Characterization of the Coronavirus Mouse Hepatitis Virus Strain A59 Small Membrane Protein E
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The small envelope (E) protein has recently been shown to play an essential role in the assembly of coronaviruses. Expression studies revealed that for formation of the viral envelope, actually only the E protein and the membrane (M) protein are required. Since little is known about this generally low-abundance virion component, we have characterized the E protein of mouse hepatitis virus strain A59 (MHV-A59), an 83-residue polypeptide. Using an antiserum to the hydrophilic carboxy terminus of this otherwise hydrophobic protein, we found that the E protein was synthesized in infected cells with similar kinetics as the other viral structural proteins. The protein appeared to be quite stable both during infection and when expressed individually using a vaccinia virus expression system. Consistent with the lack of a predicted cleavage site, the protein was found to become integrated in membranes without involvement of a cleaved signal peptide, nor were any other modifications of the polypeptide observed. Immunofluorescence analysis of cells expressing the E protein demonstrated that the hydrophilic tail is exposed on the cytoplasmic side. Accordingly, this domain of the protein could not be detected on the outside of virions but appeared to be inside, where it was protected from proteolytic degradation. The results lead to a topological model in which the polypeptide is buried within the membrane, spanning the lipid bilayer once, possibly twice, and exposing only its cytoplasmic domain. Finally, electron microscopic studies demonstrated that expression of the E protein in cells induced the formation of characteristic membranous structures also observed in MHV-A59-infected cells, apparently consisting of masses of tubular, smooth, convoluted membranes. As judged by their colabeling with antibodies to E and to Rab-1, a marker for the intermediate compartment and endoplasmic reticulum, the E protein accumulates in and induces curvature into these pre-Golgi membranes where coronaviruses have been shown earlier to assemble by budding.

Coronaviruses, a family of viruses belonging to the newly established order of the Nidovirales (for reviews, see references 8 and 37) have enveloped virions containing a nonsegmented, plus-stranded RNA genome. The RNA is packaged by the nucleocapsid (N) protein into a helical nucleocapsid. The surrounding envelope contains three, and sometimes four, membrane proteins. The spike (S) protein, a type I glycoprotein, occurs as dimers that constitute the characteristic surface projections. These function primarily in virus entry, being responsible for binding to the receptor on the target cell and for mediating fusion of viral and cellular membranes. The membrane (M) protein is a triple-spanning glycoprotein. It is the most abundant envelope protein component having essential functions in virus assembly. The hemagglutinin-esterase protein is present in only a subset of coronaviruses. The type I glycoprotein occurs in virions in disulfide-linked homodimeric form. Its biological role in the virus life cycle has not been well established.

The small envelope (E) protein was only recently recognized as a structural component of the coronavirion (12, 26, 48, 49). Although very little is still known about its features, the E protein appears to be surprisingly important for assembly of the viral envelope. By coexpression of the genes encoding the mouse hepatitis virus strain A59 (MHV-A59) membrane protein we showed that virus-like particles (VLPs) morphologically mimicking normal virions were produced only when the E protein was present, while the S protein was dispensable (48). Similar particles were observed after coexpression of transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) membrane proteins (1a).

Coronavirus E proteins vary in size from about 76 to 109 amino acids (for a review, see reference 38). Consistent with their membrane association (12, 40, 48, 49), the proteins are generally quite hydrophobic in nature, particularly in their N-terminal half (see Fig. 1). The MHV-A59 E protein was reported to be acylated on the basis of a biochemical assay (49), but attempts to directly label the TGEV protein with palmitic acid failed (12). By immunofluorescence, the E protein was observed in infected cells in a granular (IBV) (40) or punctate (BCV and MHV-A59) (1, 49) pattern, as well as at the plasma membrane (12, 40, 49). The cell surface staining with a C-terminus-specific antibody led Godet et al. (12) to suggest a CexoNendo membrane topology for the TGEV E protein, i.e., with its C and N termini exposed luminaly and cytoplasmically, respectively.

