Furin cleavage of the SARS coronavirus spike glycoprotein enhances cell–cell fusion but does not affect virion entry
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Abstract

The fusogenic potential of Class I viral envelope glycoproteins is activated by proteolytic cleavage of the precursor glycoprotein to generate the mature receptor-binding and transmembrane fusion subunits. Although the coronavirus (CoV) S glycoproteins share membership in this class of envelope glycoproteins, cleavage to generate the respective S1 and S2 subunits appears absent in a subset of CoV species, including that responsible for the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). To determine whether proteolytic cleavage of the S glycoprotein might be important for the newly emerged SARS-CoV, we introduced a furin recognition site at single basic residues within the putative S1–S2 junctional region. We show that furin cleavage at the modified R667 position generates discrete S1 and S2 subunits and potentiates membrane fusion activity. This effect on the cell–cell fusion activity by the S glycoprotein is not, however, reflected in the infectivity of pseudotyped lentiviruses bearing the cleaved glycoprotein. The lack of effect of furin cleavage on virion infectivity mirrors that observed in the normally cleaved S glycoprotein of the murine coronavirus and highlights an additional level of complexity in coronavirus entry.
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Introduction

The coronaviruses (CoVs) are a diverse family of enveloped, positive-sense RNA viruses that are distributed widely among animal species. The recent emergence of a novel human CoV responsible for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) (Drosten et al., 2003; Ksiazek et al., 2003; Kuiken et al., 2003; Peiris et al., 2003) highlights the public health risks associated with the continual evolution and zoonotic spread of new CoVs. The human SARS-CoV of 2002–2003 was likely derived from a CoV of the civet cat, an animal common to live-animal food markets in China (Guan et al., 2003). Cross-species transmission events have also been implicated in the emergence and establishment of other novel CoVs, such as the transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) of pigs (Sanchez et al., 1992) and the human respiratory CoV OC43 (Vijgen et al., 2005).

The spike (S) glycoprotein of the CoV is an important determinant of virus host range and pathogenicity (Lai and Holmes, 2001). This glycoprotein mediates the initial attachment of the virus to host-cell receptors and the subsequent fusion of the viral and cellular membranes to allow entry of the virion core. The S glycoproteins within the CoV family differ among themselves in several features that are reflective of their serologic and phylogenetic groupings (Gonzalez et al., 2003; Lai and Holmes, 2001). Of particular interest is the dimorphism seen with respect to proteolytic maturation of the S glycoprotein precursor. In the group 2 viruses, such as the prototype murine hepatitis virus (MHV), and in the avian group 3 viruses, the S glycoprotein precursor is cleaved, likely by furin-like cellular proteases (de Haan et al., 2004), to yield the canonical receptor-binding (S1) and transmembrane fusion (S2) subunits. By contrast, the S glycoproteins of the group 1 viruses are not subjected to proteolytic cleavage during biogenesis. Interestingly, the newly emerged SARS-CoV displays characteristics of both groups: although the SARS-CoV is phylogenetically related to the group 2 viruses (Gibbs et al., 2004; Snijder et al., 2003), the S glycoprotein does not show evidence of proteolytic maturation (Moore et al., 2004; Simmons et al., 2004; Song et al., 2004; Xiao et al., 2003).
The absence of proteolytic cleavage in certain S glycoproteins stands in contradistinction to the special role for proteolytic maturation in the structure and function of other Class I viral envelope glycoproteins. Cleavage by cellular proteases is universally required to enable the fusion potential of the envelope glycoproteins of retroviruses, orthomyxoviruses, paramyxoviruses, filoviruses and arenaviruses. Upon subsequent activation of the mature Class I envelope glycoprotein, by receptor binding and or/low endosomal pH, these complexes undergo profound structural reorganization to ultimately form highly stable trimer-of-hairpins structures that contribute to fusion of the viral and cellular membranes (Eckert and Kim, 2001; Hughson, 1997; Skehel and Wiley, 2000; Weissenhorn et al., 1999) and references therein). Although the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein is a bona fide member of the Class I fusion proteins (Bosch et al., 2003; Duquerroy et al., 2005; Follis et al., 2005; Supekar et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2004), it paradoxically appears able to initiate membrane fusion without proteolytic activation and to complete the conformational changes without proteolytic separation of the S1 and S2 subunits.

The requirement for proteolytic maturation among the normally cleaved S glycoproteins of the group 2 CoV species is likewise ambiguous. In the MHV glycoprotein, mutations that alter the furin protease recognition sequence (R-X-R/K-R); (Molloy et al., 1999; Rockwell et al., 2002) and references therein) and prevent cleavage generally diminish cell–cell fusion activity (Bos et al., 1995; de Haan et al., 2004; Sturman et al., 1985; Taguchi, 1993; Yamada et al., 1998), yet do not markedly affect viral infectivity or pathogenesis (Bos et al., 1997; de Haan et al., 2004; Hingley et al., 2002). By contrast, MHV infectivity can be enhanced by exogenous treatment with trypsin (Sturman et al., 1985), raising the possibility that proteases that recognize single basic residues may be important. Thus, the role of proteolytic maturation in CoV entry, and the identity of the putative cellular protease, remains unclear.

