The N-Terminal Domain of the Murine Coronavirus Spike Glycoprotein Determines the CEACAM1 Receptor Specificity of the Virus Strain
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Mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) is a murine coronavirus capable of infecting the liver, the central nervous system, and other internal organs, depending on the virus strain and the mouse strain. The observations that coronavirus infection is highly host species specific and MHV pathogenesis is virus strain specific have led to investigations of the viral and host determinants of pathogenesis. We have used two neurotropic strains in this study. MHV-4 is a highly neurovirulent isolate of the JHM strain that does not cause significant hepatitis; MHV-A59 is a less neurovirulent strain which causes moderate to severe hepatitis (29, 30, 50).

Murine carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecules (mCEACAMs; CD66a, previously known as mmCGM or Bgp), serve as receptors that mediate MHV entry (3, 14, 36, 54). Members of the CEA family are involved in intercellular adhesion and the development of hematopoietic, colorectal, and epithelial tumors (3). mCEACAMs are glycoproteins of two or four immunoglobulin-like extracellular domains followed by a transmembrane domain and a long or short cytoplasmic tail. MHV binding activity has been mapped to the N-terminal domain of CEACAM1 (16, 41, 52).

The MHV receptor glycoproteins are encoded by two murine ceacam genes, mceacam1 and mceacam2 (14, 36). Murine ceacam1 is expressed as allelic glycoproteins, mCEACAM1a and mCEACAM1b, which differ in 27 of the 108 amino acids in the N-terminal immunoglobulin-like domain (14). The proteins are expressed as four-domain and two-domain isoforms primarily on the epithelial and endothelial cells of the respiratory tract and the intestines and other tissues (21, 42, 45). Murine CEACAM2 isoforms contain two immunoglobulin-like domains and have 48% amino acid sequence identity to the N-terminal domain of mCEACAM1 (36). The four-domain mCEACAM1a isoform (called mCEACAM1a[1–4]) and the two-domain mCEACAM1b (called mCEACAM1b[1,4]) are more efficient receptors for MHV-A59 than are mCEACAM1a[1–4], mCEACAM1b[1,4], and mCEACAM2 (14, 35, 36, 41, 56). Although the biological requirements for an efficient MHV receptor are not known, these data are consistent with reports of the relative resistance to MHV infection of the SJL/J mouse, which contains only the mCEACAM1b allele (14, 37, 54).

The MHV surface glycoprotein spike (S) mediates many biological properties of MHV, including receptor attachment, fusion of viral and cell membranes during entry, cell-to-cell fusion during viral spread, and immune activation (5). Spike is a type I membrane protein of approximately 180 kDa. On the MHV-A59 and MHV-4 virions, S is cleaved posttranslationally into two approximately 90-kDa subunits, the amino-terminal S1 and the carboxy-terminal S2 (5); S1 binding to mCEACAM is thought to induce structural rearrangements within spike
that are necessary for subsequent fusion of cell and viral membranes (26, 51, 55). S2 contains two or three heptad repeat domains, as well as a putative fusion peptide, believed to mediate fusion (11, 19, 26, 32, 33, 47). Among the MHV strains, S2 is relatively conserved and S1 is more variable (46). MHV-A59 and MHV-4 have 96% amino acid identity in S2 and 85% amino acid identity in S1.

Abundant evidence points to virus entry as the primary barrier to host range restriction of coronavirus replication (4, 7, 12, 49, 53). Nonpermissive cell lines of many nonmurine species, such as hamster, pig, and human, become readily susceptible to productive MHV infection once they are transfected to express mCEACAM1 glycoproteins (14, 16). Viruses from persistently-infected murine 17Cl-1 cells, murine DBT cells, or mixed DBT-BHK cell cultures displayed extended host range in cell culture; such viruses had mutations in the spike protein that altered viral interactions with mCEACAM1, and some of these (as well as some MHV strains) were able to use human CEACAM1 (1, 2, 6). Furthermore, chimeric coronaviruses, generated by targeted RNA recombination, expressing spike glycoproteins from other coronavirus strains demonstrate the pathogenic properties or host tropism of the strain from which the spike was derived (10, 28, 40, 44).

The N terminus of the spike protein has been implicated in virus-receptor interactions. The N-terminal 330 amino acids of S1, expressed as a truncated protein, has the ability to bind to mCEACAM1α blotted onto membranes and has been referred to as the receptor binding domain (RBD) (27, 48). Amino acid substitutions within the RBD can have major effects on receptor as the receptor binding domain (RBD) (27, 48). Amino acid substitutions within the RBD can have major effects on receptor binding activity (43). Another substitution in the RBD, Q159L, was identified in several viruses isolated from persistently infected primary glial cell cultures; unlike the parental, wild-type MHV-A59, these mutant viruses were not hepatotrophic (24). Thus, a single-amino-acid substitution in the RBD may have dramatic effects on the outcome of infection.