Very recently Fischer et al. (10) described the effects of mutations in the E protein of MHV-A59. Using clustered charged-to-alanine mutagenesis and targeted RNA recombination, two mutant viruses were obtained that were partially temperature sensitive, forming small plaques at the nonpermissive temperature, and markedly thermostable when grown at the permissive temperature. Most interestingly, one of these viruses appeared to have strikingly aberrant morphology when viewed by electron microscopy. Many virions showed pinched...
and elongated shapes, which is consistent with a role for the E protein in particle morphogenesis.

In order to obtain more insight into the function of the E protein in coronavirus infection, particularly regarding its role in viral assembly, we have analyzed a number of its basic features. We have studied, in addition to its appearance and fate in infected cells, its independent properties by expression, as well as its topology in cellular and viral membranes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. Mouse L cells, OST7-1 cells (9), and RK13 cells were maintained in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS)–100 IU of penicillin per ml–100 μg of streptomycin per ml (DMEM–10% FCS), supplemented in the case of OST7-1 cells with 400 μg of G-418 (Geneticin; Gibco BRL) per ml. BHK-21 cells and CEF cells were maintained in Glasgow minimum essential medium (GMEM) containing the same additional ingredients.

MHV-A59 was propagated in SacII (2) cells as described previously (42). The expression plasmid pAVI02, a pBluescript KS(II) construct carrying the equine arteritis virus (EAV) Gs gene (6), was digested with EcoRI and HindIII and cloned into pBluescript SK(II) to generate pBM.cE. To obtain the fragment encoding the 161-residues Gs polypeptide, we performed a PCR with the primers 483 (5'-dGGAATTCAACACTTCCACAGATGA-3') and primer 496 (5'-dGGATTGATATCATCCACCCTCTA-3'). This PCR product was digested with EcoRI and HindIII and cloned into pBluescript SK(II) to generate pBMcE. Then the BM.C.E junction site adding two amino acids (Asn and Ser). The chimeric GS-E fragment was cloned as a BamHI fragment into the His-tag vector pQE10 (Quiagen) yielding pGSectoEendo. The protein was expressed in Escherichia coli, isolated, and purified on a Ni-nitriloacetic acid column (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Antibodies were elicited by subcutaneous injection of the fusion protein (75 μg in Freund incomplete adjuvant) into a rabbit from which blood had been taken the day before to obtain a preserum (designated preE). The rabbit was boosted similarly after 3, 7, 11, and 15 weeks using 250 μg of protein in Freund incomplete adjuvant each time. Animals were bled 17 weeks after the first immunization.

The monoclonal antibody J1.3 (a kind gift of J. Flemming) recognizes the
N-terminal domain of the MHV-A59 M protein (designated m3a), as described has been described (5). Also described previously was a rabbit serum against a peptide corresponding to the M protein’s C terminus (m3b, 19), as well as a polyclonal rabbit antibody against viron (m3c, 20, 21), and a monoclonal antibody against M protein during MHV infection, comparing it with that of the other viral structural proteins. Cultures of infected cells were washed twice after the labeling with prewarmed SLO buffer (20 ml 0.3 M Tris (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl; 1% Nonidet P-40 [NP-40]; 0.1% deoxycholate; and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS]) per 10-cm dish. The lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 130,000 rpm at 4°C in an Eppendorf centrifuge. To analyze proteins present in the culture media, cell supernatants were taken off, cleared by centrifugation for 15 min at 4°C and 4,000 rpm, and then mixed with a one-fifth volume of a 5% concentrated lysis buffer: 100 mM Tris (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) NP-40, 0.1% (v/v) DOC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, and antibodies (3 μl of rabbit sera and 100 μl of monoclonal antibody tissue culture supernatant). The solutions were incubated at 4°C for at least 3 h, after which 30 μl of Pansorbin (Calbiochem) was added, and the incubation continued for at least 1 h. Immune complexes were then collected on 1 ml of centrifuge, washed twice with lysis buffer I (20 ml 0.3 M Tris (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40) and once with wash buffer II (20 ml Tris (pH 7.6), 0.1% NP-40).

