed in 293T cells in serum-free medium and purified using the nickel-based, Ni-NTA-agarose resins that bind to the C-terminal His tags. The purified S-protein migrated as a protein of ~200 kDa on SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and was recognized by an anti-myc antibody (Fig. 2). The size of the purified S-protein under reducing conditions is consistent with that reported in a previous study (Li et al., 2003). However, it is much larger than the predicted molecular mass of ~130 kDa (1194 residues). Like other coronavirus S-proteins, the SARS-CoV S-protein is also characterized by a large number of potential Asn-linked glycosylation sites, i.e. 18 sites on the surface domain (Drosten et al., 2003; Fouchier et al., 2003; Ksiazek et al., 2003; Kuiken et al., 2003). As shown in Fig. 2B, Asn-linked glycosylation contributes substantially to the observed increase in molecular mass of S-protein. Expression of S-protein in the presence of tunicamycin, an inhibitor of Asn-linked glycosylation, blocked the secretion of S-protein to the culture media; S-protein could not be isolated from the media of these cells (Fig. 2B, lane 2). However, when the cell lysate was examined by Western blotting, S-protein was detected in these tunicamycin-treated cells as a protein of ~130 kDa (Fig. 2B, lane 4). In contrast, S-protein detected in the lysate of transfected 293T cells, which were not treated with tunicamycin, remained ~200 kDa (Fig. 2B, lane 3). This indicated that the reduction in the size of S-protein in the tunicamycin-treated cells was due to loss of Asn-linked glycosylation which also prevented its functional maturation and secretion.

**S-protein binds to Vero but not 293T cells**

Previous studies have shown that Vero cells, but not 293T cells, are permissive to SARS-CoV infection and that the SARS-CoV receptor ACE2 is expressed on Vero but not 293T cells (Li et al., 2003). This was also observed in this study, i.e. Vero but not 293T cells express surface ACE2 (Fig. 3A). To examine whether S-protein expressed in this study is recognized by ACE2, Vero cells were incubated with the purified S-protein and bound S-protein was detected by flow cytometry using a myc antibody. S-protein showed prominent binding to Vero cells but no binding to 293T cells was observed (Fig. 3B). These results are consistent with the reported S-protein binding to Vero but not 293T cells (Li et al., 2003). In the same report, inhibition of S-protein binding to Vero cells by soluble ACE2 was observed suggesting S-protein binding to ACE2 on Vero cells.

**SARS-CoV S-protein is recognized by SP-D**

The collectins are a large family of host lectins that recognize carbohydrate structures on a wide range of microbial pathogens to activate host innate immunity (Lu et al., 2002; Holmskov et al., 2003). The heavily glycosylated nature of the SARS-CoV S-protein prompted us to examine whether it is recognized by these host lectins. The lung alveoli represent the primary host interface with SARS-CoV. Two important arms of host innate immunity are present at the air–epithelial interface of lung alveoli, i.e. the lung surfactant proteins (SP-A and SP-D) and alveolar macrophages. Hence, the interaction between S-protein and SP-D was investigated. SP-D selectively recognizes maltose and mannose residues on microbes but shows little affinity for GlcNAc (Lu et al., 1992). Purified S-protein was immobilized on 96-well plates and incubated with human SP-D. Bound SP-D was detected with a mouse
monoclonal anti-SP-D antibody or a rabbit polyclonal anti-SP-D antibody. As shown in Fig. 4A, SP-D was shown to bind to immobilized S-protein in a dose-dependent manner. Binding was detectable at \( \sim \) 150 ng/ml SP-D and approached saturation at 625 ng/ml SP-D. The binding was completely blocked in the presence of 10 mM EDTA implying that the CRDs of SP-D, which require Ca\(^{2+}\) to bind to selected sugar ligands, was involved (Fig. 4A).

To further examine whether SP-D binds to the carbohydrate moiety on S-protein, the binding assay was also carried out in the presence of maltose, the major sugar residue recognized by SP-D. At maltose concentrations of 12.5 mM or higher, SP-D binding to S-protein was completely blocked (Fig. 4B). At concentrations between 1.5 and 6.25 mM, maltose showed dose-dependent inhibition of SP-D binding to S-protein. Therefore, binding of SP-D to S-protein clearly involves the SP-D CRDs and the carbohydrate structures on S-protein. Although the purified S-protein contains the SP-D ‘neck’ sequence and the myc and His tag sequences, there are no potential glycosylation sites on these sequences. The carbohydrate-dependent SP-D binding to S-protein rules out SP-D recognition of these regions. Inhibition by other sugars was also examined. As shown in Fig. 4C, SP-D binding to S-protein was inhibited by mannose as well as maltose. However, it was not significantly inhibited by galactose and GlcNAc. These results further confirm the involvement of SP-D lectin activity in S-protein binding.

