Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Structure of the N-Terminal Domain of Nonstructural Protein 3 from the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus
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This paper describes the structure determination of nsp3a, the N-terminal domain of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) nonstructural protein 3. nsp3a exhibits a ubiquitin-like globular fold of residues 1 to 112 and a flexibly extended glutamic acid-rich domain of residues 113 to 183. In addition to the four β-strands and two α-helices that are common to ubiquitin-like folds, the globular domain of nsp3a contains two short helices representing a feature that has not previously been observed in these proteins. Nuclear magnetic resonance chemical shift perturbations showed that these unique structural elements are involved in interactions with single-stranded RNA. Structural similarities with proteins involved in various cell-signaling pathways indicate possible roles of nsp3a in viral infection and persistence.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is a viral infectious disease that has attracted worldwide attention since an outbreak in 2003 (26). It has been postulated that the SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) was introduced to the human population from animal CoVs (26). CoVs comprise a large group of enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that have been classified in the family *Coronaviridae* (26). CoVs comprise a large group of enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that have been classified in the family *Coronaviridae* (26). Since the SARS outbreak in 2003, knowledge of the structure, activity and function of some of these proteins has increased considerably (30, 32, 35, 41, 45); however, the biological roles of many of the SARS-CoV proteins remain unknown. In this paper we describe the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structure determination and a preliminary functional characterization of nsp3a, the N-terminal domain of the largest of the nonstructural proteins, nsp3.

SARS-CoV nsp3 is a 213-kDa polypeptide involved in RNA replication and has been proposed to consist of seven domains, nsp3a to nsp3g, which have been identified based on phylogenetic conservation and predicted amino acid secondary structure (38). The biological role of nsp3 is only partially understood, and so far structures have been determined of only the two domains nsp3b, which has been described as an ADP ribose-1′-phosphatase (34), and nsp3d, which is a papain-like protease (PLpro) involved in the proteolytic processing of pp1a and pp1ab. nsp3d contains three domains, two of which are involved directly in proteolysis, while the third one has a ubiquitin-like fold (31).

nsp3a exhibits less than 35% sequence identity with other known proteins, and the closest homologues are found in other CoVs. The alignment shown in Fig. 1 indicates that group 2a CoVs (e.g., murine hepatitis virus and porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus) exhibit higher similarity with nsp3a than proteins from groups 1 (e.g., human coronavirus 229E) and 3 (e.g., avian infectious bronchitis virus). The 183-residue nsp3a domain consists of a C-terminal subdomain of residues 113 to 183 that is rich in acidic residues (38% E and 12% D) and a 112-residue N-terminal subdomain with a more homogeneous content of amino acids (Fig. 1). This report presents a structural characterization of residues 1 to 183 of nsp3a [nsp3a(1–183)] and the structure determination of the subdomain nsp3a(1–112) in solution by NMR spectroscopy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Production of nsp3a.** Full-length nsp3a (consisting of residues 1 to 183) and a construct devoid of residues 113 to 183, nsp3a(1–112), were cloned into the expression vector pMH1F (His, tag; pBAD derivative) and expressed in DL41 *Escherichia coli* cells with induction at 14°C in 2× YT (yeast extract and tryptone) medium. Each of the two constructs was shown, by one-dimensional (1-D) 1H NMR, to form a folded globular domain (data not shown). To facilitate expression of samples suitable for NMR structure determination, both constructs...
were subcloned into pET-25b (Novagen). These plasmids were used to transform E. coli strain BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL (Stratagene). The expression of uni-
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polyepitope backbone of a bundle of 20 energy-minimized CYANA conformers superimposed on the backbone of residues 20 to 108. The N-terminal segment of residues 1 to 19 is flexibly disordered (Fig. 5). (b) Stereo view of a ribbon representation of the conformer with the smallest RMSD relative to the mean coordinates of the ensemble of panel a. In both panels, β-strands are cyan and helices are red. Selected residue positions are indicated in panel a, and the regular secondary structures are identified in panel b.
TABLE 1. Input for the structure calculation and characterization of the bundle of 20 energy-minimized CYANA conformers that represent the NMR structure of nsp3a(1–112)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of NOE upper distance limits</td>
<td>1,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraresidue</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short range</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium range</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long range</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of dihedral angle constraints</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual target function value (Å²)</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual no. of NOE violations =0.1 Å</td>
<td>22 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum (Å)</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual no. of dihedral angle violations =2.5°</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum (°)</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amber energies (kcal/mol)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>−3102.71 ± 80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Waals</td>
<td>−254.89 ± 15.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
<td>−3679.82 ± 82.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD from ideal geometry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.0078 ± 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>2.086 ± 0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD to the mean coordinates (Å)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backbone heavy atoms (20–108)</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All heavy atoms (20–108)</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot statistics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most favored regions (%)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional allowed regions (%)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generously allowed regions (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed regions (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excerpt except for the six top entries, the average value for the 20 energy-minimized conformers with the lowest residual CYANA target function values and the standard deviation among them are given.