In this report, we examined the effect of proteolytic cleavage on the ability of the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein to mediate cell–cell fusion and viral infectivity. We show that the introduction of a prototypic furin recognition motif at R667 allows for efficient cleavage of the mutant glycoprotein and increased cell–cell fusion activity. Nonetheless, the cleaved S glycoprotein was not able to enhance the infectivity of pseudotyped virions. These findings reinforce, in the converse, the observations reported in the naturally cleaved group 2 CoVs (Bos et al., 1997; de Haan et al., 2004; Hingley et al., 2002) and call attention to the distinct, albeit unclear, requirements for virion entry in the CoVs.

### Results

#### Sequence analysis of CoV S glycoproteins

The amino acid sequence of the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein was compared with that of representative CoVs of group 1 (HuCoV-229E, HuCoV-NL63, TGEV and FIPV) and group 2 (HuCoV OC43, MHV and BCoV) using the MAXHOM algorithm (Sander and Schneider, 1991). Alignment within the S1 receptor-binding domain was minimal, and meaningful similarity was observed only in the S2 fusion domain. These similarities include the N- and C-terminal heptad-repeat regions that together comprise the α-helical core of the fusion-active trimer-of-hairpins structure and the intervening ‘loop’ region. Extending towards the N-terminus, the alignment could readily be followed through to a well-conserved nonamer (IPTNFSISI) at SARS-CoV positions 696–704 (Fig. 1A). In the group 2 viruses that are proteolytically cleaved (e.g., MHV and BCoV), the furin protease recognition site lies 33 amino acids upstream of this nonamer (RRAHR and RRSRR, respectively). The HuCoV OC43 contains a variant site (RRSRR) at which cleavage may also occur (Kunkel and Herler, 1996). Further upstream of the furin-like recognition site in the group 2 glycoproteins, we identified a GxCx motif that could also be
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**Fig. 1.** Sequence alignment to define the putative S1–S2 junctional region of SARS-CoV S glycoprotein. (A) The MAXHOM algorithm (Sander and Schneider, 1991) as accessed in the PredictProtein suite (http://cubic.bioc.columbia.edu/predictprotein) was used for the initial alignment of the full-length amino acid sequences of the following CoV S glycoproteins: SARS-CoV (Urban; AAP1344), murine hepatitis virus (MHV; AAA87062), bovine CoV (BCoV; AAF25499), human respiratory CoV OC43 (AAT84362), feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV; VGH179), porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV; AAT00645) and the human respiratory CoVs 229E (AAK32191) and NL63 (AA58177). The regions containing the furin cleavage site (in group 2 viruses; underlined) and the S1 GxCx motif and conserved S2 nonamer (gray) were manually adjusted to yield the alignment shown. A tyrosine (Y) present in all S1 domains is also underlined. Deletions in SARS-CoV and the group 1 viruses (.) are arbitrarily displayed. (B) The two basic residues in the junctional region of the wild-type SARS-CoV S glycoprotein (R667 and K672) are indicated by diamonds. The furin protease recognition site engineered at these sites are underlined in the HTR, HTVR and SLLR mutants.
made to align with the group 1 and SARS-CoV glycoproteins (Fig. 1A). We speculate that the conserved GxGx and nonamer motifs delineate the junction between the S1 and S2 domains in the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein.

We find considerable sequence variation and deletion between the GxGx motif and the conserved S2 nonamer in the uncleaved group 1 CoV S glycoproteins. In FIPV and TGEV, the deletions remove all basic amino acids that might be used in furin- or trypsin-mediated cleavage. By contrast, one or more basic residues remain in the glycoproteins of SARS-CoV and the human CoVs 229E and NL63. The alignment for these human viruses in Fig. 1B highlights the single arginine at position 667 of the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein, which may signal a protease-sensitive site. Another basic residue in this region of the SARS-CoV glycoprotein (lysine 672) may likewise be relevant to protease recognition.

**Mutation at R667, but not K672, affects S-glycoprotein-mediated cell–cell fusion**

Although biochemical evidence for proteolytic cleavage in the wild-type SARS-CoV glycoprotein is largely negative (Moore et al., 2004; Simmons et al., 2004; Song et al., 2004; Xiao et al., 2003), we cannot exclude the possibility that trace levels of cleavage by proteases that recognize single (trypsin-like) or multiple (furin-like) basic residues may in fact be responsible for S-glycoprotein-mediated membrane fusion. To test this hypothesis, we mutated the two basic amino acids in the presumptive S1–S2 junction region to serine. As shown in Fig. 2A (top), the wild-type, R667S and K672S glycoproteins were comparably expressed to yield the intact S glycoprotein, which migrated as two precursor bands: the mature, terminally glycosylated form of 220 kDa molecular weight ($S_0$) and an Endo H-sensitive ($S_1$) form of 200 kDa (Song et al., 2004) and K.E. Follis et al. / Virology 350 (2006) 358–369. Upon deglycosylation with PNGase F, the yield of the S polypeptide (Fig. 2A, bottom). The R667S and K672S mutations did not appear to alter the expression or glycosylation of the glycoproteins, and we too find no evidence of proteolytic cleavage to form S1 and S2 subunits. Interestingly, however, the ability of the R667S mutant to promote cell–cell fusion was consistently reduced to 60% of wild-type levels (Fig. 2B). Fusion by the K672S mutant was largely unaffected. These results point to R667 as an important amino acid in the S glycoprotein and perhaps as a site susceptible to proteolytic cleavage.