Previous studies demonstrated that neutralization of MHV-4 requires threefold more smCEACAM1α than does neutralization of MHV-A59, while MHV-4 is not fully neutralized by 300-fold more smCEACAM1α than the amount required for complete neutralization of MHV-A59 (56). In the accompanying paper (55), we describe a liposome binding assay that was used to demonstrate that interaction of the receptor with spikes on virions at 37°C causes a conformational change that makes the virions sufficiently hydrophobic to bind to liposomes. (We use the word “triggered” to indicate that S undergoes a specific receptor-induced conformational change.) The availability of this assay and recombinant viruses expressing MHV-A59/MHV-4 chimeric spike proteins allowed us to define the functional domain(s) within the spike responsible for the receptor-induced conformational changes associated with viral entry.

We demonstrate here that in the comparison of recombinant viruses encoding chimeric MHV-A59/MHV-4 spike proteins in an in vitro liposome binding assay, the RBD of MHV-A59 is necessary and sufficient to allow triggering of spike to occur after incubation with either mCEACAM1α[1–4] or mCEACAM1α[1–4]. Furthermore, while the viruses expressing spikes with the RBD of either MHV-A59 or MHV-4 are able to infect BHK cells expressing mCEACAM1α, the MHV-A59 RBD is generally necessary (with one exception, as described below) and sufficient to promote productive infection of BHK cells expressing mCEACAM1α. These data demonstrate a role for the RBD in productive infection as well as in receptor discrimination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. Murine L2 and 17Cl-1 cells, feline FCWF cells, and baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells expressing CEACAM1α[1–4] or CEACAM1α[1–4] (15) were maintained on plastic tissue culture flasks in Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (DMEM; Gibco/BRL) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco/BRL). Spinner cultures of L2 cells were maintained in Joklik’s MEM with 10% FBS at densities of between 2 × 10⁶ and 2 × 10⁷ cells per ml. S17Cl1R13 and S17Cl1R14, are wild-type recombinant MHV-A59 isolates; since these viruses demonstrate no significant differences in phenotype in vitro or in vivo, they are collectively referred to as S17Cl1R. Likewise, S17R21 and S17R22, containing the MHV-4 spike in the MHV-A59 background, are be referred to as S17R (39, 40).

Recombinant proteins and antibodies. Soluble murine CEACAM1α (smCEACAM1α[1–4]) and CEACAM1β (smCEACAM1β[1–4]) are the four-domain variant glycoproteins that are anchored, six-histidine tagged, and produced by recombinant baculoviruses in Sf9 cells as described previously (56). AO4 is a goat antiserum directed against purified MHV-A59 spikes. It was detected by horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated rabbit anti-goat or swine anti-goat serum (Boehringer Mannheim). J7 and J7.18 are monoclonal antibodies directed against MHV-4 spike epitopes obtained from S. Fleming, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis). J7.18 maps to S2 (9); there are conflicting data mapping J7.2 to S2 (34) and the hypervariable domain of S1 (38). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies 649 and 650 are directed against smCEACAM1α[1–4] and smCEACAM1β[1–4], respectively. Each antibody reacts with both mCEACAM1α and mCEACAM1β to a similar extent as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (data not shown).

Plasmids and mutagenesis. PCR mutagenesis, using Vent polymerase (New England Biolabs) and the primers listed in Table 1, was used to construct the plasmids used in this study. The donor RNA transcription vectors were derived from pmH54 (obtained from P. Masters). pmH54 contains a 177 RNA polymerase promoter followed by a 9,139-nucleotide sequence (17, 28); pMH54 encodes the 5’ end of the MHV genome fused in frame to codon 28 of the hemagglutinin esterase (HE) pseudogene, followed by the spike and the rest of the 3’ end of the MHV-A59 genome and finally by a poly(A) tail, pGEM4Z, containing the MHV-4 spike gene, was obtained from M. J. Buchmeier, Scripps Institute, La Jolla, Calif.). Flanking AvrII and SstI sites (Amersham; an isochromosimer of Sflf) sites were introduced into the spike sequences near the 5’ and 3’ ends of the gene by the introduction of noncoding nucleotide changes, respectively, and the full start signal was added upstream of AvrII to create pg-MH4V5. pg-A59S was generated by inserting the AvrII-SstI site in the cDNA of pg-MH4V to create AvrII-SstI-cleaved pg-MH4V.

To construct a plasmid which encodes a spike in which the RBD is derived from MHV-4 and the rest of the spike is derived from MHV-A59, the 5’-end 1,110 nucleotides of the MHV-4 S gene was amplified using J72 and RS366, flanking primers which also introduced unique AvrII and ClaI sites at the 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. This amplified fragment was cleaved with AvrII and ClaI, sequenced, and subcloned into the corresponding region of the MHV-A59 spike gene in pg-A59S. The chimeric spike gene was excised with AvrII and SstI and cloned into AvrII-SstI-cleaved pMH54 to make the final donor RNA construct: this was called pMH54/HMV4SIN(370) since it is a modified pMH54, encoding a chimeric spike in which the amino-terminal 370 amino acids of S1 is from MHV-4 and the rest is from MHV-A59. To construct pMH54/S4/A59SIN(401), encoding a spike in which the amino-terminal 401 amino acids is derived from MHV-A59 and the rest is derived from MHV-4, the 5’-terminal 1,203 nucleotides of the MHV-A59 S gene was amplified using JF51 and JRS414, flanking primers which also introduced unique AvrII and BglII sites into the 5’ and 3’ ends of the fragment, respectively. This fragment was subcloned in place of the corresponding region of the MHV-4 spike gene in pg-MH4V. The
chimeric spike gene was cloned into pMH5-S4 to make pMH5-S4/A59S1N(401).