* The backbone atoms are N, Cα, C. The numbers in parentheses identify the residues for which the RMSD was calculated.

* As determined by PROCHECK (20).

a longitudinal eddy current delay pulse scheme (1), with a diffusion time of 50 ms and sine-shaped gradients of 4.5 ms. The data were processed with TopSpin software (Bruker Biopoly, Billerica, MA).

The interaction of nsp3a(1–112) with single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) was evaluated by comparison of the 2-D $^{[15N,1H]}$HSQC spectra of nsp3a(1–112) recorded at four nsp3a(1–112):ssRNA2 ratios, i.e., 16:1, 8:1, 4:1, and 2:1. As controls, 2-D $^{[15N,1H]}$HSQC spectra were obtained after addition of eight units of uridine (Octa-U) and Octa-A in fourfold excess with respect to the protein concentration, using otherwise identical conditions. The weighted average of the $^1H$ and $^{15N}$ chemical shift differences, $\delta_{FW}$, was calculated as follows: $\delta_{FW} = 0.5(\delta_{FW}(^1H)^2 + (0.2\delta_{FW}(^{15N}))^{1/2} (28)$.

Structure validation and data deposition. The structure calculation was based on a 3-D $^{15N}$-resolved $[^1H,^1H]$-NOESY spectrum and on two 3-D $^{1H}$-$[^13C,H]$-NOESY spectra recorded with the carrier frequency in the aliphatic and the aromatic regions, respectively. All three data sets were recorded with mixing times of 60 ms. In the input for the stand-alone version of the software package ATNOS/CANDID (9, 10), these NOE data were supplemented with the amino acid sequence and the chemical shift lists from the independently obtained sequence-specific resonance assignment (36). Seven cycles of automated NOESY peak picking and NOE cross-peak identification with ATNOS (9), automated NOE assignment with CANDID (10), and structure calculation with the torsion angle dynamics algorithm of CYANA (8) were performed. In the second and subsequent cycles, the intermediate protein structure was used as an additional guide for the interpretation of the NOESY spectra. During the first six cycles, ATNOS/CANDID/CYANA uses ambiguous distance restraints. In the final cycle, only distance restraints which could be attributed to a single pair of hydrogen atoms were retained. The 20 conformers with the lowest residual CYANA target function values obtained from the seventh ATNOS/CANDID/ CYANA cycle were energy minimized in a water shell with the program OPALp (18, 21), using the AMBER force field (5). The program MOLMOL (19) was used to analyze the ensemble of 20 energy-minimized conformers.

Structure determination. The structure calculation was based on a 3-D $^{15N}$-resolved $[^1H,^1H]$-NOESY spectrum and on two 3-D $^{1H}$-$[^13C,H]$-NOESY spectra recorded with the carrier frequency in the aliphatic and the aromatic regions, respectively. All three data sets were recorded with mixing times of 60 ms. In the input for the stand-alone version of the software package ATNOS/CANDID (9, 10), these NOE data were supplemented with the amino acid sequence and the chemical shift lists from the independently obtained sequence-specific resonance assignment (36). Seven cycles of automated NOESY peak picking and NOE cross-peak identification with ATNOS (9), automated NOE assignment with CANDID (10), and structure calculation with the torsion angle dynamics algorithm of CYANA (8) were performed. In the second and subsequent cycles, the intermediate protein structure was used as an additional guide for the interpretation of the NOESY spectra. During the first six cycles, ATNOS/CANDID/CYANA uses ambiguous distance restraints. In the final cycle, only distance restraints which could be attributed to a single pair of hydrogen atoms were retained. The 20 conformers with the lowest residual CYANA target function values obtained from the seventh ATNOS/CANDID/ CYANA cycle were energy minimized in a water shell with the program OPALp (18, 21), using the AMBER force field (5). The program MOLMOL (19) was used to analyze the ensemble of 20 energy-minimized conformers.