**Insertion of furin recognition sites in the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein**

To investigate whether proteolytic cleavage at the basic amino acid residues, were it to occur, might facilitate cell–cell fusion activity, we mutated the wild-type SARS-CoV glycoprotein to construct a prototypic furin recognition site (RRSRR) at either position. Based on our alignment, we engineered three variants (Fig. 1B): one at position R667 to replace the resident sequence with YHTVRRSRR (referred to as HTR) and another at the same position designed to accommodate the deletion indicated in the alignment, YHTVRRSRR (HTVR). The sequence at K672 (RSTSQK) was shortened to RRSRR in order to include the two naturally occurring basic residues (the SLLR variant). These furin-site-containing glycoproteins were expressed in COS-7 cells and isolated using the C-terminal S-peptide (Spee) affinity tag (Kim and Raines, 1993). The mock lane represents cells transfected without vTF7-3 infection. Proteins were resolved by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in NuPAGE 3–8% Tris–acetate gels (Invitrogen) either as the isolated glycoproteins (above) or following deglycosylation using PNGase F (below). The Endo-H-resistant ($S_0$) and Endo-H-sensitive ($S_1$) forms of the S glycoprotein are indicated, as is the fully deglycosylated S polypeptide (S). [$^{14}$C]-methylated Rainbow molecular weight markers are indicated. The images are printed dark to highlight the absence of proteolytic cleavage. (B) The ability of the S glycoproteins to promote ACE2-dependent cell–cell fusion was detected using the recombinant vaccinia virus-based β-galactosidase reporter assay (Nussbaum et al., 1994; York et al., 2004). COS-7 cells expressing the wild-type and mutant glycoproteins were co-cultured with COS-7 cells transiently expressing the SARS-CoV cellular receptor ACE2 and infected with the fusion reporter recombinant vaccinia virus vCB21R-lacZ (Nussbaum et al., 1994; York et al., 2004). X-gal was used to detect β-galactosidase activity arising from cell–cell fusion. The number of blue syncytia is shown from two experiments, and error bars represent one standard deviation. Transfection efficiencies were comparable in all cases.
in the naturally cleaved S glycoprotein of MHV (Krueger et al., 2001), the S1 and S2 subunits derived from the furin-site-containing glycoproteins remain associated upon cell lysis in nonionic detergent.

The virus-neutralizing S-glycoprotein-specific monoclonal antibody (MAb) F26G18 (Berry et al., 2004) recognizes a predominantly linear epitope in the S1 subunit of the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein (unpublished). This enabled us to determine whether the S1 subunit was shed from the proteolytically cleaved complex, as has been reported for the naturally cleaved MHV S glycoprotein (Krueger et al., 2001). Upon immunoprecipitation from the medium (Fig. 3; right), the S1 subunit was readily isolated in cultures expressing HTVR and HTR. A small amount of S1 was also shed from the SLLR mutant. No S1 subunit was found in the culture medium from cells expressing the wild-type SARS-CoV S glycoprotein, consistent with the lack of proteolytic cleavage. Taken together, these studies indicate that proteolytic cleavage of the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein can be achieved by the introduction of a furin recognition motif, especially at R667. The differences in the degree of cleavage between the two R667 mutants, and in SLLR, suggest specificity in the recognition of the engineered furin sites.

HTVR is transported to the cell surface as the wild-type glycoprotein

Based on our observation that the S1 subunit is shed from cells expressing the furin-site-containing glycoproteins, we investigated the expression and receptor-binding capability of the complex on the cell surface. COS-7 cells transiently expressing the wild-type and HTVR glycoproteins were stained to detect the S1 domain using MAb F26G18 (Berry et al., 2004). Upon flow cytometric analysis (Fig. 4), the population of positively staining cells was comparable in number and fluorescence intensity in both the wild-type and HTVR mutant, suggesting comparable transport and cell-surface expression of the two glycoproteins. Studies using recombinant soluble ACE2 (Fig. 4) failed to demonstrate significant differences in the capacity of the cell-surface glycoproteins to bind receptor.

Membrane fusion activity of the furin-site-containing mutant glycoproteins

We then investigated the consequences of proteolytic cleavage on the ability of the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein to mediate membrane fusion. As shown in Fig. 5, COS-7 cells expressing the HTVR glycoprotein were markedly enhanced in their ability to fuse with cells expressing the ACE2 receptor. In multiple experiments, this mutant generated 3- to 8-fold more syncitia than did the wild-type S glycoprotein. The HTVR glycoprotein was also more fusogenic than the wild-type (1.8 fold), whereas the insertion in SLLR appeared to inhibit fusion. Discounting potential detrimental effects of the amino acid substitutions per se, the fusogenicity of the mutant glycoproteins generally correlated with the extent of proteolytic cleavage (Fig. 3). Recombinant expression of ACE2 receptor was required in all cases for fusion. The marked increase in fusogenicity in concert with proteolytic cleavage suggests strongly that proteolytic maturation of the CoV S glycoprotein impacts the ability of the glycoprotein to mediate membrane fusion.