Targeted RNA recombination. The recombinant viruses expressing an MHV-A59 spike in which the N terminus was replaced with MHV-4 spike sequences are called S\textsubscript{A59(N4)} viruses. They were selected using a modification of published techniques (25, 40). Recombination was carried out in L2 cells between a capped donor RNA molecules, transcribed from PstI-linearized pMH5-MHV4SIN (370), and the recipient virus, S\textsubscript{R21}, which expresses the wild-type MHV-4 spike (40). Infected or transfected L2 cells were plated onto 17C1-1 cells, and the culture medium, containing the progeny recombinant viruses, was collected. To eliminate S\textsubscript{R21} and other viruses encoding larger regions of the MHV-4 spike gene, the released viruses were incubated with anti-MHV-4 spike antibodies J7.2 and J7.18 for 1 h at 4°C and plaque purified on L2 cells. The antibody-resistant recombinants were analyzed by restriction digestion to identify viruses with chimeric spike proteins. The desired recombinants were plaque purified on L2 cells once more, and two viruses from independent recombination events were chosen for study and named S\textsubscript{A59(N4)}R31 and S\textsubscript{A59(N4)}R33. Viruses that express an MHV-4 spike in which the N terminus has been replaced by MHV-49 spike sequences are called S\textsubscript{N(49)} viruses. Generation of these viruses used a further modified technique (28, 39). Recombination was carried out in feline FCW cells between the donor RNA [transcribed from pMH5-S4/A59S1N(401)] and the recipient virus, fMHV. The recombinant viruses were selected by two rounds of plaque purification on L2 cells. Two S\textsubscript{N(49)} viruses from independent recombination events were chosen for study and were named S\textsubscript{S(N49)}R61 and S\textsubscript{S(N49)}R62.

Spike gene analysis. Intracellular RNA from infected cells was used to amplify cDNA (31, 40), which was sequenced using the Taq dye terminator procedure as specified by the manufacturer (Taq DyeDeoxy terminator cycle-sequencing kit; Applied Biosystems). All recombinant MHV spike genes were sequenced in full using primers listed in Table 1 and described previously (31, 40) and were compared to our laboratory MHV-A59 spike (24) or MHV-4 spike (38) gene sequences. Our wild-type MHV-4 spike gene has, compared to the published sequence, one silent mutation at nucleotide 3590 and an L255A substitution (40). The S\textsubscript{N(49)}R33 spike glycoprotein contained the N-terminal 371 amino acid residues of the MHV-4 sequence in the MHV-A59 spike and a secondary mutation, I998L. The S\textsubscript{N(49)}R33 spike had 462 amino acid residues of MHV-4 sequence in the MHV-A59 spike and a secondary mutation, I998L. The S\textsubscript{N(49)}R33 spike had 462 amino acid residues of MHV-4 sequence in the MHV-A59 spike and a secondary mutation, I998L. The S\textsubscript{N(49)}R33 spike had 462 amino acid residues of MHV-4 sequence in the MHV-A59 spike and a secondary mutation, I998L.

Virus purification. Virus stocks were prepared in infected 17C1-1 cells. Virus particles were precipitated in a 30% polyethylene glycol (average molecular weight 8,000; Sigma) saline solution. The pellet was resuspended in 25 mM BisTris saline (pH 6.5) and purified through a 20%/50% sucrose step gradient in an SW28 (Beckman) rotor at 83,000 × g for 4 h at 4°C. The virus band at the 20%/50% sucrose interface was collected, diluted with BisTris saline, and further purified through a 20 to 50% continuous sucrose gradient in the SW28 rotor at 83,000 × g for 18 h at 4°C. Virus, recovered as a visible band, was dialyzed (tubing with a molecular weight cutoff of 12,000 to 14,000; Spectrum) for 18 h at 4°C against 25 mM BisTris (pH 6.5)–150 mM NaCl–5% glycerol, flash frozen in aliquots, and stored at −80°C.

Thermal and pH inactivation. Approximately equal amounts of viruses (as estimated by staining with AO4 antiserum on Western blots, corresponding to 105 to 106 PFU) were diluted into DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum and then incubated at 42°C in an equal volume of 2X TMEN (100 mM Tris maleate [pH 6.5], 2mM EDTA, 400 mM NaCl) with 10% fetal bovine serum adjusted to pH 8.6. Samples were frozen at each time point and subsequently subjected to titer determination by plaque assay on L2 cells.