Structure validation and data deposition. Analysis of the stereochemical quality of the molecular models was accomplished using the Joint Center for Structural Genomics Validation Central Suite (http://www.jcsg.org), which integrates seven validation tools: Procheck, Sfedcheck, Prove, ERRAT, WASP, DDQ, and Whatcheck.

Protein stoichiometry determination. Perfluoro-octanoic acid-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PFO-PAGE) was performed according to the method of Ramjeesingh et al. (30). Purified protein samples were mixed 1:1 with PFO loading buffer containing 8% (wt/vol) PFO, 100 mM Tris, 20% (vol/vol) glycerol, and 0.05% (wt/vol) orange G. Samples with protein concentrations of 250 μM, 500 μM, and 1 mM were loaded onto precast 4 to 20% Tris-glycine gels, and 1 mM were loaded onto precast 4 to 20% Tris-glycine gels, and electrophoresis was performed with a standard Tris-glycine running buffer (Invitrogen) to which 0.5% (wt/vol) PFO was added. Protein was detected by SYPRO-ruby poststain (Invitrogen).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). Protein samples (twofold dilutions from 128 μM to 1 μM) were mixed with 0.8 μg of RNA substrate in 20 μl of assay buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), and 5 mM CaCl2. The RNA sequences used included ssRNA1, AAAUACCUCUCAAAAAUAA and ssRNA2, GGGGAUAAAA. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 1 h and analyzed by native electrophoresis on precast 6% acrylamide DNA retardation gels (Invitrogen). RNA was detected by SYBR-gold poststain and photographed using a UV light source equipped with a digital camera. Protein was then detected by SYPRO-ruby poststain. Denaturing analysis was performed using a flatbed scanner with ImageJ software (NIH). The mobility shift of RNA at each protein concentration was calculated relative to the
maximum shift observed in each experiment. $K_d$ (dissociation constant) values were determined from the midpoints of the fitted titration data (37).

Nuclease susceptibility assay. nsp3a(1–183) and nsp3a(1–112) were incubated with several different nucleases in order to characterize nucleic acids that copurified with both proteins. RNase-free DNase I (NEB), T7 endonuclease I (NEB), RNase If (NEB), RNase A (Invitrogen), and RNase T1 (Ambion) cleavage assays were thus performed at 37°C for 1 h with the manufacturer’s recommended buffer conditions. Digested samples were analyzed by native electrophoresis on precast 6% acrylamide DNA retardation gels (Invitrogen). Nucleic acid was detected by SYBR-gold poststain.

Protein structure accession numbers. The $^1\text{H}$, $^{13}\text{C}$, and $^{15}\text{N}$ chemical shifts have been deposited in the BioMagResBank (http://www.bmrb.wisc.edu) under accession number 7029 (36). The atomic coordinates of the bundle of 20 conformers used to represent the solution structure of nsp3a(1–112) and of the conformer closest to the mean coordinates of the ensemble have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB; http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/) under accession numbers 2GRI and 2IDY, respectively.

RESULTS

nsp3a structure determination. The NOE cross-peaks that were unambiguously assigned in the seventh cycle of the ATNOS/CANDID/CYANA calculation (see Materials and Methods for details) yielded 1,888 meaningful upper distance limits, which were used as input for the final structure calculation with the program CYANA. The residual CYANA target function value of $1.88 \pm 0.28$ Å² and the average global root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) value relative to the mean

FIG. 4. Superposition of nsp3a(1–112) (green, regular secondary structures that superimpose with nsp3d; yellow, segments not present in nsp3d; gray, other segments) and the ubiquitin-like domain of nsp3d (31) (PDB code 2FE8) (red, regular secondary structures that superimpose with nsp3a; gray, other segments). The structure superposition was performed using the SSM module of Coot (7). Thirty $\text{Ca}$ atoms were superimposed with a RMSD value of 2.22 Å, i.e., from nsp3a(1–112) residues 20 to 26, 40 to 46, 49 to 54, 87 to 91, and 100 to 104 and from nsp3d residues 725 to 731, 739 to 745, 748 to 753, 754 to 758, and 773 to 777.