Proteolytic cleavage of the mutant glycoproteins is mediated by furin protease

We further investigated our assumption that proteolytic cleavage in the furin-site-containing mutants is mediated by the furin protease, and not by adventitious cellular enzymes that may also cleave in the highly hydrophilic furin recognition site. A Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell line deficient in furin protease (FD11; (Gordon et al., 1995)) was obtained from S. Leppla (NIH) and used to express the S glycoproteins. The FD11 cell line was derived following chemical mutagenesis and selection for resistance to anthrax toxin protective antigen (PA), which is activated intracellularly by furin cleavage (Gordon et al., 1995). As shown in Fig. 6, proteolytic processing of the HTVR, HTR and SLLR glycoproteins was abrogated in the furin-deficient FD11 cell line. The S1 and S2 subunits were absent in FD11 cells, and S1 was not detected in the cell culture medium. These findings provide evidence that proteolytic cleavage in the furin-site-containing mutants is indeed mediated by the furin protease.

Efforts to determine the relative fusogenicity of the cleaved and uncleaved glycoproteins in the CHO and FD11 cells were confounded, however, by differences in the levels of S glycoprotein expression. Although cell–cell fusion by both
the wild-type and HTVR glycoproteins was dramatically reduced in furin-deficient FD11 cells (Fig. 7B), the relative transfection efficiencies in the two cell lines also differed markedly (4% vs. 20% in the parental CHO cells). The basis for this difference is unclear and was not mitigated in a furin-expressing cell line derived from FD11 (Gordon et al., 1995). Direct comparison of fusion activity in the two cell lines was therefore difficult.

To circumvent this problem, we co-expressed the S glycoprotein with furin cDNA in FD11 cells. As anticipated, recombinant furin expression was required for proteolytic cleavage of the HTVR glycoprotein in FD11 cells (Fig. 7A). Despite the reduced levels of S glycoprotein expression in the FD11 cells, S1 and S2 subunits were generated and S1 glycoprotein was shed into the culture medium with good efficiency. Cell–cell fusion by the HTVR glycoprotein was also enhanced by co-expression of furin in FD11 cells (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, the wild-type S glycoprotein was also cleaved in CHO cells co-expressing the furin cDNA to yield S1 and S2 subunits that co-migrated with those in the HTVR glycoprotein (Fig. 7A). This may represent exuberant cleavage at the suboptimal SLLR_667 site in the wild-type glycoprotein. Concomitant with this cleavage event, cell–cell fusion by the wild-type S glycoprotein was enhanced by co-expression of furin (Fig. 7B). In addition to the nominal S1 subunit, these cells also shed a larger glycoprotein (indicated by the asterisk in Fig. 7A) whose molecular weight is consistent with cleavage at a previously suggested furin recognition motif at position 758–761 (RNTR (Xiao et al., 2003)), a site we place by sequence alignment in the S2 subunit (Fig. 1A).

R667 is important for furin-mediated cleavage in the wild-type S glycoprotein

To specifically determine which of the basic residues within the junctional region is utilized for cleavage of the wild-type S glycoprotein, we re-examined the expression of the R667S and K672S mutant glycoproteins in CHO cells co-transfected to over-express the furin cDNA. S1 and S2 subunits were generated in cell lysates of both the wild-type and K672S glycoproteins, but not in the R667S mutant. Consistent with this finding, only cells expressing the wild-type and K672S glycoproteins were able to shed S1 glycoprotein to the cell culture medium (Fig. 8A). These findings suggest that the furin-mediated cleavage event at R667 is disrupted by the

![Fig. 4. Flow cytometric analysis of cell surface S glycoprotein. COS-7 cells transiently expressing the wild-type and HTVR mutant S glycoproteins were stained using either (top) MAb F26G18 (Berry et al., 2004) and a secondary fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-mouse antibody or (bottom) a recombinant soluble ACE2 receptor, biotinylated goat anti-ACE2 antibody and FITC-conjugated streptavidin. Cells were analyzed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and propidium-iodide-staining (dead) cells were excluded. Background staining of mock-transfected cells is shown in the left panel; non-expressing cells are also evident in the transfected cell populations. Expressing cells were defined using a gate of ≥30 (<0.1% of the mock-transfected population).]
substitution of serine and, importantly, is responsible for the generation of the S1 subunit in the wild-type and HTVR glycoproteins. By contrast, the larger S1-like fragment thought to represent cleavage at the RNTR site at position 758–761 (indicated by the asterisk in Fig. 8A) was produced and shed by both the R667S and K672S mutants and by the wild-type glycoprotein.

We had shown that the membrane fusion activity of the R667S glycoprotein was reduced in COS-7 cells relative to the wild-type glycoprotein (Fig. 2B), and a similar result was obtained in CHO cells (Fig. 8B). Co-expression of furin cDNA in the CHO cells resulted in a 5-fold increase in fusion activity by the wild-type and the K672S glycoproteins (Fig. 8B). The increase was considerably blunted in the R667S mutant, consistent with the reduction in furin-mediated cleavage at the mutated SLLS<sub>667</sub> site. Thus, maximal cell–cell fusion activity correlates with the presence of the S1 subunit generated by cleavage at R667. The larger S1-like product, generated in all glycoproteins and thought to reflect cleavage at position 761, is likely not a key contributor to fusion competence.