Immunoprecipitation of virus particles was performed by diluting 450 μl of concentrated cell lysates with a similar volume of TNE (20 ml Tris [pH 7.6], 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) containing antibodies (3 μl of rabbit serum or 100 μl of monoclonal antibodies). The solution was incubated overnight at 4°C, after which 30 μl of Pansorbin was added to each sample. Incubation was continued for 1 h, after which immune complexes were collected by centrifugation and washed three times with TNE.

For analysis of the proteins, the washed immune complexes were resuspended in 30 μl of Laemmli sample buffer containing 50 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and analyzed by electrophoresis in SDS-polyacrylamide gels (PAG). The gels were fixed in 10% acetic acid–50% methanol for at least 30 min and incubated for another 30 min in 1 M sodium salicylate. Finally, the gels were dried and subjected to fluorography at −80°C. Radioactivity in protein bands in PAG was analyzed in 15 and 20% PAG, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2A.

RESULTS

Kinetics of appearance of the E protein in MHV-A59-infected cells. Semir raises against purified MHV-A59 are very poor in detecting the E protein. Thus, a fusion protein was prepared in order to obtain protein-specific antibodies for immunological studies. It consisted of the 34-amino-acid carboxy-terminal domain of MHV-A59 E protein preceded by the amino-terminal 161-residue ectodomain of the EAV Gs protein (Fig. 1B). By choosing Gs as a fusion partner to enhance immune responses, we aimed to also acquire a polyclonal serum to this EAV protein. For purification purposes, the fusion protein was extended amino terminally with a His-tag. The protein was produced in E. coli and injected into rabbits. The immune serum obtained was found to immunoprecipitate a protein with an apparent molecular mass of 9.8 kDa from lysates of radiolabeled cells infected with MHV-A59 or expressing the viral E gene by using the vaccinia virus T7 system (data not shown). This molecular mass closely corresponds to the predicted size of the Gs protein (9.6 kDa).

We used the antisemir to evaluate the synthesis of the E protein during MHV infection, comparing it with that of the other viral structural proteins. Cultures of infected cells were therefore labeled for successive 1-h periods with 35S-labeled amino acids. Immunoprecipitates were prepared from the cell lysates by using a polyclonal rabbit serum against MHV-A59 that recognizes predominantly the N, M, and S proteins and by using the rabbit serum against the E protein. Precipitates were analyzed in 15 and 20% PAG, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2A. Translations of the mRNAs (0.8 μl of RNA transcript in a 10-μl reaction) were done for 1 h at 37°C in the Promega rabbit reticulocyte lysate system in the presence or absence of canine microsomal membranes (Promega). The samples were then split and diluted to 1 ml with IP buffer. Antiserum (3 μl of rabbit preE serum or the anti-E serum) were added, and the normal immunoprecipitation procedure was followed.

Immunofluorescence analysis of protein membrane topology. BHK-21 cells expressing the MHV-A59 E protein were surface permeabilized by using Streptolysin O (SLO; purchased from S. Bachli, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Mainz, Germany). At 7 h postinfection, culture media were taken off and cells were washed with SLO buffer (25 ml HEPES [pH 7.4], 115 mM potassium acetate, 2.5 mM MgCl2). They were then incubated for 15 min on ice with SLO buffer containing 1 mM DTT and 1 μl of SLO per ml and subsequently washed twice with SLO buffer containing 1 mM DTT. To activate the SLO, the cells were incubated for 30 min at 37°C with prewarmed SLO buffer containing 1 mM DTT. The cells were then fixed on ice and directly rinsed with SLO wash buffer (50 ml HEPES [pH 7.4], 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM EDTA, 50 ml KCl). This washing step was repeated once, and the cells were then washed with PBS and subjected to fixation with 5% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 min. Proteinase K treatment of SLO-permeabilized cells was carried out by preincubation of the cells after the PFA treatment step for 5 min with proteinase K buffer followed by incubation for 30 min on ice with proteinase K buffer containing 50 μg of proteinase K per ml. Cells were then rinsed twice with proteinase K buffer (2 ml EDTA, 1.15 mM PMSF [pH 7.4]) and once with proteinase containing 50 mM glycine and 0.1% (v/v) 35S-labeled PMSF, with which the cells were subsequently fixed for 30 min.