FIG. 5. $^{15}\text{N}$($^1\text{H}$)-NOE values plotted as relative intensities ($I_{rel}$), versus the sequence of nsp3a(1–112). Diamonds represent experimental measurements, which are linked by straight lines along the sequence. Gaps represent proline residues, which lack a backbone $^1\text{H}$ atom, or overlapping residues in the $^{15}\text{N}$–$^1\text{H}$ correlation spectrum that could not be integrated accurately. The experiment was recorded at a $^1\text{H}$ frequency of 600 MHz, using a saturation period of 3.0 s and a total interscan delay of 5.0 s.

FIG. 6. (a) Superposition of the 2-D [$^{15}\text{N}$,$^1\text{H}$]-HSQC spectra of nsp3a(1–183) (blue) and nsp3a(1–112) (red). (b) High-contour-level presentation of a 2-D [$^{15}\text{N}$,$^1\text{H}$]-HSQC spectrum of nsp3a(1–183). (c) Heteronuclear NOE experiment with nsp3a(1–183), using a saturation period of 3.0 s and an interscan delay of 5.0 s. Negative and positive peaks are shown in pink and green, respectively.
coordinates of 0.77 ± 0.09 Å calculated for the backbone atoms of residues 20 to 108 in the bundle of Fig. 2a (Table 1) represent a high-quality NMR structure determination.

Solution structure of nsp3a. nsp3a(1–112) exhibits a ubiquitin-like fold with four helices and four β-strands arranged in the sequential order 1-2-3-10 (Fig. 1 and 2). The long helix 2 and the presence of the 1- and 310-helices, which have not been observed in other ubiquitin-like proteins, make the overall structure more elongated than other ubiquitin-related folds. The strand 1 spans residues 20 to 24 and is connected via a well-defined nine-amino-acid linker to the helix 1 containing residues 34 to 37. A short turn then leads to 2 with residues 42 to 46. The helix 2 with residues 52 to 66 is followed by a short loop that leads to the 310-helix of residues 70 to 75, which is further connected by a short turn with the helix 3 of residues 79 to 84. The last two regular secondary structures, 3 with residues 89 to 91 and 4 with residues 101 to 106, form an antiparallel β-sheet, and they are connected to each other by a tight turn followed by an extended chain segment. The electrostatic potential surface of nsp3a(1–112) shows a pronounced polarity (Fig. 3), with the helices 2, 3, and 10 exhibiting mainly negative charges to the solvent while the strands 1 and 3 and helix 1 contain primarily positive or hydrophobic surface residues.

nsp3a(1–112) is the second domain with a ubiquitin-like fold found within full-length nsp3. Previously, the N-terminal 70-amino acid segment of the fourth domain of nsp3, nsp3d (or PLpro), was found to have a ubiquitin-like fold (31). In Fig. 4, regular secondary structure elements in the segment 20 to 104 of nsp3a have been superimposed with the corresponding polypeptide segments in the region of residues 725 to 777 of nsp3, which corresponds to the N-terminal domain of PLpro.
In as far as they overlap, the two structures share the same topology as canonical ubiquitin-like proteins, such as ISG15 (24) and Bacillus subtilis YukD (41). However, nsp3a also displays unique features (Fig. 4, yellow ribbon); i.e., the connection between strands \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) in nsp3a is longer than that in nsp3d and includes helix \( \alpha_1 \). nsp3a has two additional helices inserted between strands \( \beta_2 \) and \( \beta_3 \), and helix \( \alpha_2 \) is much longer in nsp3a than in nsp3d.

Characterization of flexible regions in nsp3a. Mobility in the two nsp3a protein constructs was investigated by heteronuclear \(^{15}\)N-{\(^1\)H}-NOE experiments (Fig. 5 and 6). Figure 5 shows the values of the steady-state \(^{15}\)N-{\(^1\)H}-NOE for each \(^{15}\)N-{\(^1\)H} moiety in nsp3a(1–112). Residues 20 to 108, for which the mobility of the backbone \(^{15}\)N-{\(^1\)H} moieties is essentially limited to the overall tumbling of the molecule, have positive NOE values of about 0.8. In contrast, residues 1 to 19 and 110 to 112 have values in the range of \(-0.4 \) to 0.5, indicating increased mobility for these polypeptide segments, which are also visibly less well defined in the structure (Fig. 2a).

