Stabilization of a Full-Length Infectious cDNA Clone of Transmissible Gastroenteritis Coronavirus by Insertion of an Intron

José M. González, Zoltan Pénzes, Fernando Almazán, Enrique Calvo, and Luis Enjuanes*

Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC, Campus Universidad Autónoma, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

Received 3 December 2001/Accepted 29 January 2002

Coronaviruses are enveloped, single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses that belong to the order Nidovirales (4). They have the largest RNA viral genome, about 30 kb. The recent construction of cDNAs encoding infectious RNAs for transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus (TGEV) (1, 21), human coronavirus strain 229E (18), and infectious bronchitis virus (3) will facilitate the genetic manipulation of coronavirus genomes for the study of gene function and their use as expression vectors. The construction of these cDNAs had been hampered by the large size of the viral genome and the instability in vectors. The construction of these cDNAs had been hampered by the large size of the viral genome and the instability in vectors. The construction of these cDNAs had been hampered by the large size of the viral genome and the instability in vectors. The construction of these cDNAs had been hampered by the large size of the viral genome and the instability in vectors.

The stable propagation of a full-length transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus (TGEV) cDNA in Escherichia coli cells as a bacterial artificial chromosome has been considerably improved by the insertion of an intron to disrupt a toxic region identified in the viral genome. The viral RNA was expressed in the cell nucleus under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter and the intron was efficiently removed during translocation of this RNA to the cytoplasm. The insertion in two different positions allowed stable plasmid amplification for at least 200 generations. Infectious TGEV was efficiently recovered from cells transfected with the modified cDNAs.

Construction of a cDNA encoding the TGEV genome. The assembly of a cDNA clone encoding an infectious full-length TGEV genome was reported previously (1). The construction of this cDNA started from a cDNA encoding the TGEV-derived defective minigenome DI-C, which had previously generated an RNA which was efficiently replicated by the wild-type virus (6, 11). This cDNA was assembled under the control of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) immediate-early promoter that expressed the viral RNA in the nucleus by the cellular RNA polymerase II.

The minigenome DI-C presents three deletions in relation to the TGEV full-length genome. These deletions had to be filled in to generate a full-length infectious cDNA clone. The first deletion was located in ORF 1a (Δ1, including nt 2145 to 12194), the second one was in ORF 1b (Δ2, including nt 16735 to 17842), and the third one included almost all structural genes (Δ3, spanning nt 20373 to 28086) (Fig. 1). The initial strategy to assemble the infectious cDNA clone was based on the construction of the missing cDNA fragments by reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) amplification of viral RNA and the assembly of these fragments in the DI-C cDNA. To obtain an optimal replicase gene, the nucleotide changes previously described in DI-C genome were corrected to the sequence of TGEV PUR46-MAD strain genome (11, 13).

All of the cDNA fragments required to fill in the Δ2 and Δ3 deletions were obtained and stably cloned, as well as the cDNAs for the Δ1 deletion, except for the clone containing cDNA fragment D1-5′ (nt 9349 to 11173), which grew in very small colonies or did not grow at all, in both high- and low-copy-number plasmids using several E. coli strains (XL1-Blue, DH5α, DH10B, etc). In the few cases in which some plasmid DNA was obtained, the introduction of changes disrupting the ORF, like stop codons or small insertions or deletions, located between nt 9711 and 10009 was observed. Interestingly, this fragment sequence was easily amplified in two independent overlapping clones spanning nt 8978 to 9758 and nt 9581 to 11173 (jCD and sD1-5′, respectively), with the correct sequence. These results indicated that none of the individual fragments but instead their combined sequences were the
source of the toxicity. Thus, the assembly strategy was redesigned to delay the joining of both sequences as much as possible. In the last step, just before cDNA transfection into bacteria, the ClaI site was still significant despite the reduction in the number of plasmid copies per cell (data not shown).

To increase the stability of TGEV sequences in E. coli cells, the last assembly step was performed in the very-low-copy-number BAC vector pBeloBAC11 (19). This vector replicates up to a maximum of two copies per cell and has been proved to stably maintain large eukaryotic DNA fragments (up to 300 kb) (12, 16). This strategy allowed the construction of a full-length TGEV cDNA (pBAC-TGEVFL) and its correct amplification in E. coli DH10B cells for 80 generations.