Liposome-rotation assay. Purified virus was incubated with 0.9 μM smCEACAM1[1–4] or smCEACAM1[1–4] and an equal volume of liposomes in a final volume of 100 or 150 μl of BisTris saline at 37°C for 30 min. (Approximately equal amounts of protein for each purified virus, as estimated by staining with AO4 antiserum on Western blots, equivalent to the amount in 106 PFU of S\textsubscript{A59S1N(401)} were used in the assay.) The liposomes were composed of phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, and cholesterol in a 1:1:1 molar ratio and prepared as described in the accompanying paper (55). The reaction was terminated on ice, and the reaction mixture was mixed with 62% sucrose solution to make a 50% sucrose solution. The sucrose solutions were made in 25 mM BisTris–150 mM NaCl (pH 6.5). The sucrose gradient was constructed of layered 10, 20, 30, and 40% sucrose solutions in a 5-ml Ultra-Clear centrifuge tube (Beckman); assembled the night before and stored at 4°C. The gradient, with the reaction mix at the bottom, was centrifuged in an SW-55 Ti (Beckman) for 2.5 h at 59,000 × g and 4°C and subsequently fractionated using a peristaltic pump from the air/liquid interface. Aliquots of the fractions were blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). The blots were probed with anti-serum AO4 for MHV spike, and the secondary antibody, HRG-conjugated rabbit anti-goat was detected by chemiluminescence as instructed by the manufacturer (Amersham).

Viral growth curves. Confluent monolayers of L2 and BHK cells expressing mCEACAM1[1–4] or mCEACAM1[1–4] were infected in duplicate or triplicate with viruses at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 2 PFU/cell or 4°C for 1 h. The inocula were removed, and the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After fresh medium was added, the plates were incubated at 37°C. At the indicated time points after infection, the plates were transferred to −80°C and freeze-thawed twice; lysates were clarified and subjected to titer determination for PFU on L2 cells (22). The surface expression level of mCEACAM1 was measured by flow cytometry using polyclonal antibody 649 or 650. Murine CEACAM1 expression was found on 20% of the cells, and its level as measured by the geometric mean fluorescence intensity was within twofold of the geometric mean fluorescence of mCEACAM1, which was expressed on 30% of the cells (data not shown).

Formation of mCEACAM-independent syncytia. Monolayers of L2 cells were infected with viruses at MOI of 2 PFU/cell or mock infected at 37°C for 2 h. The inocula were removed, and the cells were washed with PBS. The cells were dissociated with trypsin-EDTA (GIBCO-BRL) and diluted with 10% FBS-containing medium. L2 cells were added to confluent monolayers of BHK cells in a 1:10 ratio to minimize cell-to-cell fusion among L2 cells. The same number of L2 cells was added to empty wells as a negative control. Additional fresh medium was added to the plates, which were then incubated at 37°C. The BHK monolayers were examined for syncytium formation 20 to 24 h after infection. The cells were visualized under phase-contrast microscopy after fixation with 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS.

RESULTS

Selection of recombinants differing in the RBD of the spike protein. We have previously selected and characterized isogenic recombinant MHV strains differing only in the spike

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5′ to 3′)**</th>
<th>Sense</th>
<th>MHV-A59 spike gene location\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J2</td>
<td>gcggaggtgtaacctAGGTTATTTGGTATTTTAGATGTATCC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>36–73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS366</td>
<td>GCGGAAAGCagtagATATATCCAAACAAAGAAGCTCAGCTTG</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1096–1134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFS1</td>
<td>ATGCTGTCGGTTATTTTAGATGTATCC</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>914–916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRS414</td>
<td>GCGGAGGTGTCGGTTATTTTAGATGTATCC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1204–1241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS2</td>
<td>GTATAATATATTATATAGTC</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1240–1259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A59-5</td>
<td>AATGTGATAGGCGGTTGCTGGTTG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1136–1157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZL-60B</td>
<td>GACATAGCGTCCGGCTAGTC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2791–2811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A59-9</td>
<td>TCTGATGTGTCGTTGCTGGTTG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2647–2668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZL-01</td>
<td>GGGGATCCATGCAGTCGATG</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Intergenic region between S and 4a open reading frame</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Restriction sites introduced are denoted by lowercase letters.

\textsuperscript{b} The locations are designated by nucleotide positions in the spike (S) open reading frame.
MHV-A59 were exchanged (Fig. 1); we then compared these genes derived from MHV-A59 (Fig. 1). More recently, to study the effects of the RBD on MHV infection, we selected groups of recombinant viruses encoding either the MHV-A59 or the MHV-4 spike gene, as described in Materials and Methods. This involved using S4R, a recombinant with a full-length MHV-4 spike gene, as the parental virus and selecting against the viruses expressing either wild-type MHV-A59 or MHV-4 spike genes or chimeric MHV-A59/MHV-4 spike genes are shown. The background genes (designated 1–2 and 4–7) of all recombinant viruses are derived from MHV-A59. The 5′-terminal region of the spike gene is designated RBD (approximately 330 amino acids). The arrow designates the site of cleavage, the boundary between S1 and S2. (A) The S4R, S4R viruses contain the full-length wild-type MHV-A59 and MHV-4 spike glycoproteins, respectively (solid bar, MHV-4 sequence of 4,128 nucleotides [encoding 1,376 amino acids]; open bar, MHV-A59 sequence of 3,972 nucleotides [encoding 1,324 amino acids]). The break in the MHV-A59 spike represents a 52-amino-acid in-frame deletion relative to the MHV-4 spike (38). (B) Viruses expressing chimeric spike with the RBD from MHV-4 and the rest of the spike from MHV-A59 include S4R, S4R, viruses contain 1,113 and 1,386 nucleotides (encoding 371 and 462 amino acids), respectively, from the 5′ end of the MHV-4 spike gene. (C) Viruses expressing chimeric spike with the MHV-A59 RBD and the rest from MHV-4 include S4R, S4R. These viruses each contain 1,203 nucleotides (encoding 401 amino acids) from the 5′ end of the MHV-A59 spike gene.