For classical indirect immunofluorescence, permeabilization of all cellular membranes was performed after fixation with 5% PFA by treatment for 5 min with 1% Triton X-100–5% FCS in PBS–50 mM glycine. The cells were then washed three times with PBS containing 5% FCS and fixed for 5% FCS.

Fluorescence labeling of the cells with antibodies was done by incubating them with primary antibodies, followed by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)- or tetramethyl rhodamine isocyanate (TRITC)-conjugated secondary antibodies. The primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-E (1:400), mouse anti-M, and rabbit anti-M, (undiluted), and rabbit anti-M (1:300 sera). The secondary antibodies used were FITC- or TRITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse and goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (Cappel; 1:100).

Electron microscopy. Mouse L cells infected with MHV-A59 and BHK-21 cells expressing the E protein were fixed at 5.30 and 4 h postinfection (hpi), respectively, and prepared for cryosectioning as described earlier (21). Thawed cryosections were double labeled with the E antibody at a dilution of 1:100 and with Rab-1 antibodies (36) diluted 1:40. The double labeling was carried out according to the sequential protocol of Slot et al. (39). Embedding of cells in Epon was done as described elsewhere (13).
and B. For each of the viral proteins the time course of synthesis was determined by quantitation of the radioactivity in the respective gel segments, the results of which, normalized to allow comparison, are compiled in Fig. 2C. It is clear that all four proteins were synthesized with very similar kinetics. They were all first detected at between 3 and 4 hpi, after which their production rapidly and simultaneously increased, reaching a maximal rate around 6 hpi, which was maintained for several hours. Since the proteins were probably all immunoprecipitated with different efficiencies, these results do not allow an estimation of the relative molar ratios of their synthesis.

**Stability of the E protein during infection.** To further study the fate of the E protein in infection, we performed a pulse-chase analysis, during which we again monitored the radioactivity in the E protein in comparison with that in the other structural proteins. In order to obtain an overall picture, we included in our analysis the fraction of the proteins that is released from the cells with virions. For this purpose we prepared total lysates in which cells and culture fluids were combined. Immunoprecipitates of M, S, and N proteins were prepared with the anti-MHV serum and analyzed in a 15% gel (Fig. 3A); E protein precipitated with the E-specific serum was electrophoresed in a 20% gel (Fig. 3B). Quantitations of the radioactivity in the different proteins are graphically represented in Fig. 3C. The data show that the structural proteins synthesized at around 6 hpi turned over with quite similar kinetics. It was deduced from this and other experiments that the proteins had half-lives of approximately 4 h. A similar pattern of turnover was obtained when an otherwise identical experiment was performed in which protein synthesis was blocked after the pulse-labeling by including cycloheximide (0.5 mM) in all chase media (data not shown). This result ruled out the possibility that the decline in the amount of radioactive proteins was caused by a gradual shortage of antibodies during the immunoprecipitation as a result of the continued synthesis of (unlabeled) viral proteins.

Inspection of Fig. 3A reveals the appearance during the chase of a polypeptide (marked by an asterisk) running slightly faster in the gel than the normal set of M proteins. This polypeptide, which could also be observed in the experiment depicted in Fig. 2, appeared concomitantly with the conversion of the unglycosylated M protein (M0) synthesized during the pulse into the different, slower-migrating O-glycosylated forms. Using domain-specific antibodies, we have demonstrated that the polypeptide represents an M protein that lacks its normal amino terminus (data not shown). Its discrete size, which is about 2.4 kDa smaller than that of the M0 form, indicates that it has completely lost the domain that is exposed at the luminal side of intracellular membranes, i.e., at the outside of virions.

