Inhibition of SARS-coronavirus infection in vitro by S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine, a nitric oxide donor compound
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SUMMARY

Introduction: The recent outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) warrants the search for effective antiviral agents to treat the disease. This study describes the assessment of the antiviral potential of nitric oxide (NO) against SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) strain Frankfurt-1 replicating in African Green Monkey (Vero E6) cells.

Results: Two organic NO donor compounds, S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (SNAP) and sodium nitroprusside (SNP), were tested in a broad range of concentrations. The non-nitrosylated form of SNAP, N-acetylpenicillamine (NAP), was included as a control compound in the assay. Antiviral activity was estimated by the inhibition of the SARS-CoV cytopathic effect in Vero E6 cells, determined by a tetrazolium-based colorimetric method. Cytotoxicity of the compounds was tested in parallel.

Conclusion: The survival rate of SARS-CoV infected cells was greatly increased by the treatment with SNAP, and the concentration of this compound needed to inhibit the viral cytopathic effect to 50% was 222 µM, with a selectivity index of 3. No anti-SARS-CoV effect could be detected for SNP and NAP.
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an antiviral agent such as ribavirin or a combination of lopinavir/ritonavir and steroids. It is however unclear whether any of these treatments were able to alter the ultimate outcome of the disease.\(^2,3\)

During the SARS epidemic, Chen and colleagues included inhalation of NO gas in the treatment of a number of SARS patients. Medicinal NO gas, a gaseous blend of nitric oxide (0.8%) and nitrogen (99.2%), was given for three days or longer, initially at 30 ppm and then at 20 and 10 ppm on the second and third day (unpublished data). Their findings suggest not only an immediate improvement of oxygenation but also a lasting effect on the disease itself after termination of inhalation of NO.

NO is a key molecule in the pathogenesis of infectious diseases. In a variety of microbial infections, NO biosynthesis occurs through the expression of an inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS). This molecule has been reported to have antiviral effects against a variety of DNA and RNA viruses, including mouse hepatitis virus (MHV), a murine coronavirus.\(^4\) In a recent study, replication of two SARS-CoV isolates (FFM-1 and FFM-2) was shown to be greatly inhibited by glycyrrhizin, an active compound of liquorice roots.\(^5\) Glycyrrhizin upregulates the expression of iNOS and production of NO in macrophages.\(^6\)

Although the initial global outbreak of SARS appears to have been successfully contained, SARS will remain a serious concern while there continues to be no suitable vaccine or effective drug treatment.

### Materials and methods

In this study we examined the antiviral activity of nitric oxide (NO) against SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) isolate Frankfurt-1 (FFM-1). Two NO donor compounds, S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (SNAP, Sigma, Belgium) and sodium nitroprusside (SNP, Sigma, Belgium), were added to confluent African Green monkey (Vero E6) cells. SNAP releases NO in aqueous solutions with a half-life of approximately 4 hours.\(^7\) The non-nitrosylated form of SNAP, N-acetylpenicillamine (NAP, Sigma, Belgium) was included as a control compound in the assay. Antiviral activity and cytotoxicity measurements were based on the viability of cells that had been infected or not infected with 100 CCID\(_{50}\) (50% cell culture infective doses) of the SARS-CoV in the presence of various concentrations of the test compounds. Three days after infection, the number of viable cells was quantified by a tetrazolium-based colorimetric method, in which the reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2-(4-sulphonyl)-2H-tetrazolum (MTS) dye (CellTitier 96 AQuino One Solution kit, Promega, The Netherlands) by cellular dehydrogenases to an insoluble coloured formazan was measured in a spectrophotometer (Multiskan EX, Thermo Labsystems, Belgium) at 492 nm.\(^8,9\) The selectivity index was determined as the ratio of the concentration of the compound that reduced cell viability to 50% (CC\(_{50}\) or 50% cytotoxic concentration) to the concentration of the compound needed to inhibit the viral cytopathic effect to 50% of the control value (IC\(_{50}\) or 50% inhibitory concentration).

The amount of NO produced by SNAP in culture medium was determined by assaying its stable end-product, NO\(^2\)(nitrite) in a cell culture environment. Freeze-thawed cell culture samples were centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min; equal volumes of the sample supernatants and Griess reagent (1% sulphanilamide, 0.1% N-1-naphthylethylenediamine, 5% H\(_3\)PO\(_4\)) (Sigma, Belgium) were mixed and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C. The optical density at 540 nm was measured with an automated multiscan spectrophotometer. A range of sodium nitrite dilutions served to generate a standard curve for each assay.

### Results and discussion

SNAP inhibited SARS-CoV replication at non-toxic concentrations (222 \(\mu\)M) with a selectivity index of 2.6 (Table 1). The NO concentration released by 222 \(\mu\)M SNAP is between 30–55 \(\mu\)M NO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC(_{50}) (\mu)M</th>
<th>CC(_{50}) (\mu)M</th>
<th>Selectivity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNAP</td>
<td>222.3 ± 83.7</td>
<td>587.7 ± 22.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>&gt;221.3</td>
<td>221.3 ± 40.5</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_{\text{L}})-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC\(_{50}\): inhibitory concentration of compound. CC\(_{50}\): cytotoxic concentration. NC: not calculatable.

\(^a\) Mean of five assays ±SD.
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Figure 1  (A) Increased survival rate of SARS FFM-1 infected Vero E6 cells by the treatment of SNAP. Optical density at 492 nm of mitochondrial activity was measured. Data are expressed as means ± S.D. (B) Percent protection achieved by the compounds in SARS-CoV infected cells is calculated as follows: 100 × [(ODvirus + compound − ODvirus control)/(ODcell control − ODvirus control) − (ODcompound control /ODcell control)]. Bars indicate SD.

No protective effect below the CC50 could be demonstrated for SNP. The difference in activity between these two NO donor compounds might be explained by a different mechanism of releasing NO. SNAP is a direct donor of NO and generates NO in aqueous solutions through hydrolysis, while SNP only releases NO after reaction with a reducing agent.10–12

No protective effect could be obtained with N-acetylpenicillamine (NAP), which is the non-nitrosylated form of SNAP and does not release NO in solution (Figure 1). These results illustrate that the protective effect of SNAP is a consequence of NO release and not of a potential solitary antiviral effect of the N-acetyl-penicillamine moiety.

In this study, we provide additional evidence that NO and NO-donors may have an antiviral effect against the SARS-CoV and we speculate that the prolonged effect of inhalation of NO gas observed earlier could be an antiviral effect of NO against SARS-CoV. Based on our results we encourage the inclusion of inhalation of NO in the treatment of SARS.
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