FIG. 1. Recombinant viruses expressing parental and chimeric MHV-A59/MHV-4 spike glycoproteins. The genomes of recombinant viruses expressing either wild-type MHV-A59 or MHV-4 spike genes or chimeric MHV-A59/MHV-4 spike genes are shown. The background genes (designated 1–2 and 4–7) of all recombinant viruses are derived from MHV-A59. The 5′-terminal region of the spike gene is designated RBD (approximately 330 amino acids). The arrow designates the site of cleavage, the boundary between S1 and S2. (A) The S4R, S4R viruses contain the full-length wild-type MHV-A59 and MHV-4 spike glycoproteins, respectively (solid bar, MHV-4 sequence of 4,128 nucleotides [encoding 1,376 amino acids]; open bar, MHV-A59 sequence of 3,972 nucleotides [encoding 1,324 amino acids]). The break in the MHV-A59 spike represents a 52-amino-acid in-frame deletion relative to the MHV-4 spike (38). (B) Viruses expressing chimeric spike with the RBD from MHV-4 and the rest of the spike from MHV-A59 include S4R, S4R, viruses contain 1,113 and 1,386 nucleotides (encoding 371 and 462 amino acids), respectively, from the 5′ end of the MHV-4 spike gene. (C) Viruses expressing chimeric spike with the MHV-A59 RBD and the rest from MHV-4 include S4R, S4R. These viruses each contain 1,203 nucleotides (encoding 401 amino acids) from the 5′ end of the MHV-A59 spike gene.

We measured the in vitro fitness of the recombinant viruses, expressing either MHV-A59 or MHV-4 spike proteins or chimeric spike proteins, by generating one-step growth curves with murine L2 fibroblasts (Fig. 2). As observed previously, S4R viruses replicate to titers approximately 100-fold lower than S4R viruses (40). This is similar to the difference in replication in vitro between parental MHV-4 and MHV-A59 strains (40). All of the viruses expressing chimeric spikes replicated efficiently in vitro, to titers significantly greater than that of S4R and close to that of S4R. Interestingly, S4R, S4R, the virus with the shortest MHV-4 sequence in the chimeric spike glycoprotein, formed fuzzy plaques, unlike the clear plaques with well-defined borders formed by S4R and S4R. The other chimeric viruses formed plaques of sizes that were intermediate between the plaque sizes of S4R (3 mm) and S4R (1 mm) when visualized 24 h after infection.

These viruses were compared for the rate of inactivation when subjected to elevated temperature (42°C) and pH (pH 8.6), as shown in Fig. 3. The recombinant viruses expressing wild-type MHV-4 and MHV-A59 spikes were more labile than the viruses expressing chimeric spike proteins. Furthermore, S4R was more labile than S4R; this probably reflects previous observations that the MHV-4 spike more readily loses the S1 subunit when incubated with soluble receptor in a neutralization assay (18). Thus, the data in Fig. 3 demonstrate that lability is not associated with either the RBD or the C-terminal portions of the spike but, rather, results from a combination of the two portions of the spike.