**Stability of individually expressed E protein.** We also studied the stability of the independently expressed E protein in a pulse-chase experiment. Because no E protein appeared to be released into the culture medium (data not shown) only the cell-bound protein was analyzed. For comparison, we similarly expressed in parallel the M protein (known to be stable [34]) and the EAV Gs protein, which we found earlier to be subject to degradation both in EAV-infected BHK-21 cells and when expressed individually in these cells (7). As the results compiled in Fig. 4 show, the M and Gs proteins behaved as expected, although the latter protein, immunoprecipitated by the antiserum directed against Gs-E fusion protein, appeared to be less prone to turnover in the OST7-1 cells than in the BHK-21 cells used before. The stability of the E protein was comparable with that of the Gs protein under these conditions; its level remained constant initially but started to decrease thereafter.

**Membrane integration of E occurs without signal sequence cleavage.** The MHV-A59 E protein contains a rather long hydrophobic domain at its amino terminus which is likely to mediate its membrane integration. To find out whether its membrane insertion involves the functioning of a classical, cleavable signal sequence, we compared the size of the primary translation product with that of the membrane-integrated form. Capped RNA was transcribed in vitro from an E gene.

![FIG. 2. Kinetics of appearance of the E protein in MHV-A59-infected cells. MHV-infected and mock-infected OST7-1 cells were labeled with 35S-labeled amino acids (80 μCi/10-cm² dish) for different 1-h periods starting at the indicated times after infection. Combined lysates of cells and culture media were then prepared, and immunoprecipitations were carried out with different antisera. (A) Proteins precipitated with the polyclonal anti-MHV serum were analyzed in 15% PAG. (B) Proteins precipitated by the anti-E (αE) or the preE serum were analyzed in 15% PAG. Radioactivities in the bands representing the different viral proteins were quantitated, taking for M all the different forms, including the lower band indicated by an asterisk. The results are compiled in panel C. They were normalized by placing the added total of all measurements for each protein at 100 and expressing each measurement as the fraction of this total.](http://jvi.asm.org/Downloadedfrom)
plasmid construct and translated in a reticulocyte lysate system in the absence and in the presence of rough microsomal membranes. The labeled products were immunoprecipitated using the E antiserum, and their electrophoretic mobilities were analyzed in parallel with that of E protein synthesized in transfected cells by using the vaccinia virus expression system. Figure 5A shows that the E proteins synthesized in vitro and in cells comigrated. Apparently, no signal sequence is cleaved during or after membrane integration of the protein unless the size reduction that would accompany such cleavage is compensated for by a secondary modification, for which we have no indications. The MHV-A59 E protein sequence does not contain an \( \text{N} \)-glycosylation motif. Moreover, as we show in Fig. 5B, we were unable to confirm the conclusion made by Yu et al. (49), on the basis of hydroxylamine treatment, that the E protein is acylated: in our experiment the protein remained unaffected. Attempts to label the protein in infected cells with \(^3\text{H}\)-labeled palmitic acid were equally unsuccessful (not shown). These observations are consistent with those of others who were similarly unable to label the TGEV E protein in infected cells with \(^3\text{H}\)-labeled palmitic acid (12).

**Topology of the membrane-assembled E protein.** Besides the lack of a cleaved signal sequence at its amino terminus the E protein also lacks the typical anchor sequence occurring in the carboxy-terminal region of so many other membrane proteins. Rather, its hydropathy plot suggests that the polypeptide is buried within the lipid bilayer for most of its amino-terminal 60 residues. To determine the disposition of the residual more-hydrophilic carboxy terminus, we took an immunofluorescence approach based on the availability of an antiserum specifically recognizing this part of the protein. In this assay cells were permeabilized selectively in their plasma membrane by using SLO to allow intracellular access of antibodies. The assay was first tested with cells expressing the M protein whose membrane topology has been well established (33, 35): its amino- and carboxy-terminal domains are exposed luminally and cy-
Triton X-100 (Fig. 6). In contrast, the anti-MC antibodies still be visualized by the anti-MN antibodies after additional amino terminus of the M protein remained intact and could affected the cytoplasmically exposed protein domains since the permeabilized cells with proteinase K, a treatment that only tentatively, staining was fully abrogated by prior treatment of the cytoplasmic exposure of the carboxy terminus. Consis-