In order to investigate the structural role of the Glu-rich subdomain of residues 113 to 183, two nsp3a variants were generated which differ in the presence or absence of the C-terminal Glu-rich region, and the 2-D [\(^{15}\)N,\(^1\)H]-HSQC spectra of the two proteins were then compared (Fig. 6a). There are no significant changes in the chemical shifts of the resonances of residues 2 to 112 in the two proteins, which indicates that both variants contain a similarly structured globular domain. These data also show for the full-length nsp3a (Fig. 6a, blue peaks) that most of the peaks from residues 113 to 183 are in the random coil chemical shift region (\(^1\)H shifts between 7.5 to 8.5 ppm). These chemical shifts and the high intensity of these resonances compared with the peaks from the globular region (Fig. 6a and b) are indicative of a flexibly extended polypeptide segment. This is confirmed by the fact that the \(^{15}\)N-{\(^1\)H}-NOE values for most of the peaks corresponding to residues 113 to 183 are negative (Fig. 6c, pink peaks). Thus, the C-terminal Glu-rich subdomain is best described as a flexible tail of residues 113 to 183 attached to the globular domain of residues 1 to 112.

nsp3a(1–112) is a monomer in solution. During the purification of nsp3a(1–112), we noticed that the retention volume of nsp3a by size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 75; Amersham) was lower than expected for a globular protein with a molecular mass of 12.6 kDa. In view of the implications for the structure determination and the biological activity of the protein, we decided to further investigate the oligomeric state of nsp3a(1–112) in solution using NMR diffusion experiments and PFO-PAGE.

In diffusion NMR experiments, the decay of the signal intensity versus the square of the magnetic field gradient was used to estimate the translational diffusion properties of the proteins (40). In Fig. 7a we compare data obtained for 1 mM solutions of nsp3a(1–112), RNase A, and chymotrypsinogen, which have molecular masses of 12.6 kDa, 13.7 kDa, and 25.0
kDa, respectively. The nsp3a(1–112) intensity decay curve is located between the two standards, which is indicative of the presence of the monomeric form, since the elongated shape of nsp3a(1–112) should result in a lower diffusion coefficient than near-spherical proteins with similar molecular masses. A PFO-PAGE gel also indicates that nsp3a(1–112) exists predominantly in the monomeric form at room temperature. The assays performed at the three different protein concentrations of 1 mM, 500 μM, and 250 μM (Fig. 7b) show that even at a 1 mM concentration the monomeric form predominates, and only a small amount of the dimeric form can be observed.

**nsp3a(1–112) binds ssRNA.** In the initial nsp3a(1–112) purification assays (see Materials and Methods), the protein copurified with small fragments of ssRNA. These fragments were readily detected in the 1-D 1H NMR spectra (Fig. 8a) and were subsequently also observed by native PAGE analysis. In addition to preparing the nucleic acid-free protein for the NMR structure determination (described in Materials and Methods), we also investigated the nature of the copurifying nucleic acids. To this end a sample of nucleic acid-loaded nsp3a(1–112) was unfolded in 6 M guanidinium-HCl solution, and the mixture was subsequently loaded onto a Superdex 75 size exclusion column (Fig. 8c). The mass spectrometry analysis of the isolated fragments allowed us to identify an RNA component with a molecular weight of 1327.3 (Fig. 9). The different peaks found in this spectrum are consistent with the sequences AU, GAU, and GAUA, with the longest component corresponding to GAUA.

Nuclease digestion assays of protein samples containing copurifying nucleic acids further revealed that the major species associated with nsp3a(1–183) was DNA, which could be completely removed by DNase I treatment (Fig. 10a), and that the shorter form of the protein retained a much smaller nucleic acid species that was partly susceptible to RNase A digestion and was not susceptible to RNase I or T1 digestion (Fig. 10a). The incomplete digestion by RNase A and the lack of cleavage by RNase I or T1 were interpreted as an indication of the formation of a robust protein-RNA complex.

We then went on to study the binding of exogenous ssRNA substrates to nsp3a(1–112), starting from the aforementioned observation that the endogenous RNA contained the predominant trinucleotide sequence AUA. We thus designed two AUA-containing ssRNA fragments for further studies, ssRNA1 with the sequence AAAUACCUCUCAAAAAUAA and ssRNA2 with the sequence GGGGAUAAAA. The binding of nsp3a(1–112) to

