Seroepidemiology of group I human coronaviruses in children
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Abstract

Background: Recently, several new human coronaviruses have been identified.
Objectives: To define the seroepidemiology of group I human coronaviruses.
Study design: A recombinant protein enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) based on portions of the nucleocapsid protein of group I human coronaviruses was developed and was used to screen serum from 243 children and young adults.
Results: For HCoV-229E, the percentages of seropositive individuals were 57.1% for infants <2 months old; 38.9% for infants 2–3 months old; 4.7% for infants 4–5 months old; 42.9–50.0% for infants 6–12 months old; 34.8–62.5% for individuals 1–20 years old. For HCoV-NL63, the percentages of seropositive individuals were 45.2% for infants <2 months old; 11.1% for infants 2–3 months old; 4.7% for infants 4–5 months old; 28.6–40.0% for infants 6–12 months old; 25.0–70.3% for individuals 1–20 years old.
Conclusions: Infection with these viruses is common in childhood though the prevalence of these viruses may vary from year to year.
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1. Introduction

Coronaviruses are a complex group of single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses that infect mammals and birds. The first human coronaviruses were discovered in the 1960s (Hamre and Procknow, 1966; Hambre and Beem, 1972; McIntosh et al., 1967; Tyrrell and Bynoe, 1965). Until the identification of the SARS coronavirus in 2003 (Drosten et al., 2003; Ksiazek et al., 2003; Peiris et al., 2003), HCoV-229E (group I) and HCoV-OC43 (group II) were the only known human coronaviruses though data from the 1960s suggested that other coronaviruses may be circulating in the human population (Kahn and McIntosh, 2005).

Recently, several new or emerging human coronaviruses have been discovered. The SARS coronavirus that caused a worldwide epidemic in 2002–2004 either represents a novel group of coronaviruses or is a group II coronavirus (Drosten et al., 2003). In 2004, van der Hoek et al. (2004) reported the discovery of a new group I coronavirus designated HCoV-NL63. Using molecular probes that target conserved regions of the coronavirus genome, we found evidence of HCoV-NL63 (designated the “New Haven coronavirus” in our initial description) in respiratory secretions obtained from children with respiratory tract disease (Esper et al., 2005). Several reports have demonstrated that HCoV-NL63 is a common virus and is associated with both upper and lower respiratory tract disease (Bastien et al., 2005; Chiu et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2006; Ebihara et al., 2005; Esper et al., 2005; Vabret et al., 2005; van der Hoek et al., 2005).

Coronaviruses contain the largest RNA viral genomes (~29 kb) and encode at least four virion proteins (for review, see Holmes and Lai, 1996). The spike (S) is a large protein (>175 kDa) that forms oligomers on the viral surface. The nucleocapsid protein binds to viral RNA and is contained...
within the virion. The nucleocapsid elicits a humoral immune response and contains several linear epitopes (Wege et al., 1993).

It appears that HCoV-NL63, like the other known group I human coronavirus, HCoV-229E, is a common pathogen. The epidemiology of HCoV-NL63 is incomplete and there is relatively little data examining the seroprevalence of the virus. To address this, we developed a serological assay, based on recombinant nucleocapsid protein and screened serum from children and young adults. Here we report the results of a seroprevalence study of the group I human coronaviruses in children.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Viruses and cells

HCoV-229E was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). HCoV-NL63 strain New Haven (191) was identified and described previously (Esper et al., 2005).

2.2. RNA extraction and reverse transcriptase-PCR amplification of regions of the N gene

Viral RNA extraction and RT-PCR were performed as described previously (Esper et al., 2005). Primers for PCR amplification and cloning were as follows: HCoV-NL63 strain New Haven 191 N (GenBank accession number EF081296) amino acid 59 forward primer 5′-gcgeGGATCC TCAAGAGCGTTGGCGTATGC-3′; 234 forward primer 5′-gcgcGGATCCACCTCGTGTGAAAGCGGTGGT-3′; amino acid 271 reverse primer 5′-gcgcCTCGAGACCTCGTTGAAGCGGTGGT-3′; amino acid 377 reverse primer 5′-gcgcCTCGAGACCTCGTTGAAGCGGTGGT-3′; amino acid 377 reverse primer 5′-gcgcCTCGAGACCTCGTTGAAGCGGTGGT-3′. The cloning restriction endonuclease site within the amino acid 59 forward primer 5′-gcgeGGATCC TCAAGAGCGTTGGCGTATGC-3′; 234 forward primer 5′-gcgcGGATCCACCTCGTGTGAAAGCGGTGGT-3′; amino acid 271 reverse primer 5′-gcgcCTCGAGACCTCGTTGAAGCGGTGGT-3′; amino acid 377 reverse primer 5′-gcgcCTCGAGACCTCGTTGAAGCGGTGGT-3′. The cloning restriction endonuclease site within each primer is underlined. G/C clamps are in lower case.