Fig. 5. E protein membrane integration without cleavage of a signal se-

tronically, respectively, and antibodies directed to these domains are available. Accordingly, surface-permeabilized cells could not be stained with the anti-MN antibodies unless the intracellular membranes were also permeabilized by using Triton X-100 (Fig. 6). In contrast, the anti-MC antibodies readily stained the surface-permeabilized cells, thus confirming the cytoplasmic exposure of the carboxy terminus. Consis-

tronically, respectively, and antibodies directed to these domains are available. Accordingly, surface-permeabilized cells could not be stained with the anti-MN antibodies unless the intracellular membranes were also permeabilized by using Triton X-100 (Fig. 6). In contrast, the anti-MC antibodies readily stained the surface-permeabilized cells, thus confirming the cytoplasmic exposure of the carboxy terminus. Consis-

tronically, respectively, and antibodies directed to these domains are available. Accordingly, surface-permeabilized cells could not be stained with the anti-MN antibodies unless the intracellular membranes were also permeabilized by using Triton X-100 (Fig. 6). In contrast, the anti-MC antibodies readily stained the surface-permeabilized cells, thus confirming the cytoplasmic exposure of the carboxy terminus. Consistently, staining was fully abrogated by prior treatment of the permeabilized cells with protease K, a treatment that only affected the cytoplasmically exposed protein domains since the amino terminus of the M protein remained intact and could still be visualized by the anti-MN antibodies after additional Triton X-100 permeabilization. This latter treatment did not enable staining with the anti-MN antibodies, indicating that the M protein assumes one defined membrane topology, in contrast to the TGEV M protein, which was reported to take two alternative orientations (31).

When the immunofluorescence assay was applied to cells expressing the E protein, it became clear that its carboxy terminus protrudes into the cytoplasm. The domain was accessible to the anti-E antibodies after surface permeabilization (Fig. 6). Proteinase K treatment completely abolished the staining, and subsequent permeabilization with Triton X-100 did not reveal any “hidden” carboxy-terminal domains, a result consistent with a unique membrane topology.

A cytoplasmic exposure of the E protein’s carboxy terminus would topologically correlate with a disposition of this domain on the inside of MHV particles. Accordingly, we were unable to label VLPs, obtained by expressing the MHV M and E proteins, in an immunogold labeling with the anti-E antibodies, as judged under the electron microscope (data not shown). To further corroborate this point, we also used a biochemical approach. Because of its great sensitivity, we performed an immunoisolation of radioactively labeled virus particles from the culture medium of MHV-A59-infected cells by using vari-

ous antibodies. As the protein pattern of Fig. 7A shows, the S-specific antibodies (Fig. 7A, αS) not only isolated S protein but in addition all other structural proteins M, N, and E, the latter one being visible only after prolonged exposure (not shown). Similarly, viral particles could be isolated with the anti-MN antibodies but not with the anti-MC antibodies, a finding consistent with the known exterior and interior disposition of the corresponding M domains, respectively. As expected, no trace of viral particles was detected when the anti-E serum was used in the immunoisolation, confirming the interior disposition of the E protein’s carboxy terminus in virions.

Due to its very low abundance, the E protein is difficult to detected in MHV-A59 particles. We nevertheless analyzed the effect of proteolytically removing the exposed domains of the viral membrane proteins. Figure 7B shows that treatment of 35S-labeled virions eliminated the epitope present in the amino terminus of the M protein recognized by the monoclonal J1.3 (αM5). It also reduced the size of the polypeptide by about 2.5 kDa as shown previously (33). The E protein seemed unaffected. Its appearance as a diffuse band, visible only after long exposure of the gel, did not change as a result of the treatment. The mere fact that the protein remained precipitable by the anti-E antibody implies that the carboxy terminus was indeed protected. In addition, it shows that no other part(s) of the protein is significantly exposed on the outside of virions.