Enhanced cell–cell fusion activity does not translate to increased virion infectivity

Studies of the normally cleaved S glycoprotein of group 2 MHVs have highlighted a clear and puzzling distinction between the roles of proteolytic cleavage in cell–cell fusion and in virion infectivity and pathogenicity (Bos et al., 1997; de Haan et al., 2004; Hingley et al., 2002). To determine whether proteolytic cleavage of the normally uncleaved SARS-CoV S glycoprotein affects virion infectivity, we generated pseudotyped retroviral virions bearing the wild-type and HTVR SARS-CoV glycoproteins (Moore et al., 2004; Simmons et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004). For these studies, we utilized the HIV-1 provirus-based vector pNL4-3.Luc.R+ (Yang et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004). For these studies, we utilized the HIV-1 provirus-based vector pNL4-3.Luc.R+ (Yang et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004). For these studies, we utilized the HIV-1 provirus-based vector pNL4-3.Luc.R+ (Yang et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004). For these studies, we utilized the HIV-1 provirus-based vector pNL4-3.Luc.R+ (Yang et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004).
normally uncleaved SARS-CoV S glycoprotein and previously in the normally cleaved glycoprotein of MHV (Bos et al., 1997; de Haan et al., 2004; Hingley et al., 2002), highlights unresolved complexities in the pathway used by CoVs to infect target cells.

Discussion

The paradigm developed from the study of other Class I viral fusion proteins – that proteolytic maturation of a precursor glycoprotein is absolutely required to activate the
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**Fig. 7.** Co-expression of furin cDNA enhances proteolytic cleavage and cell–cell fusion. (A) Wild-type or HTVR S glycoprotein plasmid was co-transfected with a furin-expressing plasmid (+furin cDNA), or a pcDNA3.1 control (−furin cDNA), into furin-deficient FD11 or wild-type CHO cells (Gordon et al., 1995). The S glycoproteins were expressed and metabolically labeled as described in Fig. 3. Isolated glycoproteins from cell lysates (top panel) were also examined following deglycosylation with PNGase F (middle panel); shed S1 subunit was immunoprecipitated from the cell culture medium and examined following deglycosylation (bottom panel). Molecular weight markers and forms of the S glycoprotein are as described in Fig. 3. A 69 kDa molecular weight form of S1 was also detected in the culture medium upon PNGase F-treatment (indicated by *), as was a 140 kDa polypeptide which is consistent with blebbing of the intact S glycoprotein. (B) Cell–cell fusion was assessed using the indicated S-glycoprotein-expressing cells and COS-7 target cells expressing exogenous ACE2 receptor. The number of blue syncytia is shown from two experiments, and error bars represent one standard deviation. Small error bars are not shown.

**Fig. 8.** Co-expression of furin cDNA results in cleavage and enhanced fusogenicity of the wild-type and K672S glycoproteins. (A) Wild-type or mutant S glycoprotein plasmid (R667S or K672S) was co-transfected into wild-type CHO cells with a furin-expressing plasmid (+furin cDNA) or a pcDNA3.1 control (−furin cDNA). Isolated glycoproteins from cell lysates (cells; top panel) and immunoprecipitated S1 subunit from culture medium (bottom panel) were deglycosylated with PNGase F. Molecular weight markers and forms of the S polypeptide, including the larger S1 fragment (*), are as described in Fig. 7. The intact S polypeptide present in the medium (bottom panel) is shown for internal size reference. (B) Cell–cell fusion was assessed using the S-glycoprotein-expressing CHO cells and COS-7 target cells expressing exogenous ACE2 receptor. The number of blue syncytia is shown from two experiments, and error bars represent one standard deviation. Smaller error bars are not shown.
fusogenic potential of the envelope glycoprotein complex – is not neatly applied to the CoV S glycoprotein. Some naturally occurring CoV S glycoproteins undergo proteolytic cleavage (those of the group 2 and 3 CoVs) and others do not (those of the group 1 viruses). Judging that it is unlikely that a proteolytically intact S glycoprotein is capable of mediating membrane fusion, one is left to consider the alternative that the fusion activity of the group 1 viruses, and the newly emerged SARS-CoV, may derive from proteolytic cleavage that has heretofore gone undetected. In this report, we have examined the role of furin cleavage on the fusogenicity of the normally uncleaved SARS-CoV S glycoprotein. We found that introduction of a synthetic furin recognition sequence at R667 in the putative S1–S2 junctional region enabled efficient cleavage of the S glycoprotein to generate discrete S1 and S2 subunits and markedly increased the ability of the spike complex to mediate cell–cell fusion. In the wild-type S glycoprotein, overexpression of furin cDNA made manifest a cleavage event that has not been otherwise observed (see also Bergeron et al., 2005), most likely at the naturally occurring sequence SLLR667. This exuberant cleavage likewise resulted in an increase in fusogenicity. Direct physical confirmation of cleavage at R667 is however lacking. Although a synthetic peptide bearing the SLLR site was insensitive to furin cleavage in vitro (Bergeron et al., 2005), it is possible that this sequence may be recognized under some natural conditions. For instance, cleavage might take place on the extracellular virion, perhaps in specialized tissue environments (Klenk and Garten, 1994) or upon endocytosis (Nash and Buchmeier, 1997; Simmons et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004).