2.3. Cloning, expression and purification of recombinant N protein

The N gene amplicon for each virus was cloned separately into the expression vector pET22b (Novagen, San Diego, CA) in frame with a His tag at the 3′ end of the open reading frame. Expression of recombinant protein was performed following the protocols provided by the manufacturer. Purification of His tag protein was performed using the QAexpress Ni-NTA Fast Start Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. EBV-Z protein (with a carboxy-terminal His tag) was provided by George Miller, Yale University, New Haven, CT.

2.4. Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was performed as previously described (Leung et al., 2005). Briefly, purified protein (1.4 μm) was separated by SDS-polyacrylamide (10%) gel electrophoresis, transferred to a membrane filter and incubated with either human sera (1:400) or goat anti-HCoV-229E (1:800) (kindly provided by K.V. Holmes, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Denver, CO). Bound secondary antibody (horse radish peroxidase (HRP))-conjugated antibody (either anti-human or anti-goat, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) was detected using ECL Western blotting system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Inc., Piscataway, New Jersey).

2.5. Serum

Human serum specimens from individuals <20 years old were collected from the Clinical Chemistry Laboratory, Yale-New Haven Hospital (with the exception of serum #1 which was collected from an adult donor). Serum samples were collected from June 2003 through March 2004 and stored at −20°C.

2.6. ELISA

ELISA assays with purified recombinant protein or HCoV-229E infected cell lysates were performed using a modification of a protocol described previously (Leung et al., 2005). Briefly, 50 ng of purified protein (in phosphate buffered saline [PBS]) was used to coat the wells of a 96-well plate. Serial dilutions of serum were added to the wells and bound antibody was detected with species appropriate HRP-conjugated anti-human antibody (1:20,000) (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). Optical densities were read at 450 nm (DynaTech MR5000). The optimal conditions were determined by checker board titrations using serum that tested positive for HCoV-229E (by Western blot and infected cell lysates-based ELISA). The criteria for a positive ELISA were defined as an OD450 > 0.2 (above background) at a dilution of 1:80 or greater for recombinant protein ELISA and OD450 > 0.25 for HCoV-229E infected whole cell lysates ELISA.

3. Results

Comparison of the 1134 base nucleocapsid genes of HCoV-NL63 strain Amsterdam1, the first non-HCoV-229E group I human coronavirus characterized, and HCoV-NL63 strain New Haven 191 revealed as many as 10 polymorphisms. Of these, 5 resulted in amino acid substitutions (data not shown). Because the full length nucleocapsid gene of HCoV-NL63 could not be expressed efficiently (data not shown), we constructed clones which contained only portions of the nucleocapsid gene (Fig. 1A). Overall,
Fig. 1. Map and amino acid sequence comparisons of the nucleocapsid genes of group I human coronaviruses. (A) Map of the full length and expressed regions of the nucleocapsid genes of HCoV-NL63 (strain New Haven 191) and HCoV-229E. The N protein of HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E are 377 and 389 amino acids, respectively. The region encoding amino acid 59–377, 59–271 and 234–377 of HCoV-NL63 and amino acids 229–389 of HCoV-229E were cloned and expressed in bacteria. Recombinant proteins representing amino acid 234–377 of HCoV-NL63 nucleocapsid and amino acids 229–389 of the HCoV-229E nucleocapsid were used in the seroepidemiological investigation (see text). (B) Sequence alignment of the predicted amino acid sequence of the nucleocapsid proteins of HCoV-NL63 (strain New Haven 191, amino acid 234–377) and HCoV-229E (amino acid 229–389). Sequence alignments were performed with Lasergene software. Amino acid residues in HCoV-229E that match amino acids 59–377, 59–271 and 234–377 of HCoV-NL63 are represented with a dot (“.”). A dash (–) represents gaps in the alignment. Amino acid residue numbers are listed on the right of the figure.

Table 3. Cross-reactivity of sera selected for further testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Recombinant Protein</th>
<th>Western Blot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCoV-NL63+</td>
<td>HCoV-NL63+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCoV-NL63−</td>
<td>HCoV-NL63−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCoV-229E+</td>
<td>HCoV-229E+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCoV-229E−</td>
<td>HCoV-229E−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A negative result in the ELISA would indicate that either the individual has not been infected with the specific virus or that that individual has been infected but did not develop antibodies to the domain of the nucleocapsid represented in the bacterial-expressed protein. To address this issue, we randomly chose serum that tested negative for both HCoV-229E (229–389) and HCoV-NL63 and assayed these sera for HCoV-229E-specific antibodies using an HCoV-229E infected cell lysate-based-ELISA (assuming that the HCoV-229E-infected cell lysate could be used as a “gold-standard”). Of the 18 serum selected that tested positive for HCoV-229E based on the recombinant protein ELISA, 16 tested negative in the HCoV-229E ELISA (negative predictive value = 89.9%). The two sera that tested positive for HCoV-229E by the infected cell lysate ELISA had low recombinant protein ELISA titers (OD450 of 0.315 and 0.286 at a 1:80 dilution). (A similar set of experiments with HCoV-NL63 could not be performed because the virus could not be propagated to sufficient titers.)

4. Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated that recombinant proteins representing a portion of the nucleocapsid protein of group I coronaviruses can be used to discriminate between antibodies specific for the two viruses. We chose to use recombinant proteins rather than whole virus for this assay for several reasons. First, use of whole virus or infected cell lysates as antigens would require efficient replication of these viruses in vitro. HCoV-NL63 replicates poorly in cell culture and this would limit the ability to screen a large number of serum specimens. Second, whole virus or infected cell lysates may detect cross-reactivity antibody, complicating the use of these materials...
for seroepidemiology. Indeed, portions of the nucleocapsid of HCoV-NL63 were detected by a goat anti-HCoV-229E antiserum, suggesting that cross-reactive antibody to the two group I human coronaviruses may be elicited after infection with these viruses. Lastly, recombinant protein representing the nucleocapsid of the SARS coronavirus and HKU1, a newly identified human group II coronavirus (Huang et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2003; Timani et al., 2004; Woo et al., 2004, 2005b) has been used in antibody detection assays.

Since we could not rely on an infected cell lysates-based ELISAs as a “gold standard,” because of a concern for cross-reactive antibody, we could not test the sensitivity for the recombinant protein-based ELISA. Nonetheless, we demonstrated that the recombinant proteins could be used to specifically detect antibodies for HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63. The high negative predictive value (89%) indicates that our assay is reliable though may result in an (albeit small) underestimation of the true percentage of negatives. However, this negative predictive value was determined for HCoV-229E; we could unfortunately not confirm this for HCoV-NL63, because we could not propagate the HCoV-NL63 virus to sufficient titers.
Fig. 3. Detection of HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E specific antibodies by ELISA. An ELISA assay was developed that used either HCoV-NL63 N protein (amino acid 234–377) or HCoV-229E N protein (amino acid 229–389) as antigen. Serial dilutions of sera were incubated with bound recombinant protein. Human antibodies specific for these recombinant proteins were detected with a chromogenic reaction following the addition and incubation with an enzyme (HRP)-linked anti-human antibody. Antibody levels were quantified by optical densities. (A) HCoV-NL63+, HCoV-229E+; (B) HCoV-NL63+, HCoV-229E−; (C) HCoV-NL63−, HCoV-229E−; (D) HCoV-NL63−, HCoV-229E+.

Fig. 4. Seroepidemiology of group I human coronaviruses based on an ELISA using recombinant N proteins. Serum from 243 individuals <20 years were screened by ELISA for HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E specific antibody. The percentage of seropositive individuals for each age group is displayed in the graph.

It appears that infection with known group I coronaviruses is common in childhood. Although maternally acquired N-directed antibodies to both HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63 appeared to wane by 4–5 months of life, >30% of children have serological evidence of infection with either of the two group I human coronaviruses by the age of 12 months. The most likely explanation for this is the exposure and infection with these viruses in the first year of life. Our cross-sectional study revealed that the percentage of seropositive individuals 2 years of age was much greater for HCoV-229E as compared to HCoV-NL63 suggesting that HCoV-229E was more prevalent in the 1–2 years prior to the acquisition of the serum for these children. Our findings are similar to that of Hofmann et al. who found, with the use of pseudotype virus expressing the S protein, that most sera from adults had HCoV-NL63-neutralizing antibodies (Hofmann et al., 2005). Indeed, the youngest children who had detectable HCoV-NL63-specific antibodies were ~1.5 years old and by age 8 years, the sera from most of the children screened had HCoV-NL63-specific antibodies, which are consistent with
our findings. Furthermore, HCoV-229E specific antibodies were infrequently detected in the adult sera screened by Hofmann et al. and by our group suggesting that the circulation of the two group I coronaviruses differ.

Previous studies of non-SARS human coronaviruses, which focused on HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43, demonstrated that the seroprevalence varies greatly and depends on the age of the population and the method used to detect coronavirus-specific antibodies (Hambre and Beem, 1972; Hasony and Macnaughton, 1982; Kaye et al., 1971; McIntosh et al., 1970; Monto and Lim, 1974; Pohl-Koppe et al., 1995). However, these studies used whole virus as antigen and, because of the apparent cross-reactivity of HCoV-229E antibodies demonstrated in our study, it is likely that the assays used in these studies detected group-specific antibody rather than type-specific antibody. With the discovery of HCoV-NL63 the results of these publications should now be viewed differently: in the studies in which HCoV-229E antibody was measured, the data likely represents the seroepidemiology of group I coronaviruses. (The same is likely true for group II coronaviruses with the discovery of HCoV-HKU1, Woo et al., 2005a.)

In conclusion, our data indicates that infection with these group I coronaviruses is common in childhood. Application of the recombinant protein-based ELISA will lead to the further understanding of the epidemiology of these viruses.
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