Stability of the full-length cDNA in E. coli cells. To analyze the stability of the full-length TGEV cDNA through its amplification in E. coli cells, this plasmid (pBAC-TGEVFL) and the
plasmids from which it was derived (pBAC-TGEV<sup>Cla</sup>I and pBAC-TGEV<sup>Cla</sup>I) were grown for 200 generations in DH10B cells, as described previously (1). Plasmid DNA was extracted and analyzed by studying its restriction endonuclease pattern. Plasmids pBAC-TGEV<sup>Cla</sup>I and pBAC-TGEV<sup>Cla</sup>I were fully stable for more than 200 generations (data not shown), while the plasmid encoding the full-length TGEV cDNA remained stable for about 80 generations (Fig. 2A). In fact, at this point a new band of 1.2 kb was observed, accompanied by the disappearance of the 0.5-kb band, indicating an insertion of 0.7 kb. However, this stability was sufficient to rescue infectious TGEV on a regular basis (1).

To study full-length-cDNA stability, aliquots of cell cultures carrying the full-length cDNA at a different number of generations were grown on agar plates. A mixture of small and large colonies was observed for all generations tested. Small colonies corresponded to plasmids with the restriction endonuclease pattern expected for the correct cDNA sequence and were still toxic for <i>E. coli</i> cells, while large colonies contained rearranged plasmids that were apparently no longer harmful to the bacteria. A larger number of generations correlated with a greater ratio of large to small colonies: the percentages of large colonies at 80, 120, and 200 generations were around 20, 90, and 100%, respectively. Plasmid DNA from a number of large colonies was analyzed by <i>Eco</i>R I-<i>Xho</i> I digestion to identify the nature of rearrangements. All the clones contained different insert types, from 0.7 to 2.6 kb in length, always located in ORF 1a (Fig. 2B). The smallest one, a 0.7 kb insertion located between the restriction endonuclease sites <i>Eco</i>R I<sup>9409</sup> and <i>Eco</i>R I<sup>9924</sup>, was sequenced. This insertion corresponded to <i>E. coli</i> insertion sequence 1 (IS<sub>1</sub>), a transposon of 768 bp that includes a 23-bp inverted terminal repeat on either side (9). This sequence is known to be randomly inserted, generating 9-bp direct repeats in the target DNA (Fig. 2C).

Insertion of an intron to stabilize the TGEV full-length cDNA. The spontaneous insertion of heterologous sequences into ORF 1a, mainly between nt 7500 and 11000, allowed the stable growth of plasmid pBAC-TGEV<sup>FL</sup> in large colonies in DH10B cells. The same results were obtained in previous experiments when TGEV cDNA fragments from nt 7978 to 9758 and from nt 9581 to 13960 were stably cloned when split by a 271-bp spacer during the subcloning process (data not shown).
Thus, in order to stabilize the TGEV full-length cDNA we decided to introduce a known spacer sequence within the area of ORF 1a that could not be cloned in one piece in low-copy-number plasmids. One possibility was the insertion of an intron. In vivo transcription of the intron-containing full-length clones from the CMV promoter would most likely splice the intron in the nucleus before RNA translocation to cytoplasm in the transfected cells, thus restoring the ORF and the infectivity of the plasmid-derived RNA. For that purpose, a 133-nt synthetic intron obtained from the vector pRL-CMV (Promega) was used. The sites of insertion of the intron into the virus sequence were designed to generate 5′ and 3′ intron splice sites matching the consensus sequences of mammalian introns (15). The theoretical probability of splicing for every insertion site was calculated with the help of a program for the prediction of splice sites in human DNA sequences (HSPL) (17). The three sites with the highest splicing probabilities, at positions 8826, 9466, and 9596, were selected. The intron was placed in the appropriate position of the viral sequence by overlapping PCR (Fig. 3A), using the oligonucleotides shown in Table 1. The final PCR products were cut with the indicated restriction enzymes and inserted into the plasmid pBAC-TGEVFL curing the ClaI site in the pBAC-TGEVFL vector. Then the ClaI-1417-ClaI615 fragment was introduced into the plasmid pBAC-TGEVFL. The plasmids containing the full-length cDNAs with the intron were called pBAC-TGEVFL-INT8826, pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9466, and pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9596.

The stability of the intron-containing TGEV full-length cDNAs was studied by analyzing the restriction endonuclease pattern of the cDNAs at different generation numbers (Fig. 3B). The plasmid with the intron introduced at position 8826 was apparently stable for about 80 generations, and then an insertion sequence type 10 arm (IS10R) of 1,329 bp was inserted, as confirmed by sequencing. In contrast, the plasmids containing the intron at either position 9466 or position 9596 showed a stable restriction pattern after 200 generations, probably being stable. To determine whether these plasmids were stabilized by point mutations or strongly suggesting that they were very stable. To determine if these plasmids were stabilized by point mutations or strongly suggesting that they were very stable.