In our studies, we show a consistent correlation between furin cleavage at SLLR667 and an increased ability of the glycoprotein to mediate cell–cell fusion. By contrast, furin-mediated cleavage of the S glycoprotein at a more C-terminal recognition motif, RNTR761, does not appear to affect fusogenicity. Although cleavage at these sites has previously been reported (Bergeron et al., 2005), our studies demonstrate the importance of the SLLR667 cleavage in fusion competence of the S glycoprotein. This finding is in agreement with the evolutionary homology in this S1–S2 junctional region among CoV species (Fig. 1).

Based on the widespread conservation of furin recognition sites in the group 2 and 3 CoVs, we have focused on this protease. Interestingly, inhibition of furin activity by a peptidic furin inhibitor has recently been reported to prevent the cytopathic effect of SARS-CoV infection in cell culture (Bergeron et al., 2005). Nonetheless, other proteolytic enzymes have also been implicated in CoV entry (Matsuyama et al., 2005; Simmons et al., 2004; Storz et al., 1981; Sturman et al., 1985). The recent finding that SARS-CoV entry can be blocked by a specific inhibitor of cathepsin L (Huang et al., 2006; Simmons et al., 2005) highlights the possible role of endosomal proteases. The multiple opportunities for proteolytic activation of the S glycoprotein in natural infection remain to be examined.

The specific facilitation of cell–cell fusion activity by furin cleavage of the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein reported here extends previous findings from studies of the naturally cleaved group 2 CoVs, in which reductions in S glycoprotein cleavage have been associated with deficiencies in cell–cell fusion.

Fig. 9. Pseudotyped virions bearing HTVR S glycoprotein are not enhanced in their infectivity. (A) Metabolically labeled pseudotyped virions bearing the co-S Δ19 or co-HTVR Δ19 glycoprotein were pelleted through 20% sucrose and solubilized in 1% Triton X-100 lysis buffer prior to immunoprecipitation. HIV proteins were isolated using anti-HIV immunoglobulin from infected individuals (HIVIG; Prince et al., 1991), and SARS-CoV S glycoproteins were immunoprecipitated using MAb F26G18 (Berry et al., 2004). Particles lacking any envelope glycoprotein (null) served as controls. S-glycoprotein-containing samples were heated to 100 °C prior to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis to disrupt S2 oligomers. Molecular weight markers, HIV proteins (Gag p24 and p17) and forms of the S glycoprotein are indicated. (B) Pseudotyped virions bearing the co-S Δ19 or co-HTVR Δ19 glycoprotein, or the VSV G glycoprotein control, were used to infect 293T cells transiently expressing ACE2 (+) or native 293T cells (−). Fresh pseudotyped virion stocks were applied neat to 293T cell microcultures, and infection was determined 2 days later by chemiluminescence of the luciferase reporter (reported in relative light units (RLUs)). No infection by S-glycoprotein-containing virions was detected (ϕ) in the absence of ACE2 expression. Error bars represent one standard deviation.
activity (Bos et al., 1995; de Haan et al., 2004; Sturman et al., 1985; Taguchi, 1993; Yamada et al., 1998). Despite a reasonable correlation between proteolytic cleavage and membrane fusion activity in both the naturally cleaved and uncleaved S glycoproteins, the presence or absence of furin cleavage appears to have surprisingly little impact on the infectivity of the virion particle. MHV virions that contain significant mutations in the furin-recognition site are not proteolytically cleaved yet remain infectious and pathogenic (Bos et al., 1997; de Haan et al., 2004; Hingley et al., 2002). Here, we demonstrate the converse—that pseudotyped virions bearing the cleaved HTVR glycoprotein are no more capable of mediating virion entry than those bearing the uncleaved wild-type S glycoprotein.

The discordant effects of proteolytic cleavage highlight the potential for differences in the requirements and pathways for S-glycoprotein-mediated cell–cell fusion and viral entry. This dichotomy is further reflected in the ability of exogenous trypsin to enhance SARS-CoV S-glycoprotein-mediated cell–cell fusion, but not infectivity by pseudotyped virion (Simmons et al., 2004). Reciprocally, cell–cell fusion by the MHV S glycoprotein is prevented by a peptidic furin inhibitor, whereas MHV infection is not (de Haan et al., 2004).

The recent finding that SARS-CoV entry is blocked by a specific inhibitor of the endosomal protease cathepsin L provides one plausible resolution to this conundrum (Huang et al., 2006; Simmons et al., 2005): the cleavage critical for viral entry may take place unobserved upon endocytosis or during vesicular trafficking. Other cleavage events mediated by furin, while evolutionarily conserved and no doubt important, may serve supportive or even distinct functions. A similar paradigm has been proposed for entry by the Ebola filovirus (Chandran et al., 2005). As in MHV, furin cleavage of the Ebola virus envelope glycoprotein precursor occurs in nature yet appears unnecessary for viral entry (Neumann et al., 2002; Wool-Lewis and Bates, 1999).