The RBD of the spike proteins determines the ability to trigger conformational change in response to soluble mCEACAM1 and mCEACAM1 in a liposome binding assay. Viral entry at the plasma membrane relies on the binding of a viral envelope protein to a host receptor, which triggers a conformational change in the envelope protein, leading to the parent by using two spike monoclonal antibodies mapping outside of the RBD. Interestingly, most of the recombinants selected (18 of 19 examined from two independent recombination events) contained larger regions of MHV-4 sequence, 1,386 nucleotides (462 codons) or more (Fig. 1). One only of these recombinants had an MHV-4 sequence length close to that of the input RNA; this virus, S4R, had 1,113 nucleotides (371 codons) of the MHV-4 spike sequences and was chosen for further analysis. One of the recombinants with the longer MHV-4 sequence, S4R, with 1,386 5′ nucleotides derived from the MHV-4 spike, was also analyzed further. The low frequency of viruses with the input MHV-4 5′ sequence suggests that there may be some selective advantage in vitro for viruses with longer N-terminal sequence derived from MHV-4. We also placed the MHV-A59 RBD in the corresponding region of the MHV-4 spike by using a donor RNA with the 5′ 1,203 nucleotides (401 codons) of the spike gene derived from MHV-A59 (Fig. 1). In this case, since the parental virus expressed the feline coronavirus spike gene (see Materials and Methods), the selection for replication on murine cells required the entire murine spike to replace the feline infectious peritonitis virus spike gene; thus, all recombinants examined had the same spike sequence as the donor RNA, that is, 1,203 nucleotides of MHV-A59 sequence. Two of these, S4R and S4R, were chosen for further analysis.
fusion of the viral and cell membranes (13). Previous studies with retroviruses, using a liposome flotation assay, have demonstrated the activation of soluble viral envelope glycoprotein by soluble receptor glycoproteins and the subsequent association of the viral glycoprotein with target membranes (8, 23). We have used a similar liposome association assay (described in the accompanying paper [55]) to test the hypotheses that the ability of murine coronavirus virions to associate with liposomes depends on the interaction between the spike protein and the mCEACAM glycoprotein and that the ability to utilize mCEACAM1a and/or mCEACAM1b depends on the RBD of the viral S protein. We performed the liposome flotation assay by incubating purified virus with soluble mCEACAM1a glycoproteins and determined whether the virus colocalized with liposomes after centrifugation in a sucrose density gradient. Virus that associates with liposomes would “float” up under these conditions and be located in the lower-density portions of the gradient.

At 4°C, without liposomes or without soluble mCEACAM, all viruses remained at the bottom of the gradient following centrifugation (data not shown). Figure 4A shows that incubation with smCEACAM1a[1–4] at 37°C for 30 min shifted SA59R to lower-density (top) fractions of the gradients. In contrast, the addition of smCEACAM1b[1–4] at 37°C for 30 min caused only a small shift of S4R to lower-density following sedimentation (Fig. 4A). The recombinant viruses expressing chimeric spike proteins, SA59(N₄)R31 and SA59(N₄)R33 (expressing the MHV-4 RBD [Fig. 4B]) as well as S₄(NA59)R61 (expressing the MHV-4 RBD [Fig. 4C]), also associated with liposomes after interacting with smCEACAM1b at 37°C and pH 6.5. This observation suggests that spike proteins, containing either the MHV-59 or MHV-4 RBD on virions, are capable of undergoing a conformational change induced by smCEACAM1b, even when expressed in chimeric spike glycoproteins.

In the presence of smCEACAM1b after treatment at 37°C for 30 min, SA59R moved with the liposomes to the lower-density fractions (Fig. 4A). In contrast, viruses expressing either wild-type MHV-4 spike (S₄R) or chimeric spikes with the MHV-4 RBD [SA59(N₄)R31 and SA59(N₄)R33] remained at the bottom after incubation at 37°C with smCEACAM1b. These data suggest that the MHV-4 RBD was impaired in its interaction with smCEACAM1b and that the MHV-A59 RBD was necessary for the use of smCEACAM1b. This is in agreement with previous observations that MHV-4 was not efficiently neutralized by smCEACAM1b (56).

The observation that SA₄(N₅₉)R61 associated with liposomes after incubation with either smCEACAM1a or smCEACAM1b (Fig. 4C), as did SA59R, demonstrates that the MHV-A59 RBD was sufficient for efficient interaction with smCEACAM1b even when the rest of the spike was from MHV-4. Thus, the recombinant virus containing the MHV-A59 RBD (with the rest of the spike from MHV-4) was triggered by either smCEACAM1a or smCEACAM1b, promoting
association with liposomes; this is in contrast to the recombinants containing the MHV-4 RBD (with the rest of the spike from MHV-A59), which were associated with liposomes after incubation with smCEACAM1a but not with smCEACAM1b.

The RBD determines the ability of the virus to replicate in BHK cells expressing membrane-anchored mCEACAM1a or mCEACAM1b. To determine whether our observations of differences in mCEACAM utilization in the triggering of spike protein that promote lipid association in the liposome flotation assay do indeed reflect functional differences in cell entry, one-step curves similar to those shown in Fig. 2 were generated in experiments using BHK cells stably transfected with cDNA encoding either mCEACAM1a or mCEACAM1b glycoproteins; these cells are called BHK-mCEACAM1a[1-4] or BHK-mCEACAM1b[1,4], respectively (Fig. 5).

Both wild-type and chimeric spike-expressing viruses replicated in BHK-mCEACAM1a cells with slower kinetics than but to similar final titers as in L2 cells, which express CEACAM1a (compare Fig. 2 with Fig. 5A). As in L2 cells, S4R replicated in BHK-mCEACAM1a cells significantly less efficiently than did S459R, and all of the chimeric spike viruses were similar, but perhaps slightly less efficient than S459R in replication. In BHK-mCEACAM1b cells, however, replication of all viruses was considerably slower and the final titers attained were much lower than those observed in either L2 cells or BHK-mCEACAM1a cells (Fig. 2 and 5). Most strikingly, recombinant viruses expressing MHV-4 RBD [S459(N4)R31 and S459(N4)R33] did not replicate in BHK-mCEACAM1b cells, even at late time points (Fig. 5B). In contrast, recombinant viruses expressing the MHV-A59 RBD in the background of the MHV-4 spike [S4(N4)A59R61 and S4(N4)A59R62] replicated in BHK-mCEACAM1b cells significantly better did than S459(N4)R31 and S459(N4)R33 (Wilcoxon's rank sum test, P < 0.03). Thus, as in the in vitro liposome association assay, the chimeric recombinant viruses with the MHV-A59 RBD can use sCEACAM1b more efficiently to mediate an infection in cultured cells than those with MHV-4 RBD.