Electron microscopic observations. One of the marked observations made during the immunofluorescence studies described above was the peculiar punctate staining pattern of the expressed E protein. To study this aspect in more detail, BHK-21 cells expressing the protein were prepared for and then analyzed by electron microscopy. It appeared that the E protein induced the formation of characteristic electron-dense structures (Fig. 8A) that were not seen in control cells, for instance, when the M protein was expressed similarly. They were, however, also observed in MHV-A59-infected L cells (as shown after cryosectioning in Fig. 8B) and are actually very reminiscent of the tubular structures described in MHV-A59-infected cells many years ago (4). They seem to consist of masses of tubular, smooth membranes with much curvature that form complicated net-
works. The membrane clusters are relatively homogeneous in that other organelles are excluded. Continuities with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) are, however, often observed. The structures were obviously induced by the E protein since they labeled heavily with the anti-E antibody (Fig. 8B to D). In fact, in highly expressing cells the E protein often appeared to localize almost exclusively to them. Interest-

ingly, the tubular structures are part of the ER-intermediate compartment (IC) network, as was demonstrated by their colabeling on cryosections with a marker for these compart-

ments, Rab-1 (Fig. 8B to D; see also references 14 and 36).
DISCUSSION

The remarkable role of the E protein in coronavirus assembly prompted the detailed characterization of the envelope protein described here. E protein emerges from these studies as a unique protein. The unglycosylated polypeptide appears in MHV-A59-infected cells synchronously with all the other viral structural proteins. It is assembled in the ER membrane by virtue of an uncleaved signal sequence assuming a complex membrane structure which leaves its carboxy terminus in the cytoplasm. In virions this domain is oriented inwards, facing the nucleocapsid. When expressed independently, the E protein accumulates in and induces the coalescence of the membranes of the ER-IC, giving rise to characteristic structures that also appear in infected cells.

The E protein appears to integrate into the ER membrane without modifications. Membrane insertion is mediated by a signal within the large hydrophobic domain, most likely by the 28-residue stretch preceding the conserved lysine at position 38. No cleavage of this domain is predicted nor was it observed. Hence, our biochemical analyses of permeabilized cells expressing the protein and of viral particles lead to a picture of a largely membrane-embedded E protein, of which only the ~23-residue hydrophilic tail is exposed cytoplasmically and on the inside of virions. No part of the protein was detectably exposed on the virion outside, the topological equivalent of the ER lumen. The amino terminus may be oriented to either side of the membrane. Other, more biophysical methods will be required to determine the fine structure of the membrane-integral part of the molecule which is sufficiently long to span the lipid bilayer twice. It will be interesting to find out to which side of the membrane the conserved lysine (position 38) is oriented, what the disposition is of the conserved cysteines immediately downstream of this lysine, and what structural position the proline at position 54 takes that is absolutely conserved among all coronavirus E proteins (10, 38).

In earlier immunofluorescence studies the E protein has been detected at the surface of infected cells. With antibodies prepared against the whole E protein, positive but weak staining of unfixed cells infected with infectious bronchitis virus was observed (40). Surface staining was also reported for MHV-(49) and TGEV-infected cells, as well as for insect cells expressing the TGEV E protein by a baculovirus vector (12). The antibodies used in these studies were directed against the protein’s carboxy terminus, leading Godet et al. (12) to the suggestion that this region of the molecule is translocated across the membrane, a finding which is at variance with our observations. The reasons for this discrepancy are unknown and remain to be elucidated.

In MHV-infected cells the structural proteins are synthesized from subgenomic mRNAs. These mRNAs are synthesized in different molar amounts but at constant relative ratios (17, 24). Except for the smallest mRNA, encoding just the N protein, they are all structurally polycistronic but express only their 5’-terminal open reading frame (ORF). The E protein, however, is translated from a downstream ORF by internal ribosome entry (3, 44). Our quantitations of the synthesis of the S, N, M, and E proteins during infection show similar kinetics for all of them. Although the proteins are probably...
produced in very different molar amounts, their time courses of synthesis were indistinguishable.