![FIG. 10. Association of nsp3a(1–183) and nsp3a(1–112) purified from *E. coli* with nucleic acids. (a) Nucleic acid was visualized with SYBR-gold staining before or after digestion with nucleases specific to DNA (DNase I or T7 endonuclease) or RNA (RNase I, RNase A, or RNase T1). Cleavage assays were performed at 37°C for 1 h, and digested samples were analyzed by native electrophoresis on precast 6% polyacrylamide gels. Open arrowheads denote copurified nucleic acid species associated with nsp3a(1–112) or nsp3a(1–183), respectively. (b) EMSAs were performed to estimate the RNA binding affinity of nsp3a(1–112). Samples containing ssRNA1 or ssRNA2 were incubated at 37°C for 1 h with variable concentrations of protein and analyzed by native electrophoresis on precast 6% polyacrylamide gels. RNA was detected by SYPRO-gold poststain, and the fraction of bound RNA was calculated relative to the maximum binding observed in each experiment. Lane P, protein only; lanes 0, ssRNA only; lanes 1 to 7 (left panel), ssRNA with twofold dilutions of protein from a final concentration of 128 μM to 2 μM for ssRNA1; lanes 2, 4, 6, and 8 (right panel), ssRNA with fourfold dilutions of protein from 64 μM to 1 μM for ssRNA2. Electrophoretic mobilities of free (f) and bound (b) forms of each ssRNA species are indicated with arrowheads. (c) ssRNA1-binding at variable concentrations of nsp3a(1–112), as calculated from the EMSA data shown in panel b.](http://jvi.asm.org/)
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these two ssRNAs was assessed by EMSA, using RNA-free protein prepared as described in Materials and Methods. The EMSA showed that nsp3a(1–112) bound to the two ssRNA substrates with similar affinity (Fig. 10b). Measurement of the percentage of bound ssRNA1 at variable concentrations of nsp3a(1–112) (Fig. 10c) allowed us to estimate the dissociation constant of the nsp3a(1–112)-ssRNA1 complex to be approximately 20 nM. In control experiments, no binding was observed with several single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) sequences, or with double-stranded RNA sequences containing the motif AUA (Fig. 11). Furthermore, no binding to smaller ssRNA forms, such as fragments containing only G and U, and Octa-A, Octa-C, Octa-G, and Octa-U could be detected. Thus, RNA binding by nsp3a is consistent with the profile of a sequence-sensitive ssRNA-binding protein.

Following up on these results, NMR chemical shift perturbation studies were performed in order to map the regions of nsp3a(1–112) that are affected by the interaction with ssRNA2. Figure 12a and b show the effect of the addition of ssRNA2 on the chemical shift for each residue in nsp3a(1–112). The residues with large chemical shift perturbations are all located on the same surface area of the protein. It is rather surprising that this contact region comprises the two loops linking β3 and β4, β1 and α1, and the helices α1 and 3₁₀, which contain a surplus of negatively charged amino acid side chains (Fig. 3). There is thus an indication that these chemical shift perturbations might result primarily from long-range effects on the protein conformation rather than from direct protein-RNA contacts.

nsp3a did not interact with other ssRNA species tested. For example, the superposition of the [¹⁵N,¹H]-HSQC spectra of nsp3a in the presence and absence of Octa-U (Fig. 12c) does not show any significant chemical shift differences, indicating that Octa-U does not bind to the protein and supporting the idea that the interaction of nsp3a(1–112) with ssRNA is sequence specific.

**DISCUSSION**

nsp3a is well conserved within different SARS-CoV sequences but exhibits low sequence identity (<35%) to other CoV nsp3 proteins. The closest sequence homologies with the globular domain of nsp3a prevail for the replicase polyproteins of porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus and murine hepatitis virus (Fig. 1). For example, the sequences in strands β3 and β4 are well conserved among all group 2 CoVs, including SARS-CoV, while the region containing the 3₁₀- and α3-helices is less well conserved, and helix α3 actually appears to be absent in the groups 1 and 3 CoVs. Additionally, the regions corresponding to β1 and α1 in nsp3a exhibit a high number of conservative amino acid substitutions. It is worth mentioning that β1, α1, and β4 define the positively charged surface areas of nsp3a (Fig. 3, right-hand panel). The α1- and 3₁₀-helices, which have not been observed in other ubiquitin-like proteins, seem to be important for the interaction of nsp3a with ssRNAs, since they exhibit extensive chemical shift perturbations upon ssRNA interaction and since other ubiquitin homologues do not exhibit RNA binding activity.