Recovery of infectious virus from the intron-containing full-length cDNAs. Since pBAC-TGEVFL-INT8826 was not completely stable, rescue experiments were not attempted with this BAC. Instead, rescue of infectious TGEV was studied with pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9466 and pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9596, as well as pBAC-TGEVFL, as a positive control. These plasmids were transfected separately into BHK-pAPN cells as described previously (1). To increase the transfection efficiency, BHK-pAPN cells (2), which are BHK cells constitutively expressing the TGEV receptor (porcine aminopeptidase-N), were chosen because they are transfected with an efficiency of 20-fold higher than that of the ST cells previously used (unpublished results). At 6 h posttransfection, cells were removed with trypsin and were plated over a confluent monolayer of ST cells in order to increase TGEV replication titers and because the cytopathic effect induced by TGEV is more easily detected in ST cells. At 72 h posttransfection, supernatants from passage 0 were used to infect ST cells. This procedure was repeated four times every 24 h. Cytopathic effect was clearly seen in passages 1 to 4, indicating that infectious TGEV had been recovered from the full-length cDNAs originally containing the intron. A silent mutation (C9584T) that was introduced by PCR in the plasmid pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9596 was present in the recovered virus, indicating that it came from the cDNA and was not a contamination product (data not shown). The region where the intron had been inserted was sequenced in all recovered viruses. All of them showed the wild-type sequence, indicating that the intron was precisely removed, restoring the sequence of the parental virus in the virus progeny.

Virus titers were determined at different passages (Fig. 4A). At passage 0, the virus coming from plasmid pBAC-TGEVFL had grown to levels 1 and 2 logarithmic units higher than those of the viruses originated from pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9596 and pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9466, respectively. Nevertheless, virus titers were almost identical at passage 2 and reached a plateau in all cases.

BHK-pAPN cells transfected with full-length cDNAs, either with or without the intron, were analyzed by immunofluorescence assay to test the expression of viral proteins. When monoclonal antibodies specific for the three major TGEV structural proteins (S, M, and N) were used, cells transfected with the two cDNAs containing the intron at positions 9466 and 9596 showed the same pattern as cells transfected with the cDNA without the intron (results not shown).

To study whether the difference in the titers of supernatants from cells transfected with plasmids pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9466 and pBAC-TGEVFL-INT9596 at passage 0 correlated with the extent of splicing of the genomic RNA, the proportion of viral RNA molecules with the native (spliced) sequence accumulated in the cytoplasm of BHK-pAPN cells transfected with these plasmids was analyzed. To fractionate the nuclei and cytoplasms, cells were lysed with a 0.5% NP-40-containing buffer at 20 and 40 h posttransfection. After centrifugation, the supernatants were treated with a buffer containing 8 M urea-1.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and then cytoplasmic RNA was extracted with phenol-chloroform, while the pellets were re-suspended in 0.25 M sucrose-1.5% (wt/vol) sodium citrate and spun through a 0.88 M sucrose-1.5% (wt/vol) sodium citrate layer to purify nuclei (8). This procedure yields nuclei with a purity of over 95% as determined by optical microscopy. The nuclear RNA was obtained after phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Both cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA were treated with RNase-free DNase I to remove contaminating plasmid DNA (5). A viral RNA fragment that included the two positions of insertion of the intron (from nt 9291 to 9758) was amplified by RT-PCR. PCR products were analyzed on a 2% agarose gel, and the intensities of the bands corresponding to amplification of spliced and nonspliced RNAs for each situation were estimated by using a gel documentation system (model 2000; Bio-Rad). These bands represent the amount of each RNA accumulated in both cell compartments. It should be noted that the amount of RNA accumulated in the cytoplasm corresponds to the viral RNA spliced in the nucleus and translocated to the cytoplasm plus the newly synthesized RNA produced in the cytoplasm by the viral replicase. About 10 to 15% of total genomic RNA molecules accumulated in the cells corresponds to the spliced form, when the intron had been
inserted at position 9466 or 9596 (Fig. 4B). The length of the RNA molecules with the intron removed was similar at 20 h posttransfection independent of the position where the intron was inserted. At 40 h posttransfection most of the RT-PCR product from cytoplasmic RNA presented the "spliced" size, probably due to the amplification of TGEV RNA by the viral replicase and not to the greater extent of splicing.