In one of the first extensive electron microscopic studies of coronavirus-infected cells David-Ferreira and Manaker (4) described the appearance of structures “formed by closely interwoven, membrane-limited tubules.” These structures, which were termed tubular bodies, were induced by the MHV-A59 infection. They appear to be very similar to what we found in our present studies, not only in infected cells but also in response to the independent expression of the E protein. This observation thus links the induction of the structures directly to the E protein. Moreover, their colabeling with antibodies to E and to the ER-IC marker Rab-1 suggests that they are derived by the coalescence of these pre-Golgi membranes. Although its precise cellular localization was beyond the scope of this study, our preliminary observations suggest that the expressed E protein accumulates in membranes of the ER-IC. We and others have shown earlier that budding of coronaviruses occurs at these particular membranes (20, 29). If the E protein by itself indeed localizes to pre-Golgi membranes, this would most likely provide the explanation. By its interactions with the M protein the E protein might retain M protein molecules in the early compartments, allowing the accumulation of the large lateral assemblies where viral particles are formed (22, 29). 

While numerous viruses have been shown to variously induce novel structures in infected cells, rarely have these structures been assigned to the action of a particular viral protein. An interesting exception are the tubular networks of smooth membranes that were reported by Hobman et al. (15, 16) to arise in cells upon expression of the rubella virus E1 glycoprotein. The characteristics of these structures are very similar to the ones described here, notably with respect to their morphology, their continuity with ER membranes, their labeling with antibodies to ER-IC marker proteins, and their insensitivity to brefeldin A (data not shown). The E1-induced tubular networks were purified from cells by immunosolubilization and shown to likely correspond to hypertrophied ER exit sites (16). 

The convoluted appearance of the tubular membranes that we observed in response to the E protein may point to an important biological feature of the protein in coronavirus morphogenesis. It suggests that the E protein has a tendency to induce curvature into membranes. Earlier we hypothesized that this might be one of two possible roles that the protein might have during the budding of coronaviral particles (48). The alternative role was in the closing of the neck of the nascent particle, causing the pinching off of the virion. It is still too early to decide whether the protein indeed serves one of these functions. Recent support for an important function of the E protein in virion morphogenesis comes from analyses of MHV-A59 E gene mutants (10). Mutations introduced into the E protein’s hydrophilic tail by targeted RNA recombination yielded viruses that were markedly thermolabile, suggesting that there was a flaw in their structure. The particles of one of the viruses were viewed by electron microscopy and appeared to be aberrant and heterogeneous in their morphology. Instead of the normal rounded structures, most virions had elongated, tubular forms, often pinched at multiple points, producing dumbbell-shaped structures. The pictures suggest that the E protein indeed is important for creating the membrane curvature needed to acquire the rounded, stable, and infectious particle phenotype.

The occurrence of small membrane proteins appears to be quite a general feature of enveloped RNA viruses. For some of these a function has been established such as for the ion-channel M2 protein of influenza virus (30) and for the alpha-virus 6K protein which is important in the final assembly and budding of virions (25, 27). Interestingly, we recently discov-
ered that a small membrane protein also occurs in arteriviruses (41), which constitute another genus in the order of Nidovirales (8). This 67-residue E protein is a structural component of EAV. The polypeptide is very hydrophobic, with a basic carboxy terminus, and is membrane associated in infected cells. Importantly, the protein appeared to be essential for the production of infectious viral particles. In view of these findings it is surprising that no small membrane protein has so far been identified in toroviruses, the second family of viruses belonging to the Coronaviridae. While these viruses do have an S protein and an M protein very similar to those of coronaviruses, no E protein homologue seems to be encoded. This suggests that the budding of these viruses occurs differently from coronaviruses or that the function of the E protein is expressed otherwise. We expect that our continued comparative studies of these different nidoviruses will eventually provide more detailed insight into the various ways these viruses assemble their particles.

FIG. 8. Electron microscopic analysis. (A) Epon section of BHK-21 cell expressing the E protein and fixed at 4 h posttransfection. The E protein induces the formation of electron-dense membrane structures that are often continuous with the rough ER (arrowheads). (B to D) The same structures in thawed cryosections double labeled for E (5-nm gold; arrows) and Rab-1 (10-nm gold; arrowheads). Panels: B, an MHV-infected cell fixed at 5.30 hpi, C and D, BHK-21 cells expressing the E protein. Bars, 100 nm.
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