Although further investigation of the role of endosomal proteases in viral entry will no doubt reveal additional detail to this novel mechanism for envelope glycoprotein activation, the function of the highly conserved furin cleavage site in the CoV life cycle remains unclear. In this regard, it is worthwhile to note another trait of the CoV S glycoproteins that is phylogenetically linked to the presence or absence of the furin cleavage site. In the ectodomain of S glycoproteins that remain uncleaved (group 1 CoVs), the N- and C-terminal heptad-repeat regions are extended by two additional in-register units (14 amino acids) relative to those of S glycoproteins that normally undergo furin cleavage (groups 2 and 3 CoVs). These heptad-repeat regions in Class I viral fusion proteins form the six α-helical core of the trimer-of-hairpins structure upon envelope glycoprotein activation and, in doing so, contribute energetically toward the initiation of membrane fusion (Eckert and Kim, 2001; Hughson, 1997; Skehel and Wiley, 2000; Weisshorn et al., 1999) and references therein). By this model, the extended heptad repeats in the group 1 viruses may facilitate formation of the six-helix bundle and membrane fusion, perhaps in compensation for the lack of furin cleavage. Biophysical and structural evidence for this suggestion is however lacking.

It is of interest, then, that the S glycoproteins of the SARS-CoV and its related CoVs (Guan et al., 2003; Lau et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005) represent an exception to this rule in the other CoVs. Despite the lack of furin cleavage, these glycoproteins contain only the shorter heptad-repeat regions. The consequences of this exception for viral replication, pathogenesis and CoV ecology are unknown. Further studies of CoV entry and the role of proteolytic cleavage events in this process are likely to impact our understanding of CoV pathogenesis and our ability to respond to newly emerging CoVs.

Materials and methods

Molecular reagents and monoclonal antibodies

The S glycoprotein gene of the Urbani isolate of SARS-CoV (Ksiaszek et al., 2003) was obtained from P. Rota (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta) and adapted for expression in pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). We further modified this plasmid to introduce an S-peptide (Speg) affinity tag (Kim and Raines, 1993) at the cytoplasmic C-terminus of the S glycoprotein to facilitate manipulations. The Speg addition is innocuous with regard to S-glycoprotein-mediated membrane fusion (Follis et al., 2005), in keeping with reports of other C-terminal tags (Bisht et al., 2004; Bosch et al., 2004; Moore et al., 2004). Mutations were introduced by using QuikChange mutagenesis (Stratagene) and confirmed by DNA sequencing. A plasmid encoding the cell surface receptor of the SARS-CoV, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) was provided by M. Farzan (Harvard Medical School, Boston) (Li et al., 2003). Mouse monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) directed to the S glycoprotein were provided by J. Berry (National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, Winnipeg) (Berry et al., 2004; Gubbins et al., 2005). The human furin precursor cDNA (Bresnahan et al., 1990) was from G. Thomas (Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland) and was transferred to pcDNA3.1 for expression. Wild-type Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and the furin-deficient derivative FD11 (Gordon et al., 1995) were provided by S. Leppla (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda).

Expression of the S glycoprotein

The full-length S glycoprotein was transiently expressed using the pcDNA3.1 T7 promoter and the recombinant vaccinia virus T7 polymerase (Follis et al., 2005; Fuerst et al., 1986). T7 polymerase was obtained from T. Fuerst and B. Moss (National Institutes of Health) through the NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program. COS-7 cells were infected with the recombinant vaccinia virus at a multiplicity of eight in Dulbecco’s Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM) containing 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cytosine arabinoside (araC) was added to the medium at 10 μM to limit vaccinia virus expression (Hruby et al., 1980). CHO cells were infected at a multiplicity of eight in F12 medium containing 2%
FBS. After 30 min, infected cells were washed and transfected with the S glycoprotein expression plasmid using FuGene-6 reagent (Roche Biochemicals). Metabolic labeling using 80 \( \mu \text{Ci/ml} \) of \(^{35}\text{S}\)-ProMix (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) was initiated 6 h post-transfection in methionine- and cysteine-free DMEM containing 10% dialyzed FBS and 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) arac and was continued for 12–16 h. Cell culture supernatants were collected, cleared and filtered (0.2 \( \mu \text{m} \)) and were made to 1% Triton X-100 and 1 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) each of protease inhibitors (aprotinin, leupeptin and pepstatin) prior to immunoprecipitation using the S domain-directed MAb F26G18 (Berry et al., 2004) and Protein A-Sepharose (Sigma). Cells were washed in physiological buffered saline (PBS) and lysed using cold Tris–saline buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl and 150 mM NaCl at pH 7.5) containing 1% Triton X-100 nonionic detergent and protease inhibitors. A soluble fraction was prepared by centrifugation at 15,000 \( \times \text{g} \) for 15 min at 4 °C. The S glycoproteins in cell lysates were isolated using the Speg affinity tag and S-protein agarose (Novagen). Comparable results were obtained by immunoprecipitation from cell lysates (not shown). In some studies, the glycoproteins were deglycosylated using peptide N-glycosidase (PNGase F; New England Biolabs). The isolated glycoproteins and deglycosylated polypeptides were resolved using NuPAGE 3–8% Tris–acetate gels (Invitrogen) and the recommended sample buffer containing lithium dodecyl sulfate and reducing agent. Molecular size markers are \([^{13}\text{C}]\)-methylated Rainbow proteins (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). Radiolabeled proteins were imaged using a Fuji FLA-3000G imager and analyzed using ImageGauge software (Fuji).