**SARS-CoV S-protein is not recognized by MBL**

We then examined whether the serum collectin MBL recognizes S-protein. While SP-D preferentially binds to mannose and mannose residues, MBL binds mainly to mannose, GlcNAc and fucose (Lu et al., 2002; Holmskov et al., 2003). However, both MBL and SP-D bind to mannan, the carbohydrate component of the yeast cell wall. S-protein or, as controls, yeast mannan or BSA, were immobilized on 96-well plates and then incubated with human serum in serial 3.5-fold dilutions in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-containing buffer. The diluted human serum served as a source of MBL. Bound MBL was detected using a biotinylated mouse anti-MBL monoclonal antibody. As shown in Fig. 4D, MBL bound to mannan-coated plates in a dose-dependent manner. As a negative control, it showed no specific binding to BSA (Fig. 4D). MBL showed no detectable binding to immobilized S-protein (Fig. 4D). Therefore, S-protein appears to be selectively recognized by SP-D.

**S-protein binds to both macrophages and DCs**

Since macrophages form an important arm of alveolar innate immunity against microbial infections, possible interaction between S-protein and macrophages was also examined. As for Vero and 293T cells, binding of S-protein to macrophages and DCs was examined by flow cytometry. Firstly, the two cell types were examined for the expression of the SARS-CoV receptor ACE2. Interestingly, ACE2 was detected on macrophages but not on DCs (Fig. 5A). We then examined S-protein binding to macrophages and DCs. As shown in Fig. 5B, S-protein could bind to macrophages. Although ACE2 was not detected on DCs, S-protein was also shown to bind to DCs with a distinct pattern from macrophages (Fig. 5B). This could involve other DC receptors (Jeffers et al., 2004; Marzi et al., 2004). Pre-incubation of S-protein with SP-D did not inhibit S-protein binding to macrophages indicating that the carbohydrate structures on S-protein are probably not involved in the observed S-protein binding to macrophages. SP-D showed no enhancement of S-protein binding to macrophages. SP-D also showed no inhibition or enhancement to S-protein binding to Vero cells (Fig. 3B, right panel).
However, pre-incubation of S-protein with SP-D increased S-protein binding to DCs. These findings reveal that S-protein binds to macrophages and DCs by distinct mechanisms.

S-protein activates macrophages but not DCs

The effect of S-protein binding to macrophages and DCs was also investigated. The cells were stimulated with S-protein for 6 h in the presence of brefeldin A. The production of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), a major pro-inflammatory cytokine which is intracellularly retained in the presence of brefeldin A, was examined by flow cytometry. As a positive control, these cells were stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS). As shown in Fig. 5C, macrophages showed TNF-α production upon stimulation with S-protein as well as LPS showing that macrophages were activated by S-protein. DCs were also effectively activated by LPS as shown by the
induction of TNF-α (Fig. 5C), but were not activated by S-protein. It is not clear whether S-protein activates macrophages through ACE2, which is expressed on macrophages but not on DCs. Cytokine production by DCs and macrophages, upon S-protein stimulation, was also examined by ELISA. As shown in Fig. 6, while S-protein was consistently a weaker stimulus than LPS, it induced IL-6, IL-8 as well as TNF-α from macrophages. However, it did not induce significant cytokines from DCs. This is seemingly in contrast with the reported cytokine induction from DCs by live SARS-CoV virus (Law et al., 2005). However, it should be noted that live SARS-CoV are a more complex stimulus which could activate more complex signaling than S-protein in these cells. Nonetheless, the ability of S-protein to activate macrophages may contribute to the pathology of SARS through excessive pulmonary inflammation.

**Discussion**

SARS-CoV infects human hosts through the respiratory system and its interplay with the host innate immune system in the lung alveoli is likely to exert a major influence on the outcome of SARS-CoV infection. At the air–epithelial interfaces of lung alveoli, a surfactant monolayer is present. A primary function of the surfactant is to reduce surface tension at the end of expiration to prevent the collapse of the lung alveoli (Hawgood and Clements, 1990). It is also known that the surfactant contains two collectin molecules, i.e. SP-A and SP-D, which recognize carbohydrate structures on a wide range of microbial pathogens leading to killing and clearance of these microbes (Lu et al., 2002; Holmskov et al., 2003). Coronaviruses infect host cells through the highly glycosylated surface S-proteins. We therefore decided to investigate whether and how the carbohydrate structures on S-protein may interact with these surfactant collectins. To preserve the natural carbohydrate moiety on S-protein, it was expressed in eukaryotic cells. S-protein was expressed without the transmembrane and internal domains to permit its secretion into the medium and allow its purification as a soluble probe. To express S-protein as a trimer, its C-terminus was fused with the ‘neck’ sequence of SP-D, which is known to form strong triple coiled-coils and to cluster associated structures into parallel trimers. This strategy was initially to reflect the multiplicity of S-protein on the virus but a recent report showed that SARS-CoV S-protein in fact forms trimers naturally (Li et al., 2006).