Although the observed affinity of nsp3a for ssRNA cannot by itself define a unique biological function, it seems to be important for the overall nsp3 biological role. As indicated above,
nsp3 is a large multidomain protein, and only two of its domains, nsp3b and nsp3d, have been structurally and functionally characterized to date. The analysis of these domains indicates that nsp3 is a multifunctional protein involved in multiple biological processes, such as proteolysis (31) and RNA processing (34). The fact that the presently studied N-terminal region of nsp3 and two of its other domains, nsp3c and nsp3e, exhibit RNA binding activity (B. W. Neuman et al. unpublished data) together with the ADP-ribose-1'-phosphate dephosphorylation activity of nsp3b (34) suggests that this protein could also be involved in the replication and processing of viral RNA. Although the short sequences AUA and GAUA are common in the genome, a possible biological function for the sequence-specific RNA-binding activity observed for nsp3a might be in binding to the 5’ end of the SARS-CoV genome. The sequence AUA occurs several times in the 5’ untranslated region (UTR) of the genome, including at the extreme 5’ end. Proteins that specifically recognize the 5’ UTR might function in cap-dependent translation or, alternatively, in genome replication or subgenomic RNA synthesis.

The observation of two ubiquitin-like structures within nsp3 (nsp3a and the N-terminal domain of nsp3d) has important implications in attempting to assign its likely biological function. In addition to being a cysteine protease, nsp3d is also a potent deubiquitinating enzyme that has been extensively studied (2, 3, 31). It has been speculated earlier that the ubiquitin-like domain of SARS-CoV nsp3d might act as a decoy for cellular ubiquitinating enzymes, thereby protecting nascently synthesized viral proteins from proteasome-mediated degradation. Alternatively, the two ubiquitin-like domains might be involved in modulation of protein-protein interaction pathways of cellular immunomodulators, such as interferons and ISGylating enzymes. This view is reinforced by the structural similarity of the two ubiquitin-like domains of nsp3 with ISG15, an interferon-stimulated gene that is induced as a primary response to diverse stimuli, including viral infections. The SARS-CoV proteins 3b and 6 and the nucleocapsid protein have recently been shown to function as effective interferon antagonists (16).

It seems possible that other SARS-CoV proteins, such as nspl (13) (and possibly host proteins as well) might also be part of these pathways, acting at either the RNA or protein levels. Several studies probing the intricate interplay of viral and host proteins during the progression of the SARS-CoV viral cycle have been reported (22, 33, 39). Since the biological role of nsp3a still remains unclear, structural homology studies could at this point provide insights into the potential function of this domain and its role within the viral cycle.

**nsp3a exhibits 3-D structural similarity with Ras-interacting domains.** Many of the structural homologues of nsp3a interact with other polypeptides to regulate processes such as protein degradation, cell signaling (12), and antiviral response (24). It seems significant that five of them are Ras-interacting proteins that specifically recognize the 5’ UTR might function in cap-dependent translation or, alternatively, in genome replication or subgenomic RNA synthesis.

comparison of the [15N,1H]-HSQC spectra shown in panel a:

$$\Delta \delta_{av} = \{0.5 \Delta \delta_{H} + 0.2 \Delta \delta_{15N}\}^{1/2}$$

(c) Superposition of the [15N,1H]-HSQC spectra of nsp3a(1–112) in the absence (blue) and presence (red) of a fourfold excess of Octa-U.

FIG. 12. (a) Superposition of the [15N,1H]-HSQC spectra of nsp3a(1–112) in the absence (blue) and presence (red) of a fourfold excess of the exogenous ssRNA2 (see text). (b) Plot versus the amino acid sequence of the chemical shift changes in the backbone 1H,15N moieties of nsp3a(1–112) due to ssRNA2 binding. \(\Delta \delta_{av}\) is a weighted average of the \(\Delta \delta_{H}\) and \(\Delta \delta_{15N}\) chemical shift differences determined from the spectra.
proteins. Based on the primary sequences, the ubiquitin α/β-roll superfold comprises five families (14). Members of three of these families, RA (RalGDS/AF6 Ras-association domain), RBD (Raf-like Ras-binding domain) and PI3K_rbd (Ras-binding domain of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-like proteins) interact with Ras (14). A large fraction of the structural homologues of nsp3a(1–112) identified using the software DALI are members of these families. The protein nsp3a(1–112) has the highest structural similarity with the Ras-interacting domain (RID) of RalGDS, a member of the RA family with which it shares the topology of the ubiquitin-like fold (Fig. 13d). This effector of Ras is a stimulator of the guanine nucleotide dissociation mechanism specific for Ral. RID-RalGDS binds Ras through its C-terminal domain and presents low sequence identity with other Ras-interacting proteins but similar hydrophobic profiles (12). The superposition of the 3-D structures of RID-RalGDS and nsp3a(1–112) reveals a region with conserved residues located in strand β1 of nsp3a(1–112) (Fig. 13a and b) that is intimately involved in the Ras contact interface. Similarly, the Ras-binding domain of the AF6 protein (29), which is also a member of the RA family, shows 3-D structural homology with nsp3a(1–112) (Fig. 13d) and similar residues located in the β1 region (Fig. 13b). Both RalGDS and AF6 are known as Ras effectors. Similar patterns are also found in other RA domains with significant levels of structural homology with nsp3a(1–112), e.g., the human Grb7 protein and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rap1 (25).