These data can explain the initial delay in raising virus titer in supernatants from cells transfected with pBAC-TGEVFINT8826 or pBAC-TGEVFINT9596 in comparison with plasmid pBAC-TGEVFINT. Because of the initially lower proportion of infectious viral RNA molecules accumulated after splicing. But, despite the initial delay with respect to virus from pBAC-TGEVFINT, titers of viruses originated from the
cDNAs with the intron were similar to those from RNA genomes without introns in just two passages. These experiments confirm that the introduction of an intron is a very useful strategy to overcome the toxicity caused by TGEV cDNA sequences in *E. coli* cells. Insertion of the intron enhances the stability of the cDNA encoding a full-length TGEV RNA for more than 200 generations, which permits amplification of the cDNA to gram quantities, sufficient to perform almost any

### Table 1. Oligonucleotides used to introduce the intron into three different positions of the TGEV full-length cDNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Long name</th>
<th>Short name</th>
<th>Sequence&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGEV-8603-vs</td>
<td>V1</td>
<td>5'-CCTAGTTTTTCCCTTATGACTCACTCCC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRL8826-5'-rs</td>
<td>V2</td>
<td>5'-CCTTGATACCTAACATGAACTCCTGTAAGTAC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRL8826-5'-vs</td>
<td>V1</td>
<td>5'-CAGGTCTCAGTGTAATCAGTAAAGTTCAAG-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRL8826-3'-rs</td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>5'-CATTCTCTCCACAGTTCCAATTTGGAAGGAAATG-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRL9466-3'-vs</td>
<td>V3</td>
<td>5'-CCTTCTTCCTCCACAGTTCCAATTTGGAAGGAAATG-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGEV-9759-rs</td>
<td>V4</td>
<td>5'-CCTTGTTGACTCTTAGTGC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRL9466-5'-vs</td>
<td>I3</td>
<td>5'-GTATACATGCACTTTAGAACTTGGAAATGCTCAGATGTTGAAGTTCAAG-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRL9466-3'-rs</td>
<td>I4</td>
<td>5'-CCTTCAAATTGGGAAGGGAGAAGGCAAAG-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGEV-9291-vs</td>
<td>V5</td>
<td>5'-GCTTGGATAGAGGAATGC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL9596-5'-rs</td>
<td>V6</td>
<td>5'-TGATACCTAACTTTCAACTTTGGAAATG-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL9596-5'-vs</td>
<td>V6</td>
<td>5'-AATGGTGAAAGGGACAATGAC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL9596-3'-rs</td>
<td>I5</td>
<td>5'-TGACAATCTGATGTTTAGTAAAGGC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGEV-9291-vs</td>
<td>V5</td>
<td>5'-GCTTGGATAGAGGAATGC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGEV-9596-vs</td>
<td>V5</td>
<td>5'-TGATACCTAACTTTCAACTTTGGAAATG-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL9596-3'-rs</td>
<td>I6</td>
<td>5'-TGACAATCTGATGTTTAGTAAAGGC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGEV-9291-vs</td>
<td>V5</td>
<td>5'-GCTTGGATAGAGGAATGC-3'&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Bold letters indicate the sequence corresponding to the pRL-CMV intron.

---

**FIG. 4.** Functional analysis of the intron-containing full-length cDNAs. (A) Growth kinetics of viruses originating either from the full-length cDNA without the intron (NO INT) or from the full-length cDNAs with the intron (INT9596 and INT9466). BHK-pAPN cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids or mock transfected (MOCK) and reseeded over ST cells. Passage 0 supernatant was collected 72 h posttransfection and passaged on fresh ST cells. Passages 1 to 4 were collected 24 h postinfection. (B) Efficiency of intron removal in viral RNAs transcribed in vivo from the intron-containing full-length cDNAs. BHK-pAPN cells were transfected with the full-length cDNA with the intron at position 9466 (INT9466) or 9596 (INT9596), and cytoplasmic and nuclear RNAs were extracted 20 and 40 h posttransfection (hpt). The region that includes the intron was amplified by RT-PCR, and bands of 601 and 468 bp were obtained in the cases of nonspliced and spliced RNAs, respectively. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide. C, cytoplasmic RNA; N, nuclear RNA; R, TGEV RNA; D, pBAC-TGEV<sub>FL</sub>-INT9596 DNA; M, molecular mass markers.
reverse genetics engineering of the infectious cDNA clone. This strategy will probably be of general use for the cloning and expression of other large-size functional RNA molecules.

Nucleotide sequence accession number. The nucleotide positions mentioned in this article correspond to the TGEV PUR46-MAD strain sequence deposited in the EMBL database with accession no. AJ271965.
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