The SARS-CoV S-protein (accession no. NC_004718) contains 18 potential Asn-linked glycosylation sites. Our results suggested that these potential glycosylation sites are probably mostly occupied as the purified S-protein exhibited a molecular mass of ~200 kDa which is ~70 kDa larger than that expected from its amino acid sequence (1194 residues or ~130 kDa). Blocking of...
Asn-linked glycosylation with tunicamycin reduced S-protein to 130 kDa and completely prevented its secretion into the medium. This implies that glycosylation contributed to approx. one-third of the molecular mass of the purified S-protein and SARS-CoV replication requires proper S-protein glycosylation. The carbohydrate structures on S-protein might therefore represent a unique class of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) (Medzhitov and Janeway, 2000). Carbohydrate structures on many microorganisms are PAMPs that are recognized by host C-type lectins, including collectins (Sastry and Ezekowitz, 1993).

In the present study, we demonstrated that the pulmonary surfactant-associated collectin SP-D could bind to the SARS-CoV S-protein. The effective inhibition of SP-D-binding to S-protein by EDTA and sugars such as maltose and mannose suggest that SP-D binds to the carbohydrate moieties on S-protein. We similarly examined whether S-protein was recognized by SP-A, another surfactant-associated collectin. Due to the low level of binding detected, we were unable to conclude whether S-protein is also recognized by SP-A (data not shown). We showed that the serum collectin, MBL, was unable to recognize S-protein. One possible explanation for the apparently selective recognition of S-protein by SP-D may be that S-proteins display sugar residues that are preferentially recognized by SP-D. These two collectins exhibit different sugar specificity. For example, SP-D shows little affinity for GlcNAc to which MBL binds effectively (Lu et al., 1992; Holmskov et al., 2003). The disparities between SP-D and most other collectins in overall dimensions may also contribute to their differences in carbohydrate recognition. SP-D has long collagen-like helices which enable its CRDs to extend more than 90 nm apart whereas SP-A and MBL have much shorter collagen helices which can only extend their CRDs maximally less than 30 nm apart (Lu, 1997; Holmskov et al., 2003). C-type lectins, including collectins, mainly recognize specific sugar residues that are terminally presented and non-reducing (Lu et al., 2002; Holmskov et al., 2003). While such sugar residues are common on extracellular microbial pathogens, viral glycoproteins are synthesized using the host eukaryotic cell machinery and most peripheral sugar residues are expected to be modified by terminal sialic acid residues. Therefore, despite the high degree of glycosylation of S-protein, suitable terminal sugar residues that are recognized by CRDs are probably infrequent and scattered. The much extended dimensions of SP-D, compared with MBL and SP-A, may enable it to bind to these scattered sugar residues more efficiently.

SARS is an acute pulmonary disease characterized by inflammation and immunological injury (Lang et al., 2003). Macrophages play essential roles in the onset and control of inflammation. S-protein is a major surface protein on SARS-CoV and we showed that S-protein binding to macrophages could activate the production of TNF-α from these cells. The observed macrophage activation by S-protein was not due to contamination of purified S-protein with microbial endotoxins since the purified S-protein showed no activation of TLR2 and TLR4 as judged using luciferase assays for NF-κB activation (data not shown) (Chen et al., 2004; Zhong et al., 2005). The purified S-protein showed no activation of DCs, also suggesting that the observed macrophage activation by purified S-protein is not due to contaminating microbial endotoxins as these microbial structures would also activate DCs. It is unclear how S-protein selectively activates macrophages but not DCs although it binds to both cell types. This may be attributed to the involvement of different receptors on the surface of these cells. For example, ACE2 is expressed on macrophages but not on DCs. However, soluble ACE2 was not available to verify whether this receptor was involved in the observed S-protein activation of macrophages.

While the mechanism by which S-protein activates macrophages is not clear, the ability of S-protein to induce TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8 production in macrophages implies contributions of S-protein–macrophage interactions to the development of pulmonary inflammation.

Fig. 6. Cytokine induction from DCs and macrophages by S-protein and LPS. DCs and macrophages were stimulated in 96-well plates with purified S-protein (SP, 20 μg/ml) or, as a control, LPS (0.5 μg/ml) for 24 h. IL-6 (A), TNF-α (B), and IL-8 (C) were measured in the media by ELISA using the DuoSet ELISA Development kits (R&D). The experiments were performed in triplicates and results were presented as means ± SD.
inflammation and tissue injury. It would be interesting to dissect the SARS-CoV S-protein and delineate the exact domain(s) that interact(s) with SP-D. The immunodominant sites on the S-protein of SARS-CoV have been identified (He et al., 2004), and neutralizing monoclonal antibodies that can protect ferrets against live SARS-CoV challenge have been developed (ter Meulen et al., 2004; van den Brink et al., 2005). These and our findings highlight the S-protein as a potential target for SARS-CoV vaccine development.
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