In contrast to S459(N4)R31 and S459(N4)R33 (containing the MHV-4 RBD), in BHK-mCEACAM1b cells S4R virus attained titers similar to those of the MHV-A59 RBD-containing chimeric viruses. This may seem surprising in light of the inability of the viruses expressing spike with the MHV-4 RBD, including S4R, to utilize smCEACAM1b, as demonstrated by the liposome association assay. We reasoned that the ability to replicate in BHK-mCEACAM1b cells was probably due to the ability of the MHV-4 spike to mediate mCEACAM1-independent cell-to-cell fusion (20). While hamster cells cannot be infected by either MHV-A59 or MHV-4 virions, cell-to-cell spread of virus infection can occur from mouse cells infected with MHV-4 into hamster cells that do not express mCEACAM1. This would allow the spread of virus from BHK

FIG. 3. Viral inactivation. Recombinant viruses S459R, S4R, S459(N4)R31, and S4(N4)A59R61, as indicated, were incubated at 42°C and pH 8.6 for the times indicated. Infectious virus was then subjected to titer determination by a plaque assay on L2 cells.

FIG. 4. The association of recombinant viruses with liposomes depends on soluble mCEACAM1a and mCEACAM1b. Viruses were incubated with liposomes alone (row a), with smCEACAM1a (row b), or with smCEACAM1b (row c) at 37°C for 30 min; the reaction mixtures were centrifuged through 10 to 50% sucrose density gradients. Samples from individual fractions (numbered from bottom to top) were blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes and incubated with anti-spike AO4 antibody. The small diagrams to the left of each blot represent the spike proteins; the solid areas represent MHV-4 sequences, and the open areas represent MHV-A59 sequences. (A) Viruses expressing parental wild-type spikes, S459R and S4R; (B) viruses expressing chimeric spikes with the MHV-4 RBD, S459(N4)R31 and S459(N4)R33; (C) virus expressing a chimeric spike with the MHV-A59 RBD, S4(N4)A59R61.
cells expressing mCEACAM1b to BHK cells even if the initial infection using CEACAM1b receptor were inefficient.

To determine if CEACAM-independent spread was the explanation for the ability of S4R to replicate in BHK-mCEACAM1b cells, we investigated the ability of the recombinant viruses to carry out mCEACAM1-independent fusion. Thus, L2 cells were infected with viruses expressing either wild-type or chimeric spike proteins and then plated in a 1:10 ratio onto a monolayer of nonpermissive BHK cells. After 20 h, large syncytia were observed in the S4R-infected cells but not in cells infected with either S4(N4)R31 or S4(N4)R61 (Fig. 6). (Similarly, recombinant viruses expressing the other chimeric spikes were unable to induce fusion of BHK monolayers [data not shown].) The low ratio of L2 to BHK cells was used to minimize the likelihood of L2 cells fusing among themselves. Indeed the fusion observed could not be among L2 cells alone because only a few small syncytia were observed among L2 cells plated alone in wells without BHK monolayers (data not shown). Thus, of all the viruses tested, only S4R has the ability to spread from cell to cell in the absence of the mCEACAM1 viral receptor. This probably explains our observation that S4R replicates in cells expressing mCEACAM1b, which is generally a poor receptor for viruses containing the RBD of MHV-4.

DISCUSSION

We have examined the RBD of the spike within viruses by generating otherwise isogenic recombinant viruses expressing chimeric MHV-4/MHV-A59 spike glycoproteins. Studying recombinant viruses rather than biologically selected variant viruses, used in previous studies of virus-receptor interaction, offers the advantages of isogenic background and the ability to identify the RBD as a major determinant in using different mCEACAM glycoproteins. We have used two assays to examine the role of RBD in infection, an in vitro liposome association assay and the replication of virus in vivo in BHK cells that express either mCEACAM1a or mCEACAM1b. The results of both assays suggest that while spike proteins with the MHV-A59 RBD can utilize either mCEACAM1a or mCEACAM1b, spike proteins with the RBD derived from MHV-4 cannot efficiently use mCEACAM1b. Our data are in agreement with a previous report that while smCEACAM1a neutralizes the infectivity of MHV-A59 and MHV-4 (JHM), smCEACAM1b can effectively neutralize MHV-A59 but not MHV-4 (56).