**Flow cytometry**

COS-7 cells transiently expressing the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein were labeled using either the S1-directed MAb F26G18 (Berry et al., 2004) and a secondary fluorescein-isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) or with recombinant soluble ACE2 receptor (R&D Systems; 0.5 \( \mu \text{g} \) in 100 \( \mu \text{l} \)), biotinylated goat anti-ACE2 antibody (R&D Systems) and FITC-conjugated streptavidin (BD Biosciences). Cells were subsequently stained using propidium iodide (1 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \)) and then fixed in 2% formaldehyde. Populations were analyzed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer and CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

**S-glycoprotein-mediated cell–cell fusion**

The \( \beta \)-galactosidase fusion reporter assay (Nussbaum et al., 1994) was used to assess the ability of the S glycoproteins to mediate receptor-dependent cell–cell fusion (Follis et al., 2005). The recombinant vaccinia virus vCB21R-lacZ, expressing \( \beta \)-galactosidase under the control of the T7 promoter (Alkhatab et al., 1996) was obtained from C. Broder, P. Kennedy and E. Berger (NIH) through the NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program. In these studies, cells infected with vTF7-3 and expressing the S glycoprotein were co-cultured with target COS-7 cells transfected to express the SARS-CoV cellular receptor ACE2 (Li et al., 2003) and infected with vCB21R-lacZ. Upon fusion of the effector and target cells, \( \beta \)-galactosidase expression was induced. To generate the target cell population, COS-7 cells that had been transfected 1 day previously with the ACE2 expression plasmid (Li et al., 2003) were incubated with vCB21R-lacZ at a multiplicity of 10 and the infection was allowed to proceed overnight in the presence of 100 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) of the vaccinia virus assembly inhibitor rifampicin. The following day, S-glycoprotein-expressing cells and ACE2-expressing target cells were co-cultured for 10 h in medium containing both arac and rifampicin (Hruby et al., 1980; York et al., 2004). Cultures were then fixed for 5 min at 4 °C in cold PBS containing 2% formaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde, and \( \beta \)-galactosidase activity was detected using the chromogenic X-gal substrate (Nussbaum et al., 1994). The numbers of blue syncytia per 24-well microculture dish were determined microscopically.

**Pseudotyped virions**

Lentiviral virions bearing the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein were generated using the HIV-1 proviral vector pNL4-3.Luc.R+E- (Connor et al., 1995) obtained through the NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent program from N. Landau (Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA). To eliminate the requirement for recombinant vaccinia virus vTF7-3 in these studies, a codon-optimized S glycoprotein gene (Urbani strain; co-S-C9; Moore et al., 2004) was obtained from M. Farzan (Harvard Medical School, Boston). The S glycoprotein reading frame was subsequently modified to remove the C-terminal tag, to generate the HTVR mutation and to delete the C-terminal 19 amino acids. This latter \( \Delta 19 \) truncation increased the efficiency of pseudotyping (Fukushi et al., 2005; Giroglou et al., 2004; Moore et al., 2004). A plasmid expressing the vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) G glycoprotein was provided by J. Rose (Yale University) and served as a control in our studies.

Pseudotyped virions were generated by co-transfection of the pNL4-3.Luc.R+E- and pcDNA3.1-based envelope glycoprotein-expressing plasmids at a 4:1 ratio in COS-7 cells. Supernatants were harvested after 2 days and filtered (0.45 \( \mu \text{m} \)) produce pseudotyped virion stocks. In these experiments, transfection efficiencies in the cultures were gauged by expression of the pNL4-3.Luc.R+E- encoded luciferase gene. Chemiluminescence was assayed using Luc-System System reagents (Applied Biosystems) and a Tropix TR717 microplate luminometer. Luciferase expression was comparable in transfected cultures expressing either the wild-type or HTVR co-S glycoprotein. Pseudotyped virion stocks were used fresh to infect human 293T cells that had previously been transfected to transiently express human ACE2. Infection was determined 2 days later by expression of the luciferase reporter.

Metabolically labeled pseudotyped virions were examined to characterize the relative efficiency of S glycoprotein incorporation. Transfected cultures were labeled for 24 h prior to harvest using 80 \( \mu \text{Ci/ml} \) of \(^{35}\text{S}\)-ProMix (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), and supernatant virions were purified by ultracentrifugation through 20% sucrose. Pelleted particles were lysed and immunoprecipitated using MAb F26G18 as...
described above. HIV proteins were separately precipitated using anti-HIV immunoglobulin from infected individuals (HIVIG; Prince et al., 1991).
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