In general, Ras domains contain a combination of hydrophobic and acidic residues that interact with hydrophobic and positive groups on RIDs. Both nsp3a and the different, afore-
mentioned Ras-interacting proteins exhibit these characteristics (Fig. 13c). In nsp3a, the conserved basic residue R23 is located in strand β1, which exhibits a high degree of consensus with the RA family sequence (Fig. 13b). This suggests that nsp3a could interfere in biological processes that involve Ras. Given its high degree of similarity with RA family proteins, there might be a potential interaction of nsp3a with human Ras proteins during SARS-CoV infection. Ras family proteins act as molecular switches that cycle between inactive GDP- and active GTP-bound states. In this manner, Ras family proteins control cell growth, motility, intracellular transport, and differentiation. The fundamental role of Ras in the cell cycle progression from phase G0 to G1 has been extensively reported (6, 27). Molecular interactions that result in Ras inactivation prevent cell progression to the G1 phase. In this context, murine hepatitis virus is able to induce cell cycle arrest in the G0/G1 phase during the lytic infection cycle (4). It has also been shown that some SARS-CoV proteins are able to induce apoptosis or G0/G1 arrest in transfected cells (17, 43, 44). Overall, the structural similarity of nsp3a(1–112) and RIDs thus leads us to hypothesize that nsp3a may have a physiological role in cell cycle arrest.

**Structure and potential functional role of the C-terminal Glu-rich subdomain.** The Glu-rich C-terminal polypeptide segment 113 to 183 of nsp3a shows less than 25% sequence identity with the corresponding acidic regions in other CoV genomes, whereas the SARS-CoV protein contains overall a somewhat higher percentage of acidic residues than the acidic regions of other CoVs. Similar motifs are found in some eukaryotic proteins (11, 23). In mammals, these acid-rich polypeptide segments are mainly involved in the transport of mRNA from the nucleus to the cytoplasm by association with RNA binding proteins. For example, the pp32/leucine-rich acidic protein associates with HuR, which binds to AU-rich elements of mRNAs to export mRNAs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm (11). Interestingly, Higashino et al. reported that several viruses interfere with this transport in order to increase the production of their virions by the cellular machinery (11).

The NMR data of Fig. 5 and 6 now show that the Glu-rich region of nsp3a forms a flexible tail attached to the globular region of residues 1 to 112. Although sequence similarity to other proteins is not identifiable, several cellular proteins also contain regions with high percentages of acidic residues. The homopolymer of glutamic acid, poly-1-Glu, is unstructured at pH 8 but can adopt helical structures at pH 5 (15). Some acidic regions of polypeptides exhibit a well-defined regular secondary structure when interacting with other proteins. The structure of the RanGAP (35) complex with RanBP1 and RanGAP presents examples of both situations. Ran is a nuclear Ras-related protein that regulates both transport between nucleus and cytoplasm and the formation of the mitotic spindle or nuclear envelope in dividing cells (35). The C-terminal region of RanGAP, which is important for binding affinity, exhibits an acidic motif that is flexibly disordered in both the complexed and the uncomplexed forms of RanGAP, whereas other acid-rich segments of this protein comprise folded secondary structure elements. Given the high degree of similarity of nsp3a(1–112) with some of the other polypeptides involved in protein-protein interaction processes, as well as its location in the large multidomain protein nsp3, the long, flexibly extended Glu-rich segment could have an important role in interactions with other SARS-CoV or host cell molecules, and this domain might adopt a well-defined fold during interactions with other polypeptides. Overall, the structural data reported in this paper indicate that the globular and nonglobular subdomains of nsp3a are important for SARS-CoV infection and persistence and thus represent new potential targets for therapeutic intervention.
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