Viruses expressing spike protein with RBD derived from either MHV-A59 or MHV-4, whether wild type or chimeric, colocalized with liposomes in the presence of smCEACAM1a after incubation at 37°C for 30 min at pH 6.5; in contrast, only
viruses with the MHV-A59 RBD used smCEACAM1b efficiently, as assayed by liposome association. One exception to this general conclusion was that incubation with smCEACAM1a facilitated the association of S4R with liposomes quite inefficiently (Fig. 4). There are possible explanations for this, related to the fact that this assay measures total virions rather than infectious particles. S4R (like wild-type MHV-4) virions have a significantly higher protein-to-PFU ratio (as estimated by Western blotting) than do the other viruses; the ratio of protein to PFU for S4R virions is about 100-fold higher than that for S A59R (data not shown). Therefore, it is likely that a large fraction of MHV-4 particles are inactivated prior to the assay and only a small fraction of the virus particles or spikes are competent for association with liposomes. Indeed, it has been shown that the MHV-4 spike protein is less stable in terms of S1–S2 association and more easily becomes fusion competent than other MHVs, sometimes without receptor (18, 26). Consistent with this, S A59R is more labile when heated to 42°C at pH 8.6 than are the other recombinant viruses (Fig. 3).

We also examined the role of the RBD in determining the ability to utilize anchored receptor glycoproteins in MHV infection. We carried out growth curves in BHK cells expressing either anchored mCEACAM1a or mCEACAM1b (Fig. 5). All of the viruses expressing either wild-type or chimeric spikes were able to use mCEACAM1a to replicate efficiently and to similar titers to those in in L2 cells, which also express mCEACAM1a (compare Fig. 2 and 5). The patterns of replication in CEACAM1b cells were, however, quite different. None of the viruses was able to replicate nearly as efficiently as in mCEACAM1a-expressing cells. Even S A59R, which expresses the wild-type MHV-A59 spike, replicated to a final titer of approximately 100-fold less than in mCEACAM1a-expressing cells. All viruses expressing spike proteins with the MHV-A59 RBD [full-length S A59R or chimeric S A59(N4)R31 and S A59(N4)R62] replicated in mCEACAM1b cells, while the viruses expressing chimeric spikes with the MHV-4 RBD [S A59(N4)R31 and S A59(N4)R33] replicated very poorly, if at all. Thus, consistent with the in vitro liposome association assay, the ability to utilize mCEACAM1b to mediate a productive infection in BHK cells is determined by the presence of the MHV-A59 RBD.

The pattern of replication of the virus expressing full-length...
MHV-4 spike (S4R) was markedly different, in BHK cells expressing mCEACAM1b, from that of S_A59(N9)R31 and S_A59(N9)R33, in that S4R replicated to a significant degree. This was probably because mCEACAM-independent fusion contributed to the spread of infection from cell to cell. As has been demonstrated previously (20, 26), infection with viruses expressing the full-length MHV-4 spike protein can induce cell-to-cell fusion in an mCEACAM-independent process. Consistent with this, S4R is the only virus studied here that can mediate mCEACAM-independent cell-to-cell fusion (Fig. 6). Thus, it is likely that once S_R enters the BHK-mCEACAM1b cells, probably inefficiently via the mCEACAM1b receptor, it can spread from cell to cell in the absence of receptor. This would explain the increased ability of S4R to replicate in mCEACAM1b-expressing BHK cells compared to the viruses expressing chimeric spikes with the MHV-4 RBD.

While we have shown that the RBD plays a role in determining the ability to utilize mCEACAM1b, it is also clear that other portions of the spike also play a role in the structure of the spike-receptor interaction and in the early steps of viral entry. Evidence for this is provided by the observation that S_R is the only recombinant virus analyzed here (as well as other viruses expressing MHV-4 spikes with deletions within S1 [data not shown]) that has the ability to carry out receptor-independent cell fusion (Fig. 6). This is probably the explanation for why S_R can replicate in BHK cells expressing mCEACAM1b while other viruses expressing chimeric spike proteins with the MHV-4 RBD cannot replicate in these cells (Fig. 6). S_R also is less efficient than other viruses expressing spikes with the S_R RBD [S_A59(N9)R31 and S_A59(N9)R33] at undergoing conformational change after incubation at 37°C with smCEACAM1b (Fig. 4) and also is inefficient at replication in vitro (Fig. 2 and 5). These data are consistent with results of earlier studies, which showed that the MHV-4 spike glycoprotein is more susceptible to irreversible conformational changes than is the MHV-A59 spike, and with our observation that S_R was also less labile in the presence of elevated temperature and pH than were the other recombinant viruses (Fig. 3). This is also in agreement with previous data on the relationship of lability and receptor-independent fusion (20). It is not clear whether this is related to any of these other differences among viruses expressing wild-type or chimeric spikes (18, 26). Thus, these data indicate that these properties, unique to S_R (and parental MHV-4), are associated not with the RBD alone but with more downstream domains as well. The MHV-4 spike has evolved to optimize infection and spread of virus within the mouse. It is likely that the RBD and the rest of the spike must coevolve to optimize the ability of the spike to function in early virus-cell interactions, which lead to viral entry, and in later interactions which promote cell-to-cell spread.
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